Advances in Taxation Research, 1(3), 2023. 147 - 158
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60079/atr.v1i3.479

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN Online: 2985-7554

Advances in Taxation Research
https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/ATR

Analysis of Tax Impact on Corporate Debt Ratio: A Literature Study

Andi Nurwanah ✉ *Ratna Sari* [2] *Kirana Ikhtiari* [3] *Muslim* [4]

✉ *Universitas Muslim Indonesia, South Sulawesi, 90231, Indonesia*
[2,3,4] *Universitas Muslim Indonesia, South Sulawesi, 90231, Indonesia*

Received: 2023, 08, 16 Accepted: 2023, 09, 30
Available online: 2023, 09, 30

Corresponding author. Andi Nurwanah
✉ *andinurwanah@umi.ac.id*

KEYWORDS

Keywords:
Tax Policies; Corporate Debt Ratios; Tax Shields; Multinational Corporations; Tax Reforms.

Conflict of Interest Statement:
The author(s) declares that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study examines the impact of tax policies on corporate debt ratios, focusing on domestic and multinational corporations across various industries. It aims to explore how tax shields and reforms influence firms' capital structure decisions.

Research Design and Methodology: The research adopts a systematic literature review approach, synthesizing existing studies on tax policy, corporate debt, and financing strategies. The study comprehensively analyzes tax-driven corporate behavior in different tax environments by integrating findings from empirical studies and theoretical frameworks.

Findings and Discussion: The findings reveal that tax shields significantly influence corporate debt strategies, especially in high-tax and capital-intensive industries. However, multinational corporations face additional challenges due to varying international tax regulations, leading to more conservative debt policies. The study also highlights how major tax reforms, such as the U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), have led companies to reconsider their reliance on debt due to diminished tax advantages.

Implications: The research underscores the importance of balanced tax planning for corporate finance managers while advising policymakers to design tax policies that encourage investment and financial stability. It suggests that firms consider broader economic risks alongside tax incentives when making capital structure decisions.

Introduction

The significance of understanding the impact of taxation on corporate financing decisions, particularly about the corporate debt ratio, must be considered. In modern financial management, tax policies often dictate strategic choices, with one of the most pivotal factors being the tax shield (Anwar, 2020). This concept allows companies to reduce their taxable income by deducting interest on debt, thus creating a significant financial incentive to increase leverage (Miller, 1977). However, despite the theoretical consensus on the benefits of the tax shield, the complexities of taxation across different sectors and jurisdictions continue to challenge researchers. The evolving nature of tax policies, both domestically and globally, adds another layer of complexity. Changes in tax rates and regulations, particularly in the context of global economies, often compel companies to reassess their financing strategies. For example, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) in the U.S., which reduced corporate tax rates, significantly affected how firms approached debt financing, reducing their reliance on debt (Dyreng et al., 2019). In international contexts, variations in tax regimes across countries further complicate corporate debt strategies. Multinational corporations (MNCs) must navigate many tax environments, which introduces additional risks and uncertainties in their financing decisions (Cook et al., 2017). Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of the role of tax in corporate debt ratios requires a nuanced understanding of domestic and international tax frameworks.

Recent studies have delved into the intricate relationship between taxation and corporate debt ratios, providing various insights and mixed results. Hanlon & Heitzman (2022) While tax incentives influence corporate capital structure decisions, they do not always impact them first-order. This highlights the complexity of the tax-debt relationship. In their study of companies within the Visegrad Group, Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa (2022) They revealed that domestic firms have higher leverage and lower effective tax rates than their multinational counterparts. However, the significance of tax rates is observed only in specific models. Similarly, Salehi & Salami (2020) Research on Iranian corporations found no significant inverse relationship between tax shelters and corporate debt, challenging the conventional wisdom surrounding tax benefits and leverage. In Vietnam, Ha et al. (2021) The study identified a negative correlation between tax avoidance and leverage ratios, suggesting that firms engaging in tax avoidance strategies may rely less on debt. However, it found insufficient evidence linking institutional ownership to leverage. These findings emphasize the context-dependent nature of taxation's influence on corporate debt ratios, which may vary based on company type, regional tax policies, and sectoral differences.

