Advances in Management & Financial Reporting
Volume 3, Issue 3 (2025)
Website: https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AMFR
OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Analysis of the Use of Forecasting to Compare Financing Options and Its Impact on Business Decisions

A.We Tenri Fatimah Singkeruang [1*] Nuraeni Saeni [2] Ramlah [3] Fitri Indah Sari M [4]

[1] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: wetenrifatimah@gmail.com
[2] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: enysaeni565@gmail.com
[3] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: lalarubyanto30@gmail.com
[4] STIE YPUP Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: fitriindahsari1990@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: August 10, 2025
Revised: August 19, 2025
Accepted: August 27, 2025

DOI :
https://doi.org/10.60079/amfr.v3i3.613

Advances in Research

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to explore the role of forecasting in comparing financing options and its impact on business decision-making. It focuses on how forecasting tools help companies select financing strategies that align with their long-term financial goals and sustainability objectives.
Research Method: A Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology was employed to analyze existing studies related to forecasting in financial decision-making, with a particular emphasis on integrating sustainability factors, such as environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations, into financing decisions.
Results and Discussion: The findings highlight that forecasting gives businesses essential insights into future cash flows, capital needs, and market conditions, enabling informed financing decisions. Accurate forecasting influences the choice between debt and equity financing, mitigates financial risks, and improves long-term stability. The study also highlights the growing importance of incorporating ESG factors into financial forecasting to align financing decisions with sustainability goals. This trend is becoming increasingly relevant in modern corporate finance.
Implications: The practical implications suggest businesses integrate forecasting models, including financial and ESG metrics, to ensure sustainable financing decisions. By forecasting, companies can effectively manage risks, enhance adaptability, and align their financial strategies with long-term objectives. This research contributes to the field by offering a novel approach that merges traditional economic analysis with sustainability considerations, providing financial managers with the tools to make data-driven, strategic decisions.

Keywords: forecasting; financing decisions; sustainability; esg factors; risk management.

Introduction

Forecasting has become a foundational instrument in strategic finance in today's dynamic business environment, equipping companies with a systematic approach to navigating a landscape filled with increasingly varied and complex financing options. Amidst economic volatility and pervasive

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

market uncertainties, decisions regarding financing have become increasingly complex, involving multiple factors that significantly impact a company's liquidity, capital structure, and overall financial stability (Askari *et al.,* 2011). While traditional finance theories advocate for optimizing capital structures—encouraging firms to weigh the respective advantages of debt and equity financing while balancing risk and return—applying these theories to practical decision-making often proves challenging. Market dynamics are fluid, and the unique internal characteristics of each business introduce complexities that make a one-size-fits-all approach inadequate. In this context, forecasting is invaluable, offering predictive insights into risks and opportunities that accompany financing decisions (Rao & Hossain, 2024). By leveraging predictive models, companies are better equipped to make informed decisions about financing options that align with their strategic objectives and facilitate sustainable growth trajectories.

Resource-Based Theory (RBT) and financial forecasting principles form the theoretical foundation of this study. RBT posits that a firm's competitive advantage arises from strategic resources that enhance decision—making capabilities, such as financial expertise and advanced analytical tools (Ristyawan *et al.,* 2023). In this framework, forecasting functions as a valuable resource, enabling firms to interpret market conditions, anticipate changes, and align financing strategies with both internal strengths and external opportunities. Thus, forecasting is not merely a technical tool but a strategic asset that enhances long-term, data-informed decision-making (Mpofu & Chasokela, 2025). Forecasting allows firms to mitigate risks, reduce financial uncertainties, and strengthen resilience in volatile market conditions by analyzing trends and historical data (Iriani *et al.,* 2024). Despite its advantages, significant challenges remain in refining forecasting methods to address the complexities of financing decisions fully. Financing strategies often require balancing short-term needs with long-term goals, necessitating forecasting models that account for immediate and strategic impacts. Additionally, the interconnected nature of financial markets complicates forecasting, as shifts in one sector can influence financing conditions across multiple industries, necessitating adaptable forecasting frameworks.

Recent studies have examined various aspects of financing decisions and their implications for corporate sustainability and financial disclosure. For instance, in developing countries, equity financing and ownership concentration have been positively associated with green accounting disclosures (Yudha *et al.,* 2023). Financial modeling techniques, including ARIMA, VAR, and GARCH, have significantly improved forecasting accuracy for corporate strategic planning and risk management (Ievsieieva *et al.,* 2024). Additionally, green innovation strategies have positively influenced corporate financing within BRICS nations, underscoring the growing role of sustainability in financial decision-making (Li *et al.,* 2024). Project financing approvals also impact managerial forecasting behavior, encouraging more conservative cash flow projections to meet lender expectations and manage budget concerns (Bozanic *et al.,* 2024). These findings reflect the complex interplay between financing, sustainability, and corporate decision-making in today's landscape.

Recent research also highlights the crucial role of forecasting and data analysis in business strategy and financial management. Extensive data analysis has enhanced decision-making processes, improved forecasting accuracy, and contributed to overall corporate performance (Chatterjee *et al.,* 2023). However, challenges like post-forecasting shifts in behavior can render predictions obsolete (Song & Wu, 2023). During the COVID-19 pandemic, Portuguese companies prioritized liquidity management, often utilizing traditional banking and government support for financing (Tavares *et al.,* 2023). Studies have shown that business characteristics, life cycle stages, and cash flow volatility shape

Advances in Management & Financial Reporting
Volume 3, Issue 3 (2025)
Website: https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AMFR
OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

firms' decisions, with forecast optimism in China shown to reduce cash holdings due to relaxed financing constraints (Liu & Loang, 2023). Machine learning techniques now enhance forecasting by recognizing patterns within large datasets Sujith *et al.,* 2022), while low-debt or high-cash firms tend to face greater future investment volatility (Yaghoubi & Keefe, 2021). Additionally, investor preference for environmentally friendly firms underscores the value of government-backed environmental initiatives (Zhang & Wellalage, 2022).

