

Advances in Taxation Research

<https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/ATR>

This Work is Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Tax Fairness and Public Perception: A Comparative Literature Review



Fifi Angriani Putri Naslia [✉] Yulianti Yulianti ²

[✉] Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia Makassar, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90233, Indonesia

² Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia Makassar, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90233, Indonesia

Received: 2024, 01, 04 Accepted: 2024, 05, 31

Available online: 2024, 05, 31

Corresponding author. Fifi Angriani Putri Naslia

[✉] fifiangriani05@gmail.com

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
<p>Keywords:</p> <p>Tax Fairness; Compliance Behavior; Institutional Trust; Comparative Literature Review; Policy Implications.</p> <p>Conflict of Interest Statement:</p> <p>The author(s) declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.</p> <p>Copyright © 2023 ATR. All rights reserved.</p>	<p>Purpose: This study explores the complex dynamics that shape tax justice perceptions and compliance behavior, considering individual, institutional, and contextual factors.</p> <p>Research Design and Methodology: Using comparative literature review methodology, this research synthesizes findings from studies across multiple disciplines, including economics, psychology, and political science.</p> <p>Findings and Discussion: The findings show that socio-economic status, political ideology, cultural values, and institutional trust significantly influence individuals' attitudes towards taxation and compliance with tax laws. The research also highlights the importance of transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in tax administration in building trust and encouraging compliance.</p> <p>Implications: By leveraging technological advances, enhancing international cooperation, and implementing progressive tax reforms, stakeholders can work towards creating a fairer and more equitable tax system that supports social cohesion and economic prosperity.</p>

Introduction

Taxation is a fundamental aspect of modern societies, serving as a primary means for governments to finance public expenditures and redistribute wealth. The concept of tax fairness, defined as the equitable distribution of tax burdens among individuals and groups within a society, has garnered significant attention from policymakers, academics, and the general public. Public perception of tax fairness is crucial in shaping compliance behavior, government legitimacy, and societal cohesion. This comparative literature review explores the intricate relationship between tax fairness and public perception by synthesizing findings from previous research. The review aims to comprehensively understand the factors influencing public perceptions of tax fairness across different socioeconomic contexts. By examining the existing body of literature, this study aims to identify gaps, inconsistencies, and emerging trends in research related to tax fairness and public perception.

Taxation is a cornerstone of fiscal policy, enabling governments to finance public goods and services, address market failures, and promote social welfare objectives. However, the fairness of tax systems has been a subject of debate and contention throughout history. The notion of tax fairness encompasses various dimensions, including horizontal equity (equal treatment of equals), vertical equity (progressive taxation based on ability to pay), and procedural fairness (perceptions of fairness in the tax administration process). Many factors, including socioeconomic status, cultural norms,

political ideology, and trust in government institutions, shape public perception of tax fairness. Studies have shown that individuals tend to perceive tax systems as fair when they believe that tax burdens are distributed equitably, that tax policies are transparent and consistent, and that tax authorities are accountable and responsive to taxpayer needs. Conversely, perceptions of unfairness can arise from perceptions of preferential treatment for certain groups, complexity and opacity in the tax code, and perceptions of tax evasion and avoidance.

The relationship between tax fairness and public perception is complex and multifaceted, influenced by individual, institutional, and contextual factors. Research in this area has examined various aspects of tax fairness, including the role of social norms, trust in government, perceptions of tax evasion, and the effectiveness of tax enforcement mechanisms. One line of research focuses on the role of social norms and moral values in shaping perceptions of tax fairness. Studies have found that individuals' attitudes towards taxation are influenced by their beliefs about the moral obligations of citizens to contribute to the common good and the perceived legitimacy of government authority to levy taxes. Cultural factors also play a significant role, with differences in tax attitudes observed across countries with varying levels of individualism, collectivism, and religiosity. Another strand of research investigates the impact of trust in government institutions on tax compliance and perceptions of fairness. Scholars argue that trust in government is a crucial determinant of tax compliance behavior, as individuals are more likely to comply with tax obligations when they perceive tax authorities as fair, competent, and accountable. However, trust in government can be undermined by perceptions of corruption, inefficiency, and lack of transparency in tax administration.

The prevalence of tax evasion and avoidance behaviors further complicates the phenomenon of tax fairness and public perception. Despite legal frameworks and enforcement mechanisms, tax non-compliance remains a persistent challenge for governments worldwide. Studies have explored the factors driving tax evasion and avoidance, including the perceived likelihood of detection, the severity of penalties, and social norms regarding tax compliance. Moreover, the digitalization of economies and the globalization of financial markets have created new tax evasion and avoidance opportunities, posing additional challenges for policymakers and tax authorities. The emergence of offshore tax havens, complex corporate structures, and digital platforms has made it increasingly difficult to monitor and regulate cross-border flows of capital and income. Understanding the dynamics of tax fairness and public perception is paramount for policymakers seeking to design tax policies that are perceived as fair and equitable by the public. Research in this area can inform the development of strategies to enhance tax compliance, improve tax administration, and foster trust in government institutions. Moreover, comparative studies across different countries and socioeconomic contexts can shed light on the factors driving cross-national variations in tax attitudes and behaviors. Various studies have explored the public's perception of fairness in various contexts. Starke (2021) highlights the importance of considering people's fairness perceptions in algorithmic decision-making, while Santos (2020) discusses the tension between differential pricing methods in tourism and consumers' reactions. Eykelenboom (2019) and Al-Maghrebi (2022) both focus on tax-related issues, with the former examining the acceptability of a sugar-sweetened beverages tax and the latter exploring the determinants of tax compliance. These studies underscore the significance of fairness perceptions in shaping public attitudes and behaviors and the need for further research.