While offering significant insights, the recent research on the relationship between taxation and corporate debt ratios reveals several gaps between theoretical models and empirical realities. One of the primary discrepancies arises from the assumption that taxation is always a first-order determinant of corporate capital structure decisions, which several studies have challenged (Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa, 2022). These theoretical models often oversimplify the decision-making process, treating tax benefits as the dominant driver behind debt financing decisions, whereas empirical evidence suggests a more nuanced picture. For instance, while some firms may heavily rely on tax shields to reduce their effective tax rates, other factors, such as market conditions, corporate governance, and regulatory constraints, play equally important roles in shaping leverage decisions (Ha et al., 2021). Many studies have focused on domestic tax environments without adequately considering the complexities faced by multinational corporations (MNCs) that operate across multiple tax jurisdictions. MNCs must navigate a labyrinth of international tax treaties, transfer pricing rules, and varying tax rates, which complicates their ability to optimize tax shields (Salehi & Salami, 2020). This complexity often needs to be explored more in theoretical models, which adopt a more static and one-dimensional approach. Therefore, there needs to be a clear gap between the theoretical understanding of how taxation impacts corporate debt ratios and the empirical realities modern corporations face, particularly those operating on a global scale. These gaps highlight the need for future research to develop more comprehensive models that account for domestic and international tax environments and the broader financial and regulatory factors influencing corporate capital structures.

The novelty of this research lies in its comprehensive approach to understanding the impact of taxation on corporate debt ratios across domestic and multinational corporations. Unlike previous studies that primarily focus on isolated sectors or single jurisdictions, this study seeks to explore the complexities of firms operating in multiple tax environments. This research aims to fill the gaps identified between theoretical models and empirical realities by integrating domestic tax policies with international tax regulations and financial market conditions. Including transfer pricing, tax treaties, and the variation of tax rates across jurisdictions will provide a more holistic understanding of how taxation influences corporate capital structure. The research questions guiding this study are: How do tax policies influence corporate debt ratios across different industries and countries? Is the tax shield consistently the dominant factor in corporate debt decisions, or are other contributing variables that vary by context? The objective is to systematically analyze the relationship between taxation and debt financing, focusing on the disparities between local and global tax policies. By addressing the gaps identified in previous literature, this research offers practical insights for corporate finance managers and policymakers, facilitating the development of more adaptable capital structure strategies in response to shifting tax environments. This study's novelty is combining theoretical insights with practical realities, offering a fresh perspective on corporate financing in an increasingly globalized economy.

Literature Review

Theoretical Foundations of Tax Shields and Debt Ratios

The concept of the tax shield, which allows firms to deduct interest expenses from taxable income, is a foundational principle in corporate finance theory. This principle is based on the notion that debt financing offers tax advantages over equity financing because the interest payments on debt are tax-deductible, reducing the firm's taxable income. (Deresso Disassa, 2020). One of the most influential models in this area is Modigliani and Miller's capital structure theory (1958), which, in a world with corporate taxes, posits that firms can reduce their weighted average cost of capital (WACC) by increasing leverage. This reduction in WACC, achieved through debt financing, ultimately increases firm value, as the tax shield becomes an integral component in optimizing a firm's capital structure. However, the Modigliani and Miller model is often viewed as an idealized framework that does not account for real-world complexities. In practice, firms face numerous constraints and risks that can affect the optimal level of debt financing. (Khan, 2022). For instance, financial distress, agency costs, and market conditions can all influence a firm's ability to maximize the benefits of a tax shield. Gupta & Newberry (1997) Refined the classic model by incorporating these factors, examining how firms with higher tax rates tend to have higher debt ratios due to the tax deductibility of interest payments. His study laid the foundation for a deeper understanding of how firms strategically utilize debt to optimize tax savings, particularly in environments where taxes significantly affect corporate financing decisions.