While recent research offers valuable insights into the connections between forecasting, financing options, and sustainability, significant gaps in empirical and theoretical understanding still need to be addressed. Much of the current literature has focused on specific aspects of financial forecasting, including its role in risk management, sustainability practices, and investor reactions. For example, studies on green accounting have examined how ownership concentration and equity financing influence disclosures (Chang *et al.,* 2024). Meanwhile, analyses of predictive models, such as ARIMA and GARCH, have demonstrated the use of forecasting in risk management and strategic planning (Ievsieieva, 2024). Additionally, research has shown that green innovation strategies have a positive impact on corporate financing. Still, these studies often focus on single variables and must fully integrate the effects of diverse financing options on decision-making (Li *et al.,* 2024). While research has explored the implications of forecasting accuracy and big data analytics for decision-making processes (Chatterjee *et al.,* 2023), studies rarely address how these factors interact with financing decisions and long-term strategic outcomes. For instance, forecasting has been shown to influence managerial behavior in cash flow projections and budget allocations, thereby meeting lender expectations (Bozanic *et al.,* 2024). However, these findings highlight isolated managerial practices without examining the broader, long-term organizational impact. Another example is the examination of post-forecasting behavioral shifts, which can make predictions obsolete (Song & Wu, 2023). However, this line of inquiry must fully explore how forecasting accuracy and financing choices collectively affect organizational resilience and corporate performance in unpredictable markets.

This study intends to address critical gaps in understanding the integrated role of forecasting within the context of financing decisions and its broader impact on corporate strategic resilience. Through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, this research aims to build upon previous studies by examining forecasting not as an isolated tool but as a multifaceted strategic asset that can enhance decision-making across multiple financing options. Specifically, the study offers a comprehensive perspective on how forecasting facilitates comparative analysis among financing alternatives, influencing long-term business stability and adaptability in uncertain markets. The novelty of this research lies in its focus on bridging fragmented insights from prior studies that have addressed only specific aspects of forecasting—such as risk management, sustainability, and investor behavior—without integrating these elements into a cohesive framework that considers financing options holistically. This study extends the discussion by directly linking forecasting accuracy to the evaluation of financing choices, organizational performance, and strategic adaptability, thereby providing a more nuanced understanding of forecasting as a driver of sustainable financial decisions. By presenting forecasting as a critical factor in achieving financial resilience and stability, this research contributes to the growing emphasis on data-driven strategies within corporate finance, particularly under volatile economic conditions.

To fulfill these objectives, this study addresses the following research question: How does forecasting influence the comparative analysis of financing options, and what impact does this have on

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

business decision-making? This question examines the ability of prediction to provide companies with a more informed basis for financing decisions, assessing its impact on both immediate and long-term outcomes. The ultimate goal is to expand on existing knowledge by offering insights into how forecasting can be leveraged to support adaptive, strategically sound financial decisions that sustain firms amid economic fluctuations.

Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

The Role of Forecasting in Financial Decision-Making

Financial forecasting has become a cornerstone in corporate finance, providing crucial insights that help businesses navigate complex financial decision-making processes. By predicting future financial outcomes such as revenue, cash flow, and expenses, forecasting enables companies to plan their financial strategies effectively (Samonas, 2015). It allows businesses to anticipate market changes, optimize resource allocation, and mitigate potential risks, ultimately supporting both short-term operational efficiency and long-term strategic goals. In the context of financial decision-making, forecasting provides a sound foundation for key decisions that impact a company's financial stability and growth. It enables businesses to evaluate their current financial condition, forecast future performance, and assess the viability of various financing options. Forecasting methods such as ARIMA (AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average) and VAR (Vector AutoRegression) have been found to enhance the accuracy of financial predictions (M. S. Khan & Khan, 2020). According to Mishra (2018), these models help businesses anticipate market fluctuations and adjust their strategies accordingly. This enhances the decision-making process by providing a clearer picture of potential outcomes, enabling decision-makers to select financing methods and capital structures that align with the company's long-term objectives.

Various forecasting techniques are commonly employed to support financial decision-making. For instance, ARIMA and GARCH (Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity) models are frequently used to predict trends in time-series data such as sales, expenses, or cash flows (Adams & Uchema, 2024). These models offer valuable insights derived from historical data, enabling companies to anticipate future business conditions. Additionally, techniques like Monte Carlo simulations enable businesses to analyze multiple financial scenarios and understand the potential outcomes of different decisions (Mun, 2006). By incorporating these advanced forecasting methods, companies can strengthen their financial strategies and improve risk management, further emphasizing the importance of forecasting in financial planning. In terms of risk management, forecasting is an invaluable tool. Every financial decision—whether related to investment, financing, or debt management—carries inherent risks (Sweeting, 2017). Forecasting helps businesses identify potential financial fluctuations and assess the risks associated with various scenarios. For example, forecasting cash flow allows companies to determine whether they have sufficient liquidity to meet debt obligations or fund future projects (Fight, 2005). By predicting financial challenges, companies can take preventive actions, adjust their strategies, and develop plans to mitigate risks. If a forecast predicts a downturn in cash flow, businesses may opt for more conservative financing strategies or adjust their capital structure to preserve stability. As Samonas, (2015) asserts, forecasting enables firms to prepare for economic downturns and market volatility, making informed decisions that safeguard long-term financial health.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Beyond risk management, forecasting plays a pivotal role in financing decisions. One of the most significant decisions a company faces is how to finance its operations and expansion—whether through debt, equity, or a combination of both. Forecasting enables businesses to evaluate the long-term effects of each option on their capital structure and financial health. As Samonas, (2015) notes, forecasting provides insight into how debt and equity impact liquidity, profitability, and overall financial stability. By predicting the cost of debt and potential returns from equity, companies can make more informed choices that align with their strategic goals while avoiding excessive financial risk. Forecasting also helps businesses assess the long-term sustainability of their financing decisions. For instance, by predicting future cash flows and evaluating debt-to-equity ratios, companies can better understand the financial implications of their chosen financing options (Nukala & Prasada Rao, 2021). This enables them to assess how financing decisions will affect debt repayment, operational costs, and funding for future investments. Accurate forecasting ensures that businesses remain financially stable, minimizing risks that could hinder growth. Additionally, forecasting enables companies to consider the impact of economic fluctuations on their financing decisions, ensuring that their financial strategies are resilient in changing market conditions.