To ensure the objectivity and rigor of this literature review, a systematic approach will be adopted to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant studies from academic journals, books, and government reports. The review will adhere to established methodological guidelines, including transparent selection criteria, rigorous data extraction procedures, and thorough quality assessment of included studies. Any potential biases or limitations in the existing literature will be critically appraised, and efforts will be made to provide a balanced and nuanced interpretation of the findings. This comparative literature review aims to contribute to the existing knowledge on tax fairness and public perception by synthesizing empirical evidence from previous research. This study seeks to inform evidence-based policymaking and stimulate further inquiry into this critical and timely topic by elucidating the determinants and consequences of public perceptions of tax fairness.

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Tax Fairness

Tax fairness, a concept of paramount importance in modern taxation systems, continues to evolve with ongoing research and societal changes. Rooted in principles of equity and justice, tax fairness encompasses multiple dimensions, each crucial for shaping perceptions and outcomes in tax policy and administration. Horizontal equity, the principle that individuals in similar economic circumstances should bear similar tax burdens, remains a cornerstone of tax fairness (Musgrave, 2020). Recent studies have delved into the intricacies of horizontal equity, exploring how factors such as income inequality, wealth disparities, and demographic characteristics influence perceptions of fairness (Hanel & Kalb, 2019). Moreover, emerging research highlights the role of horizontal equity in addressing tax evasion and avoidance, emphasizing the importance of equitable tax enforcement to ensure compliance across income strata (Ganghof & Genschel, 2021).

Vertical equity, another critical dimension of tax fairness, emphasizes the progressive taxation of individuals based on their ability to pay (Myles, 2019). Recent scholarship has expanded the discourse on vertical equity, examining its implications for redistributive justice and social welfare outcomes (Piketty, 2020). Moreover, studies have explored innovative approaches to enhancing vertical equity, such as wealth taxes and income-based tax credits, to address rising income inequality and promote economic inclusivity (Saez & Zucman, 2021). Procedural fairness, essential for fostering trust and legitimacy in tax systems, continues to be a focal point of research in tax administration (Alm & Martinez-Vazquez, 2019). Recent advancements in procedural fairness research have elucidated the importance of transparency, accountability, and taxpayer engagement in enhancing perceptions of fairness (McCaffery, 2019). Additionally, studies have examined the role of digital technologies in improving procedural fairness, leveraging data analytics and online platforms to streamline tax processes and enhance taxpayer experiences (Banks & Shackelford, 2020).

Incorporating insights from recent research, it is evident that tax fairness remains a dynamic and evolving concept, shaped by ongoing debates, empirical findings, and policy innovations. By integrating principles of horizontal equity, vertical equity, and procedural fairness, policymakers and tax administrators can strive to design tax systems that are perceived as fair and equitable by the public, fostering compliance and societal trust in the process (Fuest & Riedel, 2020). However, addressing the complexities of tax fairness requires interdisciplinary collaboration, empirical rigor, and a commitment to addressing systemic inequalities, ensuring that tax systems contribute to broader objectives of social justice and economic prosperity (Brewer & Saez, 2021).

Factors Influencing Public Perception of Tax Fairness

The perception of tax fairness is a dynamic construct influenced by many individual, institutional, and contextual factors, as evidenced by recent research findings. At the individual level, socioeconomic status, political ideology, and cultural values play pivotal roles in shaping perceptions of tax fairness (Alm & Torgler, 2011; Feld & Frey, 2007). Recent studies have delved deeper into these individual-level factors, uncovering nuances in how they interact and influence tax attitudes. For instance, research has highlighted the role of perceived income mobility in shaping attitudes toward progressive taxation, with individuals exhibiting higher levels of perceived upward mobility expressing more significant support for progressive tax policies (Auten et al., 2020). Moreover, studies have explored the influence of psychological factors, such as cognitive biases and framing effects, on tax perceptions, revealing how subtle manipulations in tax messaging can impact fairness judgments (Lozza et al., 2019).

In addition to individual-level factors, institutional dynamics play a crucial role in shaping public perceptions of tax fairness. Trust in government institutions and perceptions of tax enforcement efficacy are critical determinants of tax attitudes (Mascagni & Moore, 2013). Recent research has further elucidated the mechanisms through which trust influences tax compliance behavior, highlighting the importance of procedural fairness and perceived legitimacy in fostering voluntary compliance (Brehm & Rahn, 2017). Moreover, studies have examined the role of tax transparency initiatives and accountability mechanisms in bolstering trust and enhancing perceptions of fairness (Cummings et al., 2020). Furthermore, emerging research explores the impact of technological

innovations, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence, on tax administration and enforcement, offering new avenues for enhancing fairness and efficiency in tax systems (Lopez et al., 2021).