Recent studies have extended Graham's work by examining the interaction between taxation and other determinants of corporate debt policy, such as financial constraints and uncertainty. Hanlon & Heitzman (2022) While tax shields encourage firms to increase leverage, they do not always dominate debt decisions. Factors like market volatility, industry risks, and firm-specific attributes—such as size and creditworthiness—are equally influential in shaping leverage strategies. These factors illustrate that tax considerations are only part of a broader set of determinants in corporate capital structure. Tax advantages from debt must be balanced against the risk of financial distress. Firms with high debt may face default risks, leading to higher borrowing costs and restricted access to credit. Salehi & Salami (2020) Highlight that this financial distress often diminishes the attractiveness of debt, even with available tax shields. Industries with high capital intensity tend to use more debt due to tax benefits but must contend with more significant financial strain, especially during downturns (Ha et al., 2021). Agency costs play a critical role. Managers might avoid high debt levels to maintain greater control over decision-making and minimize scrutiny from creditors. This tension between management and shareholders can limit the use of debt to maximize tax shields (Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa, 2022).

Taxation and Corporate Debt in Domestic Contexts

Tax policies significantly influence corporate debt ratios, particularly in domestic contexts where firms operate under specific tax regimes. In countries with high corporate tax rates, companies often use debt financing to benefit from the tax shield, which allows them to reduce their taxable income by deducting interest expenses (Kliestik et al., 2018). This practice is more prevalent in high-tax environments, with more substantial incentives to minimize tax liabilities through debt. For instance, research conducted by Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa (2022) demonstrated that firms operating in high-tax countries within the Visegrad Group—comprising Poland, Hungary, Slovakia, and the Czech Republic—tend to have higher leverage than firms in lower-tax environments. These findings align with broader literature, which consistently suggests that corporate tax rates are a significant determinant of debt policy, driving companies to utilize more debt when tax rates are elevated. However, not all studies support the view that taxation is the primary driver of corporate debt levels. For example, Salehi & Salami (2020) examined Iranian corporations and found no significant inverse relationship between tax shelters and debt levels. Their findings challenge the notion that tax considerations dominate in determining capital structures. Instead, they suggest that firms in certain economies may rely on other strategies to optimize their capital structures, such as leveraging non-tax-related financial mechanisms or adjusting their corporate governance practices.

These contrasting perspectives underscore that while taxation is essential in debt decisions, it is not always the sole or most influential determinant. In some contexts, particularly in economies with varying levels of economic stability and differing governance structures, other factors such as market conditions, access to credit, and corporate governance play a more prominent role in shaping debt policies (Hanlon & Heitzman, 2022). Ha et al. (2021) In emerging markets like Vietnam, firms may consider taxation and external factors like institutional ownership when determining leverage levels. These findings suggest that the relationship between tax policies and corporate debt is complex and context-dependent. The impact of corporate governance on debt decisions cannot be overlooked. To minimize financial risk, firms with more substantial governance structures may adopt more conservative debt policies, even in high-tax environments. This suggests that factors beyond taxation—such as governance quality and economic conditions—can influence how aggressively firms use debt to reduce tax liabilities (Ha et al., 2021).

Multinational Corporations and International Taxation

Multinational corporations (MNCs) face unique challenges when navigating various countries' complex and often conflicting tax systems. Unlike domestic firms, which typically operate within a single tax jurisdiction, MNCs must comply with multiple international tax laws, treaties, and regulations. (Dharmapala, 2021). This complexity can make it difficult for them to utilize tax shields effectively, as differences in tax rates, international agreements, and transfer pricing rules can significantly affect their ability to optimize capital structures. Cook et al. (2017) Explored how MNCs address these challenges, finding that they often maintain lower leverage than domestic firms. The primary reason is the difficulty in consistently exploiting tax shields across multiple jurisdictions, which diminishes the appeal of debt financing for MNCs. In addition to the complications arising from disparate tax regimes, MNCs must contend with the uncertainty surrounding future tax laws and regulations. Dyreng et al. (2019) This uncertainty can lead MNCs to adopt more conservative debt policies. MNCs are often wary of increasing their leverage due to the risk that future changes in tax laws could negate the benefits of existing tax shields. (Mardan, 2014). This conservative approach is especially relevant for firms operating in regions with volatile or unpredictable tax environments, where sudden policy shifts can have significant financial implications. As a result, MNCs may rely less on debt as a strategic financing option, thereby limiting the overall impact of tax policies on their capital structures.