Methods of Comparing Financing Options

Choosing the right financing option is critical for businesses, as it directly affects their capital structure, financial stability, and growth potential. Companies often face the dilemma of financing their operations through debt, equity, or a combination of both. The decision-making process requires a structured approach that includes evaluating various financing options based on specific criteria (Munier *et al.,* 2019). This literature review compares financing options and examines key factors, including costs, benefits, forecasting, and risk management. The first critical aspect when comparing financing options is understanding the cost. The cost of debt generally includes interest payments, which are a fixed obligation, whereas the cost of equity involves potential dilution of ownership and a return to investors (Rascher, 2021). Each financing method comes with its own set of trade-offs. Debt financing, for example, may be attractive due to its lower cost in the short term; however, it also introduces financial leverage and fixed obligations. Equity financing, on the other hand, avoids fixed repayments but may dilute ownership and control. As Khan *et al.,* (2021) argue, businesses must carefully weigh these factors, as the debt and equity structure will significantly impact their future financial flexibility and growth.

Another critical method in comparing financing options is conducting a cost-benefit analysis. This method involves evaluating the direct financial costs, such as interest or equity issuance costs, and considering long-term strategic benefits. For example, debt financing can provide immediate capital at a relatively low cost, but it may lead to increased financial risk if not managed carefully. In contrast, equity financing provides long-term capital without incurring debt but may reduce the current ownership stake. A study by Haessler, (2020) emphasizes the importance of considering both short-term and long-term costs in decision-making, as these affect the firm's financial health and strategic positioning. Forecasting is also crucial when comparing financing options. Forecasting enables companies to project future cash flows and evaluate the impact of various financing options on their financial well-being. Businesses can predict future financial performance under different financing scenarios using forecasting methods such as ARIMA (AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average) and VAR (Vector AutoRegression) (Ievsieieva *et al.,* 2024). These models enable decision-makers to understand how debt or equity will impact profitability, liquidity, and growth. According to

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Wasserbacher & Spindler, (2022), financial forecasting provides a clear view of future performance, enabling companies to make more informed decisions regarding their capital structure.

Decision-making in financing often involves risk management. The inherent risks associated with various financing options must be thoroughly evaluated, particularly given the uncertainties prevailing in financial markets. Techniques such as Monte Carlo simulations and scenario analysis are increasingly employed to assess the potential risks associated with debt or equity financing (Tobisova *et al.,* 2022). These tools enable businesses to model various economic conditions and determine how each financing option would perform under those scenarios. As Taskinsoy, (2020) explains risk management tools like these provide businesses with a better understanding of how market fluctuations, such as interest rate changes or economic downturns, can impact their financial stability and growth. Companies can use these techniques to select the financing option that best aligns with their risk tolerance and long-term goals. Sensitivity analysis can help assess a company's financial outcomes in response to changes in variables such as interest rates or market conditions. Sensitivity analysis enables companies to determine how slight variations in assumptions can lead to significant changes in the outcomes of different financing choices (Borgonovo & Plischke, 2016). This method is particularly beneficial in volatile markets, where external factors can significantly impact the financial landscape.

The Impact of Financing Decisions on Long-Term Business Success

Financing decisions are crucial for a company's long-term success, as they significantly impact its financial stability, growth potential, and overall business strategy. A company's method of financing its operations—through debt, equity, or a combination—significantly impacts its financial health and ability to adapt to economic challenges (Myers, 2003). Each financing option comes with advantages and disadvantages, and understanding these impacts is essential for maintaining a sustainable business model in the long run. One of the most important considerations when evaluating financing options is the capital structure, which refers to the balance between debt and equity in a company's financial framework. Debt financing enables a company to utilize borrowed funds to finance its operations or expansion, providing access to capital without relinquishing ownership. However, while debt can increase financial leverage, it also comes with risks. Excessive debt can lead to high-interest payments and create financial strain during periods of economic downturn. According to Jensen & Meckling (2019), a high debt ratio increases the firm's financial risk, reducing its flexibility and ability to manage unexpected challenges. Conversely, equity financing, which involves issuing shares to raise capital, does not require immediate repayment and avoids interest payments, providing more flexibility. However, equity financing dilutes ownership, limiting existing shareholders' control over company decisions (Amin & Liu, 2020).

Equity financing can be advantageous for companies seeking to avoid the risks associated with debt. However, it may reduce profitability since shareholders expect returns on their investments. Moreover, the issuance of additional shares can dilute control for the company's founders or existing owners. Decision-makers must weigh these trade-offs carefully. As Kose *et al.,* (2020) highlight, the optimal mix of debt and equity should strike a balance between the benefits of leveraging debt for growth and the risks associated with the increased burden of debt payments. Financing decisions also play a vital role in the growth and expansion of businesses. A company's financing choice can significantly affect its ability to invest in new projects, research and development, market expansion,

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

and acquisitions (Lu, 2021). Debt can provide immediate capital, enabling businesses to act quickly on growth opportunities. However, this may come at the cost of increased financial risk, especially if the debt levels are unsustainable. Equity financing, although less risky in terms of payment obligations, may be slower to secure and incur higher costs due to the equity issuance process. As shown by Dyer, (2019) a balanced approach to financing allows businesses to pursue growth opportunities without overextending their financial resources, thus supporting long-term business success.

Another critical factor to consider when making financing decisions is how these choices affect financial stability and risk management. Both debt and equity affect the company's ability to manage financial risks. Over-reliance on debt financing can lead to higher financial leverage, which increases the risk of insolvency, especially during market volatility or economic downturns. On the other hand, too much equity financing can dilute ownership and reduce control for the company's original shareholders. As Stulz (2024) points out, an optimal capital structure enables companies to balance these risks and maintain long-term financial stability effectively. Financing decisions have a direct impact on the company's value and investor confidence. A well-structured financing decision can enhance investor trust, improve market perception, and increase the company's value. Investors often perceive companies that maintain a balanced capital structure as less risky, which can lead to a higher valuation and better access to capital (Nukala & Prasada Rao, 2021). Conversely, poorly structured financing decisions—such as excessive debt or too much equity issuance—can erode investor confidence, decrease market valuation, and increase the cost of capital. According to Babar & Habib (2021), market reactions to a company's financing decisions can affect its future ability to raise capital, thus influencing long-term business growth and competitiveness.