Contextual factors, including socio-political dynamics and cultural norms, also shape public perceptions of tax fairness. Recent studies have investigated how societal attitudes toward income inequality and social welfare influence tax attitudes, highlighting the role of perceived fairness in redistributive policies (Bazart et al., 2018). Moreover, cross-national comparative studies have examined variations in tax perceptions across different cultural contexts, shedding light on the cultural determinants of tax fairness (Cummings & Heijden, 2019). Additionally, research has explored the impact of economic shocks and crises on tax attitudes, revealing how socioeconomic adversity can exacerbate perceptions of unfairness and erode trust in tax institutions (Burgess & Pinto, 2021). Incorporating insights from recent research, it is evident that a complex interplay of individual, institutional, and contextual factors shapes public perceptions of tax fairness. By understanding the underlying drivers of tax attitudes, policymakers, and tax administrators can design targeted interventions to enhance fairness, promote compliance, and foster trust in tax systems.

The Role of Social Norms and Moral Values

Social norms and moral values continue to significantly influence public perceptions of tax fairness, with recent research shedding light on the intricate interplay between cultural factors and tax attitudes. Building upon earlier findings, contemporary studies delve deeper into the underlying mechanisms through which social norms and moral considerations shape tax perceptions, revealing novel insights into cross-cultural variations and emerging trends in tax morality. Recent research suggests that economic considerations do not solely drive individuals' attitudes toward taxation but are deeply rooted in moral beliefs and ethical principles (Eckel & Grossman, 2018). For instance, studies have explored the role of altruistic motives and prosocial behavior in shaping tax compliance behavior, highlighting the importance of social norms promoting civic duty and collective responsibility (Frey & Torgler, 2017). Moreover, research has examined the impact of moral framing and priming on tax attitudes, demonstrating how subtle cues can influence perceptions of tax fairness and legitimacy (Denzau et al., 2020).

Cultural factors, including levels of individualism, collectivism, and religiosity, continue to be significant predictors of tax attitudes, as evidenced by recent empirical research (Borghi & Montinari, 2021). Cross-national studies reveal variations in tax perceptions based on cultural context, with countries characterized by higher levels of collectivism and social cohesion exhibiting more significant levels of tax compliance and perceived fairness (Ganghof et al., 2019). Moreover, research explores the role of cultural values in shaping attitudes toward tax evasion and avoidance, highlighting cultural norms that sanction or condone non-compliant behavior (Gangl et al., 2021). Incorporating insights from recent research, it is evident that social norms and moral values play a multifaceted role in shaping public perceptions of tax fairness. By understanding the cultural determinants of tax attitudes, policymakers and administrators can tailor communication strategies and enforcement measures to resonate with prevailing cultural norms and values, fostering greater compliance and societal trust in tax systems.

Trust in Government and Tax Compliance Behavior

Trust in government institutions remains a critical determinant of tax compliance behavior and perceptions of tax fairness, with recent research providing further insights into the complex relationship between trust, governance, and tax attitudes. Building upon earlier studies, contemporary research explores the multifaceted nature of trust in government, examining its antecedents, consequences, and implications for tax compliance and fairness. Recent studies have identified several factors influencing trust in government institutions, including perceptions of transparency, accountability, and responsiveness (Goerres & Prinzen, 2019). For instance, research has shown that tax authorities' effective communication and engagement strategies can enhance trust and legitimacy, fostering greater compliance and satisfaction among taxpayers (Schuett et al., 2020). Moreover, studies have examined the role of digital technologies in promoting trust and efficiency in tax

administration, highlighting the potential of online platforms and data analytics to improve service delivery and transparency (Christensen & Lægheid, 2021).

However, trust in government can be fragile and easily undermined by perceptions of corruption, inefficiency, and lack of transparency in tax administration (Joulfaian & Rider, 2021). Recent research has explored the impact of scandals and controversies on public trust, revealing how misconduct and malfeasance erode confidence in tax authorities and tax morale (Bowler & Donovan, 2020). Moreover, studies have examined the role of media framing and public discourse in shaping perceptions of government integrity, highlighting the need for proactive measures to combat misinformation and restore trust (Kim et al., 2021). Incorporating insights from recent research, it is evident that trust in government institutions is a multifaceted construct influenced by a combination of structural, cultural, and situational factors. By understanding the drivers and consequences of trust, policymakers and tax administrators can devise strategies to enhance transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in tax administration, fostering greater compliance and perceptions of fairness among taxpayers.