The complexities of international taxation mean MNCs face different pressures from their domestic counterparts. (de Mooij et al., 2021). While domestic firms can optimize their tax liabilities by increasing debt, MNCs often struggle due to the diverse and sometimes contradictory tax policies they encounter across multiple countries. Furthermore, international tax treaties, transfer pricing regulations, and potential penalties for non-compliance add additional layers of difficulty for MNCs attempting to use debt strategically to lower their tax liabilities (Ha et al., 2021). In many cases, these firms may pursue alternative financing methods, such as equity financing, which offers more flexibility and less exposure to tax-related risks. The literature suggests that MNCs' ability to benefit from tax shields is limited by their need to navigate the intricate web of international tax rules. For instance, Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa (2022) Found that firms operating in multiple jurisdictions face higher tax uncertainty and are less likely to rely on debt financing than domestic firms. Similarly, Salehi & Salami (2020) Note that firms in countries with complex tax regulations, particularly frequently changing ones, tend to adopt more conservative financial strategies. These studies indicate that while tax shields remain a key factor in corporate finance, their applicability and benefits are significantly constrained for MNCs due to the global nature of their operations.

The Role of Tax Avoidance and Corporate Debt

Tax avoidance strategies play a significant role in shaping corporate debt ratios, as firms that engage in aggressive tax avoidance may reduce their reliance on debt (Zhang et al., 2022). This is because they can achieve substantial tax savings through other mechanisms. In a study of Vietnamese firms, Ha et al. (2021) found that companies with higher tax avoidance tended to maintain lower debt ratios. This suggests that these firms use tax avoidance as a substitute for debt, enabling them to minimize their tax liabilities without increasing leverage. This pattern highlights the flexibility tax avoidance strategies can provide in reducing tax burdens without the associated risks of higher debt, such as increased interest obligations and financial distress. However, the relationship between tax avoidance and corporate debt is inconsistent across all contexts. In some cases, firms may combine tax avoidance strategies with higher debt levels to maximize their overall tax savings (Oktafiyani & Machmuddah, 2018). For example, Hanlon & Heitzman (2022) suggest that companies in high-tax countries often use tax avoidance and debt financing as complementary strategies to reduce their taxable income. By doing so, they can benefit from the tax shield associated with debt while minimizing their overall tax burden through aggressive tax planning. This dual approach is prevalent in industries where the regulatory framework allows more aggressive tax planning strategies.

The interaction between tax avoidance and corporate debt policies is highly context-dependent. The firm's operating environment, governance structure, and specific regulatory framework can influence how these strategies are deployed. (Ao et al., 2023). For example, in countries with stringent tax laws, firms may be more cautious in combining tax avoidance with debt due to the risk of penalties or increased scrutiny from tax authorities (Salehi & Salami, 2020). Conversely, in more lenient tax environments, firms may be able to take advantage of both strategies with fewer risks, leading to more aggressive use of both tax avoidance and debt. Governance structures also play a crucial role in determining how firms balance tax avoidance and debt. Stronger governance may lead to more conservative financial policies, limiting the extent to which firms engage in tax avoidance or take on debt. (Armstrong et al., 2015). In contrast, weaker governance may allow managers more discretion in pursuing aggressive tax strategies or increasing leverage (Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa, 2022). This variation in corporate governance across different regions and industries further complicates the relationship between tax avoidance and debt.