The Integration of Sustainability Considerations in Financing Decisions

In recent years, integrating sustainability considerations into financing decisions has become crucial for companies looking to secure long-term success. As global awareness of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors grows, organizations are increasingly embedding these elements into their financial strategies (Hoang, 2018). Sustainable financing involves more than just financial risks; it also encompasses environmental and social risks, which have garnered significant attention from investors, regulators, and consumers (Migliorelli, 2021). Companies can better address these emerging risks by adopting sustainable finance practices while contributing to long-term value creation. One of the most significant aspects of integrating sustainability into financing decisions is its impact on risk management. Sustainable financing entails financial risks, including interest rate fluctuations and liquidity concerns, as well as environmental and social risks. For instance, companies in industries with significant ecological footprints, such as energy or mining, face considerable risks related to emissions, pollution, and regulations governing resource usage. These companies may face financial penalties, reputational damage, and operational challenges if they fail to adopt more sustainable practices. According to Schulte, (2018) sustainability-driven financial decisions enable companies to identify, assess, and mitigate long-term risks, thereby ensuring they are better prepared for regulatory changes and shifts in market demand. By integrating sustainability factors into their financing decisions, companies can develop more resilient financial strategies that consider both financial and non-financial risks.

In addition to financial risks, companies must also consider environmental and social risks when making financing decisions. Investors and financial institutions are increasingly emphasizing ESG factors when evaluating investments. Companies that proactively address sustainability issues may need help accessing green finance or attracting socially conscious investors. For instance, companies that fail to meet environmental standards or engage in practices that harm communities may face public backlash, legal consequences, and reduced access to funding. Ferri *et al.,* (2019) emphasize that neglecting sustainability considerations in financing decisions can limit a company's ability to secure green bonds, sustainable loans, and other financing options that prioritize environmental and social outcomes. Thus, companies must assess these risks when evaluating financing options, ensuring that their financing decisions are both financially sound and socially responsible. Green and sustainable finance instruments have emerged as powerful tools for companies to manage financial risks while promoting sustainability goals (Macchiavello & Siri, 2022). Instruments such as green bonds, sustainability-linked loans, and green sukuk provide companies with the opportunity to raise capital at lower costs while demonstrating their commitment to sustainability. These financial products are increasingly favored by investors looking to fund projects that produce positive environmental and social impacts. Green financing enables companies to reduce their exposure to social and ecological risks by supporting projects that align with global sustainability goals, such as renewable energy, energy efficiency, and sustainable infrastructure. Allioui & Mourdi, (2023) argue that selecting suitable financial instruments can help companies navigate market uncertainties, regulatory changes, and shifting consumer preferences while promoting their long-term growth.

Government regulations also play a crucial role in guiding companies toward sustainable financing decisions. Many countries are implementing stricter environmental laws, including carbon emissions limits, renewable energy mandates, and supply chain transparency requirements. Companies that fail to comply with these regulations face penalties, legal challenges, and reputational damage. Therefore, integrating sustainability into financing decisions ensures regulatory compliance and enables companies to better manage risks associated with evolving regulatory frameworks. Judijanto *et al.,* (2024) emphasize the importance of aligning corporate financial strategies with government policies to mitigate non-compliance risks and guarantee long-term success. As governments continue to implement policies that encourage sustainable business practices, companies adopting sustainable finance strategies are better positioned to capitalize on these opportunities (Caldera *et al.,* 2019). With increasing pressure from the public and regulators to align financial practices with environmental and social goals, companies embracing sustainability are more likely to thrive in a future shaped by these growing expectations. Adopting sustainable finance strategies enables companies to mitigate risk, meet consumer demand for ethical practices, and stay ahead of regulatory changes, thereby enhancing their long-term competitiveness and financial performance.

Research Method

Study Design

This study employs a qualitative approach, utilizing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology. The SLR methodology enables a comprehensive and structured analysis of existing research, aiming to synthesize findings and provide an overview of the integration of sustainability considerations into financing decisions. By focusing on theoretical and empirical studies, the research will explore key themes, challenges, and methodologies at the intersection of sustainable finance and corporate decision-making. The study will provide insights into the role of sustainability in financial

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

decision-making processes, particularly within the context of risk management and investment strategies.

Sample Population or Subject of Research

The sample population for this research consists of peer-reviewed articles, books, and reports published since 2018. The research will focus on studies examining sustainable finance, corporate governance, risk management, and the integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors in financing decisions. The inclusion criteria will be limited to studies that provide insights into the methods and impacts of integrating sustainability into financial strategies, with a particular emphasis on those that discuss corporate finance risk management and the regulatory frameworks that shape these decisions. Non-peer-reviewed publications and studies before 2018 will be excluded from consideration.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data collection will involve a systematic search of academic databases, including Google Scholar, JSTOR, Scopus, and ScienceDirect, using specific keywords such as "sustainable finance," "ESG factors in finance," "green bonds," and "corporate risk management." The inclusion criteria for selecting studies will be based on their relevance, methodological rigor, and contribution to understanding the role of sustainability in financial decision-making. The selection process will be documented through a flowchart per the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews.

Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis will be conducted through thematic synthesis, where the key themes and patterns identified across the selected studies will be categorized and discussed. The findings from the literature will be organized into several thematic areas, including risk management, the role of ESG factors, regulatory challenges, and the use of financial instruments such as green bonds. This approach will enable a comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge in sustainable finance and identify areas for future research. Each study will be analyzed to evaluate its contributions to the field and identify gaps in literature.