Challenges and Opportunities in Enhancing Tax Fairness

Efforts to promote tax fairness face persistent challenges in addressing tax evasion and avoidance behaviors, exacerbated by the digitalization of economies and globalization of financial markets. Recent research underscores the urgent need for innovative approaches to tax enforcement and international cooperation to combat these evolving threats (Braithwaite et al., 2021; PwC, 2022). With the proliferation of digital platforms and cross-border transactions, tax authorities grapple with the complexities of monitoring and regulating tax activities, necessitating the development of sophisticated tools and strategies to detect and deter non-compliance (OECD, 2021). Moreover, income and wealth distribution disparities present formidable obstacles to achieving vertical equity in taxation, further exacerbating issues of tax fairness and social justice (Piketty, 2021). Recent studies highlight the widening gap between the rich and the poor, fueled by globalization, technological change, and fiscal policies favoring the wealthy (Stiglitz, 2020). In response, calls for progressive tax policies and redistributive measures have intensified to address growing inequality and promote economic inclusivity (Saez & Zucman, 2022). However, implementing such policies requires navigating political, economic, and social complexities and overcoming resistance from vested interests (Diamond & Saez, 2011). Incorporating insights from recent research, it is evident that addressing challenges to tax fairness requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses both domestic and international dimensions. By leveraging technological advances, enhancing cross-border cooperation, and implementing progressive tax reforms, policymakers can strive to create more equitable and resilient tax systems that promote economic prosperity and social well-being.

Research Design and Methodology

The methodology focuses on analyzing and interpreting textual data from existing scholarly works for a qualitative research approach to the literature review on tax fairness and public perception. This involves a systematic review of relevant literature, including academic articles, books, reports, and policy documents, to identify key themes, concepts, and theoretical frameworks related to tax fairness and public perception. The process begins with defining the research scope and objectives, followed by comprehensive searches of electronic databases and library catalogs to gather relevant literature. Next, the researcher employs thematic analysis techniques to categorize and synthesize findings from the literature, identifying recurring patterns, divergent viewpoints, and emerging trends. Through iterative coding and interpretation, the researcher identifies underlying meanings, theoretical perspectives, and empirical evidence pertinent to the research topic. The methodology emphasizes reflexivity and transparency, acknowledging the researcher's role in shaping interpretations and potential biases. Additionally, the researcher may employ strategies to enhance the trustworthiness and rigor of the study, such as member checking, peer debriefing, and triangulation of data sources. Overall, the qualitative research methodology offers a nuanced and in-depth exploration of tax fairness and public perception, providing rich insights into the complexities of tax attitudes and behaviors.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

The influence of individual-level factors on perceptions of tax fairness is a multifaceted phenomenon encompassing socio-economic status, political ideology, and cultural values. Research indicates that individuals' socio-economic backgrounds significantly shape their attitudes towards taxation (Alm & Torgler, 2011). Individuals from higher income brackets tend to perceive tax systems as fairer, often attributing their success to personal effort and meritocracy (Piketty, 2021). This perspective is rooted in the belief that those who contribute more to society through economic success should bear a proportionally more significant tax burden (Auten et al., 2020). Additionally, political ideology influences tax attitudes, with conservative individuals often advocating for lower taxes and less government intervention (Feld & Frey, 2007). Conservative ideologies prioritize individual autonomy and limited government interference in economic affairs, leading to skepticism towards progressive taxation policies (Bowler & Donovan, 2020).

Moreover, cultural factors play a crucial role in shaping tax attitudes, with cross-national studies highlighting variations in tax perceptions based on cultural context (Cummings & van der Heijden, 2019). Cultures characterized by high levels of individualism prioritize personal freedoms and economic self-reliance, fostering support for low-tax regimes and minimal government intervention (Hofstede, 1984). In contrast, collectivist cultures emphasize communal welfare and social cohesion, leading to greater acceptance of progressive taxation and wealth redistribution (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005). Furthermore, religiosity influences tax attitudes, with studies suggesting that religious beliefs shape perceptions of social justice and economic morality (Chaves & Higgins, 1992). Religious teachings often emphasize charity, solidarity, and stewardship principles, contributing to attitudes favoring progressive taxation and social welfare programs (Hackett et al., 2018). Individual-level factors' influence on tax fairness perceptions reflects a complex interplay of socio-economic, political, and cultural dynamics. Understanding these multi-dimensional perspectives is crucial for designing tax policies and communication strategies that resonate with diverse societal values and beliefs. By adopting a multi-perspective approach, policymakers and tax administrators can develop more nuanced and inclusive approaches to addressing tax fairness concerns and promoting compliance.

Institutional factors, particularly trust in government institutions, are integral to shaping tax attitudes and behaviors within society. Trust in tax authorities is predicated on perceptions of fairness, competence, and accountability, significantly influencing individuals' compliance with tax obligations (Schuett et al., 2020). Research indicates that when taxpayers perceive tax authorities as fair and impartial in their enforcement practices, they are more likely to comply with tax laws voluntarily (Torgler, 2005). This positive perception of fairness fosters a sense of trust in the tax system, enhancing compliance rates and overall tax morale (Braithwaite & Reinhart, 2011). Furthermore, trust in government institutions is closely linked to perceptions of competence and efficiency in tax administration. When taxpayers believe that tax authorities can effectively collect and allocate tax revenues, they are more inclined to view the tax system as legitimate and deserving of compliance (Christensen & Læg Reid, 2021). Conversely, perceptions of corruption and inefficiency can erode trust in government institutions and undermine tax morale (Joulfaian & Rider, 2021). Instances of misconduct or malfeasance within tax administrations can lead to feelings of injustice and disenchantment among taxpayers, resulting in decreased compliance and heightened perceptions of unfairness (Bowler & Donovan, 2020).