Tax Policy Uncertainty and Its Impact on Debt Decisions

Tax policy uncertainty is critical in determining corporate debt decisions, as firms operating in environments with unpredictable tax laws may hesitate to take on significant debt. (Fahlovie, 2023). The primary concern is that sudden changes in tax policy could alter the benefits associated with debt, particularly the tax shield provided by the deductibility of interest expenses. In such environments, companies may adopt more conservative approaches to leverage, avoiding high levels of debt to mitigate the risk of adverse changes in tax laws. Dyreng et al. (2019) Explored this issue and found that firms in countries with high tax policy uncertainty tend to be more cautious with their debt levels, adjusting their financial strategies to avoid potential increases in tax liabilities. These firms are often reluctant to fully exploit the tax benefits of debt, preferring to avoid the financial risks associated with unpredictable tax changes. An illustrative example of this dynamic is the impact of significant tax reforms, such as the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) in the United States. The TCJA significantly reduced the corporate tax rate, diminishing the tax shield's value for many U.S. firms. (Kim et al., 2021). Before the TCJA, companies in the U.S. often relied heavily on debt to take advantage of interest deductibility, thus lowering their taxable income. However, following the TCJA, the reduced corporate tax rate made the tax savings from debt less attractive, leading many firms to reduce their reliance on debt (Hanlon & Heitzman, 2022). This shift demonstrates how changes in tax policy can directly influence corporate capital structures and underscores the importance of tax policy stability in shaping corporate financial decisions.

The relationship between tax policy uncertainty and corporate debt decisions extends beyond the U.S. context. In countries with frequently shifting tax laws or uncertain regulatory environments, firms are likely to be even more cautious in taking on debt. Salehi & Salami (2020) examined firms in Iran, where tax policies are often in flux, and found that businesses tended to avoid excessive debt due to the unpredictability of tax benefits. Similarly, firms in emerging markets, where tax regulations are less stable, often exhibit more conservative financial behavior, minimizing their debt exposure to protect against unexpected tax liabilities (Ha et al., 2021). These studies highlight the broader implications of tax policy uncertainty on corporate debt decisions. Firms in unstable tax environments prioritize financial flexibility, avoiding high leverage levels to minimize the risks associated with potential tax reforms (Denis & McKeon, 2012). This behavior contrasts with firms in more stable tax environments, where the predictability of tax laws allows for more aggressive debt policies. Ultimately, the stability of tax policy plays a crucial role in shaping corporate capital structures, influencing the extent to which firms can effectively utilize debt as a financial strategy (Prasad et al., 2001).

Sectoral Variations in the Impact of Tax Policies on Debt Ratios

The impact of tax policies on corporate debt ratios varies considerably across different industries, mainly due to sector-specific financial requirements and capital intensity. Industries with high capital intensity, such as manufacturing, utilities, and construction, typically require large-scale investments in fixed assets, which can be efficiently financed through debt. (Lyu & Shi, 2018). In these sectors, firms often leverage debt to take advantage of the tax shield that comes from interest deductibility, making borrowing a more attractive option for capital-intensive industries (Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa, 2022). The ability to deduct interest expenses from taxable income gives these firms a substantial financial incentive to adopt higher levels of debt, as it lowers their overall tax liabilities while allowing them to finance significant capital expenditures. (Grant & Yeo, 2018). On the other hand, firms operating in less capital-intensive sectors, such as technology, services, and specific retail segments, tend to rely more on equity financing. These industries typically have lower asset requirements and do not need to borrow as heavily to finance their operations. The tax benefits from debt in these sectors are often less pronounced, as the need for large-scale investments is minimal, and firms may prefer to issue equity or retain earnings to fund their growth. Consequently, these firms are less likely to take on substantial debt, as the associated tax savings are smaller compared to industries with higher capital intensity (Hanlon & Heitzman, 2022).