Results and Discussion

Analysis Result

Integrating forecasting into financing decisions has become essential for businesses seeking long-term growth and stability. In an increasingly uncertain global market, companies must make informed financing choices that balance their immediate funding needs with long-term financial sustainability. Forecasting is an invaluable tool that enables companies to evaluate various financing options, such as debt or equity, and make informed decisions that align with their strategic objectives (Samonas, 2015). By projecting future financial outcomes, companies can compare the potential risks and returns of each financing alternative, ensuring that their chosen path contributes to both short-term liquidity and long-term growth. When comparing financing options, forecasting allows companies to make well-informed decisions that mitigate potential financial risks (Khan & Khan, 2020). In particular, forecasting helps businesses better understand the financial consequences of each option—whether it

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

involves raising funds through debt or equity. Debt financing, for example, increases financial leverage but carries the risk of high-interest payments and potential solvency issues if not managed carefully. On the other hand, equity financing can provide long-term capital without the obligation of repayment, but it comes with the cost of diluting ownership and control (Jensen & Meckling, 2019). By utilizing forecasting, businesses can assess the long-term impacts of each financing choice, including its effects on cash flow, debt servicing, and overall capital structure. This allows companies to select the option that best aligns with their risk tolerance, growth prospects, and strategic objectives (Lu, 2021).

Accurate forecasting plays a critical role in ensuring the success of financing decisions. The quality of forecasts directly influences a company's ability to select the most appropriate financing option (Mun, 2006). For instance, precise forecasts that predict future revenues, expenses, and capital needs allow businesses to determine whether debt financing or equity financing will best meet their needs. If a company anticipates strong future revenue growth, it may opt for debt financing, taking advantage of low interest rates and the potential for higher returns on equity (Hoang, 2018). Conversely, if a company predicts slower growth or higher uncertainty, it may prefer equity financing to reduce its risk of being over-leveraged (Kose *et al.,* 2020). Inaccurate forecasts, however, can lead to poor financing decisions, such as taking on excessive debt when the company cannot support it or failing to raise sufficient capital through equity. The company's financial stability may be compromised in both cases, and its long-term prospects could be jeopardized (Nukala & Prasada Rao, 2021).

In addition to the direct financial risks associated with different financing options, forecasting enables companies to manage broader risks, such as market fluctuations and regulatory changes (Ferri *et al.,* 2019). Companies that rely on accurate financial forecasts are better equipped to understand how external factors—such as interest rate changes, inflation, or new regulations—may impact their financing options. For instance, businesses operating in industries subject to heavy regulation, such as energy or manufacturing, must consider the potential effects of environmental or labor laws on their ability to meet financial obligations (Migliorelli, 2021). Forecasting enables businesses to model various scenarios, providing insights into how external variables may impact cash flows and financing requirements. This forward-looking approach allows enterprises to make financing decisions that address current needs and anticipate potential challenges. By using forecasting to analyze these risks, companies can select financing options that provide the flexibility to respond to market changes and regulatory pressures, enhancing their financial resilience (Allioui & Mourdi, 2023).

Forecasting is a critical tool for businesses looking to optimize their capital structure. A well-balanced capital structure enables a company to maintain financial flexibility while minimizing the cost of capital (Dyer, 2019). Forecasting enables businesses to determine the optimal mix of debt and equity, taking into account expected cash flows, capital expenditures, and overall financial objectives (Adams & Uchema, 2024). By projecting future performance and identifying the best financing alternatives, forecasting ensures businesses do not rely too heavily on any single funding source. For instance, companies that accurately forecast their cash flows and capital needs can better structure their debt to minimize the burden of interest payments while avoiding over-dilution of ownership through equity issuance (Caldera *et al.,* 2019). Effective forecasting enables businesses to make informed strategic decisions that support growth while maintaining financial stability. The impact of financing decisions informed by accurate forecasting is evident in companies' improved operational efficiency and long-term profitability. When financing decisions are based on reliable projections, businesses can better align their capital structure with their growth plans, ensuring they have the necessary resources to fund

e-ISSN: 2985-7538

expansion, research and development, or other strategic initiatives (Song & Wu, 2023). Forecasting enables companies to create a roadmap for future performance, allowing them to allocate resources efficiently and avoid unnecessary financial stress. Moreover, companies that use forecasting to inform their financing decisions are more likely to maintain a competitive advantage in the market (Bozanic *et al.,* 2024). Businesses can increase profitability and enhance their long-term prospects in an ever-changing global economy by making well-informed, data-driven decisions.

One of the key advantages of using forecasting in financing decisions is its ability to enhance a company's strategic adaptability (Yaghoubi & Keefe, 2022). In a volatile business environment, companies must be able to respond to unforeseen changes in market conditions, economic cycles, or regulatory landscapes. Forecasting enables companies to model various scenarios and understand how different financing options will impact their ability to adapt to these changes. By considering a range of potential outcomes, businesses can make financing decisions that allow them to adjust their strategies as needed. For example, a company anticipating a downturn in its industry may choose to secure additional debt to maintain liquidity. In contrast, a company expecting strong growth may issue equity to fund expansion. The ability to forecast future performance with a high degree of accuracy helps companies remain agile and better positioned to weather economic challenges.

Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the pivotal role that forecasting plays in evaluating various financing options and making informed business decisions that drive long-term success. A comprehensive analysis of existing literature reveals that forecasting is a vital tool for assessing financing alternatives, including debt, equity, and other financial instruments. Forecasting enables businesses to evaluate the potential risks and returns associated with each financing option by providing accurate projections of cash flows, capital requirements, and market conditions. This study highlights the importance of forecasting, as it facilitates decision-making processes that have significant implications for a company's long-term liquidity, profitability, and overall stability. In particular, businesses that effectively utilize forecasting can make more informed choices regarding financing options that align with their strategic goals and financial objectives.

One of the most significant findings of this study is the direct influence of accurate forecasting on the decision-making processes related to financing options. The ability to predict future cash flows, capital needs, and potential market conditions plays a vital role in determining a company's most suitable financing method. For example, businesses that forecast future solid cash flows may opt for debt financing, as it allows them to leverage borrowing costs, potentially leading to greater returns for equity holders. On the other hand, if the forecast predicts a period of slower growth or heightened market uncertainty, companies may prefer equity financing to minimize their debt burden and avoid excessive exposure to financial risk. This finding demonstrates that the accuracy of forecasts directly influences how companies select their financing options, ensuring that decisions align with both short-term and long-term growth strategies. Forecasting provides a clear roadmap for businesses, enabling them to make financial decisions that are best suited to their financial health and market position.