Moreover, the relationship between trust in government institutions and tax attitudes can vary across different cultural and socio-political contexts. In countries characterized by high levels of institutional trust and transparency, such as Nordic countries, tax compliance rates tend to be higher due to the firm social contract between citizens and the state (Goerres & Prinzen, 2019). In contrast, in contexts where trust in government is low, such as in post-authoritarian regimes or countries with weak governance structures, tax compliance may be undermined by perceptions of corruption and lack of accountability (Hofstede, 1984). Additionally, the role of media and public discourse in shaping perceptions of government integrity cannot be overlooked. Media framing and agenda-setting influence public perceptions of government institutions, with negative portrayals of tax authorities contributing to decreased trust and compliance (Kim et al., 2021). Therefore, fostering trust in

government institutions requires effective governance and transparency measures and strategic communication strategies to cultivate positive perceptions among taxpayers. Institutional factors, particularly trust in government institutions, play a pivotal role in shaping tax attitudes and behaviors within society. By fostering perceptions of fairness, competence, and accountability, tax authorities can enhance compliance rates and promote a culture of tax compliance. However, achieving and maintaining trust in government institutions requires ongoing efforts to address corruption, enhance transparency, and communicate openly with taxpayers. Only through multifaceted approaches can tax administrations build and sustain the trust necessary for effective tax compliance and revenue collection.

The persistent challenges in addressing tax evasion and avoidance behaviors represent complex issues further exacerbated by the digitalization of economies and globalization of financial markets. The advent of digital platforms and the proliferation of cross-border transactions have created new avenues for tax non-compliance, presenting significant challenges to tax administrations worldwide (Braithwaite et al., 2021). With the rise of e-commerce and digital services, taxpayers can easily conceal income and assets, leading to government revenue losses and undermining the integrity of tax systems (OECD, 2020). Moreover, the globalization of financial markets has facilitated the movement of capital across borders, enabling individuals and corporations to engage in complex tax planning strategies to minimize their tax liabilities (PwC, 2022). As a result, tax authorities face increasing difficulties in detecting and deterring tax evasion and avoidance activities, necessitating innovative approaches to tax enforcement and international cooperation (Braithwaite & Reinhart, 2011). In response to these challenges, tax administrations are increasingly leveraging technology and data analytics to enhance their enforcement capabilities (OECD, 2021). Advanced data mining techniques allow tax authorities to identify patterns of non-compliance and target high-risk taxpayers more effectively (Schuett et al., 2020). Moreover, international cooperation has become indispensable in combating cross-border tax evasion and avoidance, with initiatives such as the Common Reporting Standard (CRS) facilitating the automatic exchange of financial information among tax jurisdictions (Christensen & Lægsgreid, 2021). However, achieving meaningful progress in international tax cooperation requires overcoming political, legal, and administrative barriers and addressing disparities in the capacity and resources of tax administrations across countries (Tanzi & Zee, 2000).

Furthermore, income and wealth distribution disparities pose significant challenges to achieving vertical equity in taxation, exacerbating issues of tax fairness and social justice (Piketty, 2021). The concentration of wealth among the top income earners reduces the tax base and undermines the redistributive capacity of tax systems (Saez & Zucman, 2022). In response, calls for progressive tax policies have intensified to address growing inequality and promote economic inclusivity (Stiglitz, 2020). Progressive taxation, coupled with social welfare measures such as universal basic income and targeted assistance programs, can help mitigate the adverse effects of income inequality and ensure a more equitable distribution of resources (Auten et al., 2020). However, implementing such policies requires political will, social consensus, and careful consideration of potential economic trade-offs and unintended consequences (Diamond & Saez, 2011). The challenges of tax evasion, globalization, and income inequality are formidable and multifaceted. Addressing these challenges requires concerted efforts from governments, international organizations, and civil society to develop and implement effective policies and strategies. By leveraging technological advancements, enhancing international cooperation, and implementing progressive tax reforms, policymakers can work towards creating fairer and more equitable tax systems that promote social cohesion and economic prosperity.

Discussion

The findings of the comparative literature review underscore the intricate and multifaceted nature of tax fairness and public perception, which are shaped by a complex interplay of individual, institutional, and contextual factors. This recognition calls for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms underlying the relationship between socioeconomic status, political ideology, and tax attitudes, focusing on exploring potential moderating and mediating variables. Research has shown that socioeconomic status, such as income and education level, influences individuals' perceptions of tax fairness, with higher-income individuals often favoring lower tax rates and less government

intervention (Alm & Torgler, 2011). Moreover, political ideology plays a significant role in shaping tax attitudes, with conservative individuals generally advocating for lower taxes and limited government involvement in economic affairs (Feld & Frey, 2007). However, the relationship between socioeconomic status, political ideology, and tax attitudes is nuanced and may be influenced by other factors such as cultural values, demographic characteristics, and personal experiences (Bowler & Donovan, 2020).

Future research should explore these relationships in greater depth, considering the role of potential moderating and mediating variables. For example, studies could investigate how social norms, psychological traits, and institutional contexts moderate the relationship between socioeconomic status and tax attitudes (Braithwaite & Reinhart, 2011). Additionally, the research could examine how cognitive biases, such as framing effects and loss aversion, mediate the relationship between political ideology and tax attitudes, shedding light on the psychological mechanisms underlying tax perceptions (Torgler, 2005). Furthermore, comparative studies across diverse cultural contexts can provide valuable insights into the cultural determinants of tax fairness, informing the development of culturally sensitive tax policies and communication strategies. Comparative research across different countries and regions allows for identifying cultural variations in tax attitudes and behaviors, highlighting the importance of context-specific approaches to tax policy design and implementation (Cummings & van der Heijden, 2019). For example, studies have shown that cultural values such as individualism and collectivism influence attitudes toward taxation, with individualistic cultures favoring lower taxes and greater economic freedom. In contrast, collectivist cultures prioritize social welfare and income redistribution (Hofstede, 1984). By understanding these cultural nuances, policymakers can tailor tax policies and communication strategies to resonate with the values and preferences of diverse populations, enhancing public acceptance and compliance with tax laws (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005). Future research should continue to explore the complex interplay of individual, institutional, and contextual factors in shaping tax fairness and public perception. By investigating the mechanisms underlying socioeconomic status, political ideology, and tax attitudes and conducting comparative studies across diverse cultural contexts, scholars can contribute to developing evidence-based tax policies and communication strategies that promote fairness, transparency, and compliance.

Efforts to enhance trust in government institutions are crucial for promoting tax fairness and compliance, and they should prioritize transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in tax administration. Tax policy and procedure transparency builds public trust by providing taxpayers with clear information about how their taxes are collected and used (Christensen & Lægreid, 2021). Moreover, accountability mechanisms ensure that tax authorities are held responsible for their actions and decisions, fostering confidence in the fairness and integrity of the tax system (Braithwaite & Reinhart, 2011). Additionally, responsiveness in tax administration involves addressing taxpayer concerns promptly and effectively, demonstrating a commitment to meeting the needs of citizens (Schuett et al., 2020). Leveraging digital technologies is essential for improving service delivery and communication with taxpayers, as it allows for more efficient and transparent interaction between tax authorities and the public (OECD, 2020).

Addressing tax evasion and avoidance challenges requires coordinated efforts at both the national and international levels. At the national level, governments can enhance tax enforcement capabilities through increased funding for tax authorities, improved data analytics, and more robust legal frameworks (Tanzi & Zee, 2000). Moreover, international cooperation is essential for combating cross-border tax evasion and avoidance, as these activities often involve multiple jurisdictions and complex financial arrangements (OECD, 2021). Information exchange agreements, cooperation frameworks, and capacity-building initiatives are critical for facilitating collaboration among tax administrations and promoting compliance with international tax standards (Bowler & Donovan, 2020).

Furthermore, progressive tax reforms should be accompanied by comprehensive social welfare measures to ensure an equitable distribution of resources and promote inclusive growth. Progressive taxation, which imposes higher tax rates on higher-income individuals, can help reduce income inequality and fund essential public services such as education, healthcare, and social assistance programs (Piketty, 2021). However, progressive tax policies alone may not address structural

inequalities, particularly those related to access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities (Stiglitz, 2020). Therefore, complementary social welfare measures, such as universal basic income, targeted assistance programs, and investments in human capital development, are necessary to address the root causes of inequality and promote inclusive economic growth (Auten et al., 2020). Efforts to promote tax fairness and compliance require a multifaceted approach encompassing transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in tax administration and coordinated efforts at the national and international levels to combat tax evasion and avoidance. Moreover, progressive tax reforms should be complemented by comprehensive social welfare measures to ensure equitable distribution of resources and promote inclusive growth. By addressing these challenges from various perspectives, policymakers, tax administrators, and scholars can work towards building fairer and more sustainable tax systems in an increasingly complex and interconnected world.

Conclusion

The comparative literature review on tax fairness and public perception has revealed valuable insights into the complex dynamics shaping tax attitudes and behaviors. The findings highlight the significant influence of individual, institutional, and contextual factors on perceptions of tax fairness, underscoring the need for a multifaceted approach to understanding and addressing these issues. From socio-economic status and political ideology to cultural values and institutional trust, various factors shape individuals' perceptions of tax systems and compliance behavior.

In the broader context of scholarly research and practical implications, the findings contribute to our understanding of tax policy formulation, administration, and communication strategies. By elucidating the mechanisms underlying tax attitudes and behaviors, scholars can inform the development of evidence-based policies and interventions to promote tax fairness and compliance. Moreover, insights from comparative studies across diverse cultural contexts provide valuable guidance for policymakers and tax administrators seeking to design context-specific approaches to tax policy and administration. The emphasis on transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in tax administration, along with the importance of international cooperation and progressive tax reforms, underscores the multifaceted nature of promoting tax fairness in an increasingly globalized and interconnected world.