Another critical insight from this study is the contribution of forecasting to effective risk management in financing decisions. As companies are constantly confronted with various risks when selecting financing options—such as market volatility, interest rate fluctuations, and changes in the regulatory environment—forecasting is a valuable tool to mitigate these uncertainties. For instance,

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

forecasting enables businesses to anticipate potential risks, such as shifts in interest rates or the possibility of inflation, which may affect their ability to meet financial obligations. By predicting these changes, businesses can adjust their capital structures, debt levels, or financing strategies to better align with their risk tolerance and financial goals. This flexibility allows companies to remain proactive rather than reactive in their financial decisions, ensuring that their financial strategies remain robust in the face of market dynamics. Moreover, the study highlights that businesses with effective forecasting models are better equipped to manage the uncertainties in financial markets, enhancing their resilience and maintaining operational stability. This is especially critical in a volatile economic environment, where businesses that fail to account for changing market conditions risk jeopardizing their long-term success.

The findings of this study also demonstrate that accurate forecasting can significantly enhance a company's ability to achieve long-term financial performance and maintain a competitive edge in the market. Forecasting enables businesses to assess their current financial health and plays a crucial role in planning for future growth and success. Companies can optimize their capital structure by accurately predicting future revenue streams, operational costs, and capital requirements, thereby ensuring sufficient funding for growth initiatives. For example, companies that use forecasting to predict future operational costs are better positioned to make informed decisions about the type of financing they should pursue. By aligning financing strategies with projected financial outcomes, businesses can avoid underfunding or over-leveraging themselves, which could hinder their long-term growth and profitability. This study finds that companies that make financing decisions based on sound forecasting models are more likely to improve their profitability, maintain efficient operations, and achieve sustainable growth over time. Furthermore, forecasting market conditions and consumer behavior allows businesses to identify emerging opportunities, prepare for potential challenges, and strategically allocate resources to capitalize on these changes. In this way, forecasting contributes to long-term business success by ensuring that companies remain agile and responsive to market trends and operational demands.

The study emphasizes that businesses that integrate forecasting into their decision-making processes are better equipped to navigate dynamic and unpredictable market conditions. In an era of global economic uncertainty, companies must be able to adapt to rapid changes in their operating environments. Forecasting enables businesses to simulate different scenarios and anticipate how various economic, financial, and regulatory factors could influence their operations. This allows companies to adjust their financial strategies and make more informed decisions that support both short-term objectives and long-term sustainability. For example, a company anticipating an economic downturn may use forecasting to evaluate whether it should secure additional financing or adjust its capital structure to ensure continued liquidity. Alternatively, companies may seek to increase investment in growth opportunities if their forecasts predict an upward trend in market demand. Forecasting future trends and conditions allows companies to remain nimble in their decision-making, enhancing their ability to respond to challenges with agility and adaptability. This flexibility is a crucial factor in sustaining a competitive advantage and maintaining long-term profitability.

The findings of this study align closely with several established theories in finance, particularly the theory of capital structure and the Modigliani-Miller theorem. According to the Modigliani-Miller theorem, the value of a company is independent of its capital structure in a perfect market; however, this assumption is challenged in real-world markets where factors such as risk, taxes, and market imperfections come into play. As highlighted by this study, forecasting addresses these imperfections

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

by providing companies with the tools to anticipate future financial needs, identify potential risks, and optimize their financing decisions accordingly. By integrating forecasting into capital structure decisions, businesses can make more informed choices about whether to issue debt or equity based on their specific financial conditions and market outlook. The findings are also consistent with the principles of Agency Theory, which posits that a conflict of interest exists between managers and shareholders regarding financial decisions. Accurate forecasting can help mitigate this conflict by providing a clear, data-driven basis for decision-making, ensuring that both parties understand the company's financial trajectory and direction. The concept of risk management, as outlined by Markowitz's portfolio theory, is also relevant. According to Markowitz's theory, diversification and risk minimization are essential to achieving optimal financial outcomes. Forecasting enables businesses to diversify their financing sources and manage risk exposure, resulting in a more balanced and stable financial structure.

The findings of this study align with previous research on the role of forecasting in financial decision-making, particularly in terms of its impact on risk management and financing strategies. For example, Goodman et al. (2014) emphasize that integrating forecasting into capital budgeting enables companies to make informed and sustainable financing decisions, which aligns with the findings of this study. Samonas, (2015) found that forecasting models are crucial for predicting cash flows, adjusting financing strategies, mitigating financial risk, and enhancing business stability. This study reaffirms these conclusions by demonstrating that accurate forecasting enables companies to manage market fluctuations better. However, this study diverges from previous research by incorporating sustainability considerations into financing decisions. While studies like Ievsieieva (2024) have primarily focused on financial metrics such as cash flows and interest rates, this study introduces environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into the forecasting process. This aligns with recent research by Kuzmina et al. (2023), highlighting the increasing integration of ESG factors into financial decision-making. This study extends previous research by showing how forecasting can help manage broader risks, especially those related to ESG considerations. While financial risk management and forecasting accuracy have been well-documented (e.g., Chatterjee *et al.,* 2023; Bozanic *et al.,* 2024) including ESG factors in forecasting models is a relatively new area. This study fills that gap by demonstrating how forecasting can assess the sustainability of financing options, particularly in response to growing regulatory pressures and societal demands, further supporting the importance of green financing (Li *et al.,* 2024).

The practical implications of these findings are significant for businesses and financial managers. First, forecasting is a strategic tool that enables companies to make informed financing decisions—whether through debt or equity—based on long-term goals, financial conditions, and risk tolerance. It also helps mitigate financial risks, ensuring an optimized capital structure for stability and growth. Second, businesses should integrate sustainability considerations into their forecasting models. With growing global awareness of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues, incorporating ESG factors into financing decisions will better position companies to meet regulatory requirements, attract socially responsible investors, and enhance their reputation. Financial managers must utilize forecasting models that incorporate both financial and ESG metrics to align financing decisions with long-term sustainability. Ultimately, forecasting enhances adaptability and resilience by enabling businesses to anticipate market changes and prepare for potential disruptions. By modeling various scenarios, companies can make flexible financing decisions that ensure continued growth, even during market volatility, and maintain a competitive edge in a complex global business environment.