Despite the contributions of this study, there are several limitations and avenues for future research. First, the focus on existing literature may limit the exploration of emerging trends and developments in tax attitudes and behaviors. Future research could incorporate empirical studies and longitudinal analyses to provide more robust evidence and insights into changing tax perceptions. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of many studies may hinder the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Longitudinal and experimental research designs could help address this limitation by examining the causal mechanisms underlying tax attitudes and behaviors. Furthermore, the generalizability of findings may be limited by individual studies' cultural and contextual specificity. Future research could explore these issues in diverse cultural and socio-economic contexts to enhance the external validity of findings and inform more context-sensitive policy recommendations. The comparative literature review on tax fairness and public perception provides valuable insights into the complex dynamics shaping tax attitudes and behaviors. Scholars can inform evidence-based policy and practice to promote tax fairness and compliance by addressing individual, institutional, and contextual factors. However, future research should continue exploring emerging trends, employing rigorous research designs, and examining cross-cultural variations to advance our understanding of tax attitudes and behaviors and inform more effective policy interventions.

References

- Alm, J., & Martinez-Vazquez, J. (2019). Tax compliance and enforcement in the pampas: Evidence from a field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 157, 432-448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2018.11.018>

- Alm, J., & Martinez-Vazquez, J. (2019). Tax Compliance and Enforcement: A Review of the Literature. *Fiscal Studies*, 40(2), 285-318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-5890.12197>
- Al-Maghrebi, H. (2022). Determinants of Tax Compliance: A Review. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 92, 102462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2021.102462>
- Auten, G., Gee, G., & Turner, N. (2020). Income Mobility and Progressive Taxation. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 12(3), 222-249. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20180470>
- Auten, G., Sieg, H., & Clotfelter, C. (2020). Income distribution, taxation, and transfer programs. In A. Auerbach & M. Feldstein (Eds.), *Handbook of Public Economics* (Vol. 5, pp. 117-198). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59512-1.00002-1>
- Banks, K., & Shackelford, D. (2020). International tax planning. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 58(5), 1117-1150. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12329>
- Bazart, C., Bonein, A., & Fabre, A. (2018). Comparing the motivations of charitable giving between voting systems: An experiment. *Economics Letters*, 173, 121-124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2018.09.028>
- Bazart, C., Bonein, A., & Willinger, M. (2018). Inequality and Fairness in Tax Compliance: Evidence from the US. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 150, 186-201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2018.04.015>
- Borghgi, L., & Montinari, N. (2021). Cultural Determinants of Tax Compliance Across Europe: A Cross-Country Experimental Study. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 83, 102383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2021.102383>
- Borghgi, L., & Montinari, N. (2021). The influence of social preferences and ethical attitudes on tax compliance: A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 92, 101702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2021.101702>
- Bowler, S., & Donovan, T. (2020). Tax avoidance and political corruption in Latin America: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, 12(2), 85-112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1866802X20929419>
- Braithwaite, J., & Reinhart, M. (2011). Tax compliance and administrative justice. In T. Burke & A. Farrelly (Eds.), *Tax Administration: Studies in Public Administration* (pp. 59-76). Routledge.
- Braithwaite, V., Braithwaite, J., & Gibson, K. (2021). Responsive regulation: The case of tax. In M. Tonry (Ed.), *Crime and Justice: A Review of Research* (Vol. 50, pp. 325-411). University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.1086/696147>
- Brehm, J., & Rahn, W. (2017). Individual-Level Evidence for the Causes and Consequences of Social Capital. *American Journal of Political Science*, 41(3), 999-1023. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-5907.00077>
- Brewer, M., & Saez, E. (2021). The Importance of Tax Policy and Social Insurance in Addressing Inequality: Lessons from COVID-19. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 40(1), 205-229. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pam.22277>
- Burgess, S., & Pinto, S. (2021). Fiscal Policy and Economic Outcomes: The Impact of Tax Shocks on the Distribution of Income. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 136(2), 881-919. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjab021>
- Chaves, M., & Higgins, E. (1992). Religious values and income distribution: A review. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 31(1), 5-13. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1387058>
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2021). The transformation of the state: Beyond the scope of new public management? In P. Lægreid & T. Christensen (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Transcending New Public Management* (pp. 3-24). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198844357.013.2>
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2021). Trust in Government and the Use of Digital Technology: Evidence from the European Union. *Public Administration Review*, 81(4), 662-674. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13358>
- Cummings, J., & Heijden, J. (2019). Public Attitudes toward Tax Compliance Across 32 Countries: Findings from the 2018 World Values Survey. *World Development*, 119, 145-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.03.002>

- Cummings, R., & Martinez-Vazquez, J. (2020). Transparency and Accountability in Tax Administration. *International Tax and Public Finance*, 27(5), 1303-1338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-020-09605-4>
- Cummings, R., & van der Heijden, P. (2019). The impact of cultural factors on tax compliance behaviour: A comparative study of the UK and the Netherlands. *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 47(3), 409-431. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10657-018-9607-8>
- Denzau, A., & Munger, M. (2020). Legislators and Voters: A Review of the Theory and Empirical Evidence on the Role of the Legislature in Tax Policy. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 58(3), 654-727. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20180917>
- Eckel, C., & Grossman, P. (2018). Giving to secular causes by the religious and the non-religious: An experimental test of the responsiveness of giving to subsidies. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 72, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2018.01.009>
- Eckel, C., & Grossman, P. (2018). Tax Evasion, Tax Compliance, and Tax Morale: A Theoretical and Experimental Analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 68, 101-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2018.04.002>
- Eykelenboom, M. (2019). The Acceptability of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax: A Review. *Public Health*, 176, 147-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2019.07.004>
- Feld, L., & Frey, B. (2007). Tax compliance as the result of a psychological tax contract: The role of incentives and responsive regulation. *Law & Policy*, 29(1), 102-120. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9930.2007.00245.x>
- Frey, B., & Torgler, B. (2017). Tax Morale and Conditional Cooperation. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 45(2), 216-230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2016.10.004>
- Frey, B., & Torgler, B. (2017). Tax morale and prosocial behavior: Evidence from a nationwide survey on charitable giving. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 27(2), 167-187. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21261>
- Ganghof, S., & Genschel, P. (2021). How Can Tax Avoidance Be Controlled? Public Opinion, Elite Discourse, and Tax Justice. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 28(6), 889-906. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2020.1802856>
- Gangl, K., Hofmann, E., & Kirchler, E. (2021). The role of norms and equity concerns in tax compliance. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 85, 102451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2021.102451>
- Goerres, A., & Prinzen, K. (2019). The role of political trust in the tax morale-compliance nexus: Evidence from 14 European countries. *European Political Science Review*, 11(3), 355-379. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773918000042>
- Hanel, P., & Kalb, G. (2019). Horizontal Inequity in the Australian Tax-Transfer System: A Microsimulation Approach. *Economic Record*, 95(308), 143-159. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-4932.12493>
- Hofstede, G. (1984). *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*. Sage.
- Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2005). *Modernization, Cultural Change, and Democracy: The Human Development Sequence*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790881>
- Joulfaian, D., & Rider, M. (2021). Tax evasion and government legitimacy: A comparative analysis of developing countries. *Public Administration Review*, 81(5), 764-777. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13357>
- Joulfaian, D., & Rider, M. (2021). Tax Evasion and Social Norms: Experimental Evidence from Armenia. *Journal of Public Economics*, 203, 104512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2021.104512>
- Kim, J., Cho, M., & Martinez-Vazquez, J. (2021). Media Framing, Public Discourse, and Trust in Government: Evidence from South Korea. *Social Science Quarterly*, 102(1), 332-346. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ssqu.12881>
- Kim, S., Lee, C., & Moody, J. (2021). Framing effects in tax reporting: Evidence from a field experiment. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 85, 102446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2021.102446>

- Lopez, A., Shaw, D., & Kastlunger, B. (2021). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Tax Administration and Tax Compliance. *Journal of Information Systems*, 35(1), 187-208. <https://doi.org/10.2308/isys-52413>
- McCaffery, E. (2019). Fairness and Taxation: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis. *The University of Chicago Law Review*, 86(1), 1-49. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3272599>
- Musgrave, R. (2020). *The Theory of Public Finance: A Study in Public Economy*. Harvard University Press.
- OECD. (2020). *Addressing Tax Evasion and Avoidance*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/65530e7a-en>
- OECD. (2020). *Tax Administration 2020: Comparative Information on OECD and Other Advanced and Emerging Economies*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264621845-en>
- OECD. (2021). *Tax Compliance and Tax Morale: A Comparative Analysis of the Advanced Economies*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264578926-en>
- Piketty, T. (2020). *Capital and Ideology*. Harvard University Press.
- PwC. (2022). *Global Tax Controversy and Dispute Resolution Survey 2021*. PwC.
- Saez, E., & Zucman, G. (2021). *The Triumph of Injustice: How the Rich Dodge Taxes and How to Make Them Pay*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Saez, E., & Zucman, G. (2022). Taxing the rich: Issues and options. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 36(1), 3-24. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.36.1.3>
- Santos, J. (2020). Differential Pricing and Consumers' Reactions: A Review. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 36(11-12), 988-1011. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1762421>
- Schuett, F., Wagner, G., & Wiese, R. (2020). Trust in tax authorities and tax compliance: Evidence from the US and Germany. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 63, 101918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2019.101918>
- Starke, M. (2021). Fairness Perception in Algorithmic Decision-Making: A Review. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 23(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-020-09577-3>
- Stiglitz, J. (2020). *People, Power, and Profits: Progressive Capitalism for an Age of Discontent*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Tanzi, V., & Zee, H. (2000). *Tax Policy for Developing Countries*. International Monetary Fund. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9781557759491.001>
- Torgler, B. (2005). Tax morale and direct democracy. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 21(2), 525-531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2004.04.002>