Conclusion

This study examined the role of forecasting in comparing financing options and its impact on business decision-making, focusing on how forecasting tools influence the selection of financing strategies that align with long-term corporate goals. The findings highlighted that forecasting provides businesses with critical insights into the potential risks and returns associated with various financing options, including debt and equity. Accurate forecasting enhances decision-making by enabling companies to anticipate future cash flows, capital requirements, and market conditions more effectively. Moreover, integrating sustainability considerations into the forecasting process was found to be increasingly important, as businesses must also consider environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors in their financing decisions.

The value of this study lies in its contribution to academic knowledge and practical financial decision-making. The research fills a gap in the existing literature by exploring how forecasting, traditionally used for financial risk management, can be expanded to include sustainability considerations when comparing financing options. This novel approach offers valuable insights for financial managers, enabling them to make more informed, adaptable, and sustainable financing decisions. Businesses can apply these findings by incorporating forecasting models that integrate financial metrics and ESG factors, ensuring long-term growth, stability, and resilience. Monetary managers can leverage forecasting to enhance decision-making, mitigate risks, and align their strategies with global sustainability trends, ultimately improving their competitive advantage.

However, the study has limitations. One key area for improvement is its reliance on secondary data sources, which may not fully capture the dynamic, real-time nature of forecasting in financial decision-making. Additionally, the study primarily focuses on integrating forecasting within the context of corporate financing, leaving other areas of financial decision-making for future exploration. Future research could build on this study by examining how forecasting can be applied to different areas of economic strategy, such as investment decisions or risk management in mergers and acquisitions. Further research should also investigate the effectiveness of various forecasting models across different industries, taking into account the increasing importance of sustainability in finance. As businesses face increasingly complex global challenges, understanding how forecasting can support adaptive, data-driven decision-making will be crucial for achieving long-term success.

References

Adams, S. O., & Uchema, J. I. (2024). Nigeria Exchange Rate Volatility: A Comparative Study of Recurrent Neural Network LSTM and Exponential Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity Models. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data, 4(2), 61–73. https://doi.org/10.31586/jaibd.2024.983

Allioui, H., & Mourdi, Y. (2023). Exploring the full potential of IoT for better financial growth and stability: A comprehensive survey. Sensors, 23(19), 8015. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23198015

Amin, Q. A., & Liu, J. (2020). Shareholders' control rights, family ownership and the firm's leverage decisions. International Review of Financial Analysis, 72, 101591. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101591

Askari, H., Iqbal, Z., Krichenne, N., & Mirakhor, A. (2011). The stability of Islamic finance: Creating a resilient financial environment for a secure future (Vol. 736). John Wiley & Sons.

e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Babar, M., & Habib, A. (2021). Product market competition in accounting, finance, and corporate governance: A review of the literature. International Review of Financial Analysis, 73, 101607. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101607

Borgonovo, E., & Plischke, E. (2016). Sensitivity analysis: A review of recent advances. European Journal of Operational Research, 248(3), 869–887. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.06.032

Bozanic, Z., Ferguson, A., & Pündrich, G. (2024). Managing Expectations Through Budgetary Slack: Evidence from Project Financing. Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2024.2312177

Caldera, H. T. S., Desha, C., & Dawes, L. (2019). Evaluating the enablers and barriers for successful implementation of sustainable business practice in 'lean' SMEs. Journal of Cleaner Production, 218, 575–590. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.239

Chatterjee, S., Chaudhuri, R., Gupta, S., Sivarajah, U., & Bag, S. (2023). Assessing the impact of big data analytics on decision-making processes, forecasting, and performance of a firm. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 196, 122824. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122824

Dyer, M. R. (2019). Financial strategies for long-term success in women-owned small businesses. Walden University. https://doi.org/10.57229/2373-1761.1393

Ferri, G., Acosta, B. A., Investing, I., Banks, A., & Ratings, E. S. G. (2019). SUSTAINABLE FINANCE FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (1) by Giovanni Ferri and Bonnie Annette Acosta (LUMSA University, Rome – Italy). March, 1–64. https://iwfsas.org/iwfsas2019/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/Sepecial-session-P2.pdf.

Fight, A. (2005). Cash flow forecasting. Elsevier.

Goodman, T. H., Neamtiu, M., Shroff, N., & White, H. D. (2014). Management Forecast Quality and Capital Investment Decisions. The Accounting Review, 89(1), 331–365. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50575

Haessler, P. (2020). Strategic decisions between short-term profit and sustainability. Administrative Sciences, 10(3), 63. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci10030063

Hoang, T. (2018). The Role of the Integrated Reporting in Raising Awareness of Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) Performance. In Stakeholders, Governance and Responsibility (Vol. 14, pp. 47–69). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S2043-052320180000014003

Ievsieieva, O., Kolisnyk, M., Yatsenko, O., Chornovol, A., & Bocharova, N. (2024). Financial Modeling and Forecasting in Corporate Finance Management. Economic Affairs, 69(1), 629–646. https://doi.org/10.46852/0424-2513.2.2024.23

Iriani, N., Agustianti, A., Sucianti, R., Rahman, A., & Putera, W. (2024). Understanding Risk and Uncertainty Management: A Qualitative Inquiry into Developing Business Strategies Amidst Global Economic Shifts, Government Policies, and Market Volatility. Golden Ratio of Finance Management, 4(2), 62–77. https://doi.org/10.52970/grfm.v4i2.444

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (2019). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. In Corporate governance (pp. 77–132). Gower. https://doi.org/http://ssrn.com/abstract=94043Electroniccopyavailableat:http://ssrn.com/abstract=94043 http://hupress.harvard.edu/catalog/JENTHF.html

Judijanto, L., Hairuddin, S. H., Subhan, S., & Sipayung, B. (2024). Analysis of the Effect of Risk Management and Compliance Practices on Financial Performance and Corporate Reputation in the Financial Industry in Indonesia. The Es Accounting and Finance, 2(03 SE-Articles), 177–191. https://doi.org/10.58812/esaf.v2i03.293

Khan, K. I., Qadeer, F., Mata, M. N., Chavaglia Neto, J., Sabir, Q. ul A., Martins, J. N., & Filipe, J. A. (2021). Core predictors of debt specialization: A new insight to optimal capital structure. Mathematics, 9(9), 975. https://doi.org/10.3390/math9090975

Khan, M. S., & Khan, U. (2020). Comparison of forecasting performance with VAR vs. ARIMA models using economic variables of Bangladesh. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics, 10(2), 33–47. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajpas/2020/v10i230243

Kose, M. A., Ohnsorge, F., & Sugawara, N. (2020). Benefits and Costs of Debt: The Dose Makes the Poison. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3547372

Kuzmina, J., Maditinos, D., Norena-Chavez, D., Grima, S., & Kadłubek, M. (2023). ESG Integration as a Risk Management Tool within the Financial Decision-making Process. In S. Grima, E. Thalassinos, M. Cristea, M. Kadłubek, D. Maditinos, & L. Peiseniece (Eds.), Digital Transformation, Strategic Resilience, Cyber Security and Risk Management (Vol. 111A, pp. 105–113). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-37592023000111A007

Li, L., Zhou, S., Xu, W., & Dai, J. (2024). Green innovation's impact on corporate financing: New insights from BRICS economies. Finance Research Letters, 62, 105172. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.105172

Liu, X., & Loang, O. K. (2023). Analysts' forecast optimism and cash holding: evidence from China. Finance Research Letters, 57, 104237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104237

Lu, J. (2021). Can the green merger and acquisition strategy improve the environmental protection investment of listed company? Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 86, 106470. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2020.106470

Macchiavello, E., & Siri, M. (2022). Sustainable Finance and Fintech: Can Technology Contribute to Achieving Environmental Goals? A Preliminary Assessment of 'Green Fintech' and 'Sustainable Digital Finance.' 19(1), 128–174. https://doi.org/doi:10.1515/ecfr-2022-0005

Migliorelli, M. (2021). What do we mean by sustainable finance? Assessing existing frameworks and policy risks. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(2), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020975

Mishra, S. (2018). Financial management and forecasting using business intelligence and big data analytic tools. International Journal of Financial Engineering, 5(02), 1850011. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2424786318500111

Mpofu, S., & Chasokela, D. (2025). Data-Informed Decision-Making: Using Analytics to Drive Strategic Management in Higher Education. In Building Organizational Capacity and Strategic Management in Academia (pp. 103–138). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6967-8.ch004

Mun, J. (2006). Modeling risk: Applying Monte Carlo simulation, real options analysis, forecasting, and optimization techniques (Vol. 347). John Wiley & Sons.

Munier, N., Hontoria, E., & Jiménez-Sáez, F. (2019). Strategic approach in multi-criteria decision making (Vol. 275). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-44453-1

Myers, S. C. (2003). Chapter 4 - Financing of Corporations. In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. B. T.-H. of the E. of F. Stulz (Eds.), Corporate Finance (Vol. 1, pp. 215–253). Elsevier. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102(03)01008-2

Nukala, V. B., & Prasada Rao, S. S. (2021). Role of debt-to-equity ratio in project investment valuation, assessing risk and return in capital markets. Future Business Journal, 7(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-021-00058-9

Rao, A., & Hossain, M. R. (2024). The future of finance: Artificial intelligence's influence on behavioral investment decisions. In Leveraging AI and Emotional Intelligence in Contemporary Business Organizations (pp. 166–186). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1902-4.ch010

Rascher, D. A. (2021). Debt and Equity Financing. In Financial Management in the Sport Industry (pp. 220–243). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429316746-9/debt-equity-financing-daniel-rascher.

Ristyawan, M. R., Putro, U. S., & Siallagan, M. (2023). Decision making mechanism in resource based theory: A literature review, synthesis, and future research. Cogent Business & Management, 10(2), 2247217. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2247217

Samonas, M. (2015). Financial forecasting, analysis, and modelling: a framework for long-term forecasting. John Wiley & Sons.

Schulte, J. (2018). Company Risk Management in Light of the Sustainability Transition. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10114137

Song, Z., & Wu, S. (2023). Post financial forecasting game theory and decision making. Finance Research Letters, 58, 104288. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104288

Stulz, R. M. (2024). RISK, The Limits of Financial Risk Management, and Corporate Resilience. National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w32882

Sujith, A., Qureshi, N. I., Dornadula, V. H. R., Rath, A., Prakash, K. B., & Singh, S. K. (2022). A comparative analysis of business machine learning in making effective financial decisions using structural equation model (SEM). Journal of Food Quality, 2022(1), 6382839. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6382839

Sweeting, P. (2017). Financial enterprise risk management. Cambridge University Press.

Taskinsoy, J. (2020). Old and new methods of risk measurements for financial stability amid the great outbreak. Available at SSRN 3587150. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3587150

Tavares, F., Santos, E., Vasconcelos, M. V. de, & Tavares, V. C. (2023). A Statistical Analysis of Companies' Financing Strategies in Portugal during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 16(2), 116. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16020116

Tobisova, A., Senova, A., & Rozenberg, R. (2022). Model for sustainable financial planning and investment financing using Monte Carlo method. Sustainability, 14(14), 8785. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148785

Wasserbacher, H., & Spindler, M. (2022). Machine learning for financial forecasting, planning and analysis: recent developments and pitfalls. Digital Finance, 4(1), 63–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42521-021-00046-2

Yaghoubi, M., & Keefe, M. O. C. (2021). The financing of uncertain future investments. https://doi.org/10.1108/sef-02-2021-0083

Yudha, J. O. M., Oktavia, R., & Desriani, N. (2023). The effect of foreign debt, liquidity, firm size, and exchange rate on hedging decision. Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business: JIEB., 38(2), 133–146. https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.v38i2.5887

Zhang, D., & Wellalage, N. H. (2022). Comparative analysis of environmental performance measures and their impact on firms' financing choices. Journal of Cleaner Production, 375, 134176. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134176

Corresponding author

A. We Tenri Fatimah Singkeruang can be contacted at: wetenrifatimah@gmail.com