Research by Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa (2022) Firms in the Visegrad Group (Poland, Hungary, Slovakia, and the Czech Republic) underscore the role of sectoral variations in shaping capital structure decisions. Their findings revealed that firms in capital-intensive industries, such as manufacturing, displayed higher debt ratios than those in less capital-intensive sectors like technology and services. This highlights that the tax benefits of debt, while valuable, are not equally distributed across all industries. In capital-intensive sectors, the ability to finance large-scale investments through debt and reduce taxable income via interest deductibility makes debt a more attractive financing option. (Buffie et al., 2020). The interaction between sectoral capital needs and tax policies further emphasizes that tax benefits alone do not dictate corporate debt policies. Instead, firms weigh the tax advantages of debt against industry-specific risks and the potential financial constraints posed by market conditions and business cycles (Ha et al., 2021). For example, firms in the technology sector may prioritize flexibility and innovation over capital intensity, leading them to opt for equity financing even when tax policies offer some benefits for debt. In contrast, utilities and manufacturing firms often operate in stable but capital-heavy environments, which makes debt a more practical and beneficial tool for financing long-term investments (Salehi & Salami, 2020).

Research Design and Methodology

Study Design

The research adopts a qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) design aimed at synthesizing existing studies on the impact of taxation on corporate debt ratios. This design is appropriate for systematically gathering, evaluating, and interpreting findings from relevant literature. The objective is to comprehensively understand the relationship between tax policies and debt financing by drawing insights from multiple scholarly sources. SLR methodologies effectively consolidate and critically analyze various perspectives, particularly in identifying trends, gaps, and inconsistencies in the literature.

Sample Population or Subject of Research

The sample population for this research includes peer-reviewed articles, books, and reports published in reputable academic databases. The primary focus is on studies examining the role of tax policies in corporate debt ratios, tax shields, and capital structure, especially across different industries and countries. Articles published after 2018 are prioritized to ensure the inclusion of the most recent insights, though foundational studies such as those by Modigliani and Miller (1958) are also included for theoretical grounding. No specific geographical limitations are applied, allowing for a global comparison of tax regimes.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data collection for this SLR involves identifying and retrieving relevant literature from academic databases, including Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ScienceDirect. Keywords such as "tax policy," "corporate debt ratios," "tax shield," and "capital structure" guide the search process. The selection of literature is based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, ensuring that only high-quality, peer-reviewed studies are considered. The data extraction process focuses on key themes such as tax policy's influence on leverage, sectoral differences, and the impact of tax reforms on corporate financing decisions.

Data Analysis Techniques

The data analysis process involves thematic coding, where key themes and patterns across the literature are identified and categorized. Studies are compared and contrasted to highlight areas of agreement and divergence. This approach allows for a comprehensive synthesis of findings, enabling the identification of trends and gaps in existing research. Thematic analysis ensures that insights are systematically organized and interpreted, providing a robust basis for discussing the implications of tax policies on corporate debt strategies across various contexts.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

The findings of this study provide a comprehensive analysis of how tax policies influence corporate debt ratios, mainly focusing on how these policies shape corporate capital structures in domestic and multinational contexts. Tax policies are widely acknowledged to play a pivotal role in determining whether firms utilize debt or equity for financing. However, the extent of this influence varies significantly depending on several factors, including the industry in which the firm operates, the size of the firm, and the geographical region of operation. The findings demonstrate that tax policies have complex and varied impacts on corporate decision-making regarding debt, mainly due to differences in tax structures across countries and industries. One of the key findings of this research is that tax policies, particularly the availability of tax shields, substantially affect corporate debt decisions. Tax shields are the benefits companies receive from deducting interest payments on debt from their taxable income. This mechanism reduces the overall tax burden for companies, making debt an attractive financing option, especially in high-tax environments. Companies often take advantage of tax shields by relying heavily on debt to finance significant investments in industries with high capital intensity, such as manufacturing and utilities. These industries, which require substantial amounts of capital for infrastructure and equipment, benefit more from tax shields because the interest expenses on large debts are significant enough to create substantial tax savings (Hanlon & Heitzman, 2022).

On the other hand, sectors with less capital intensity, such as technology and services, tend to rely more on equity financing. This is because the tax savings from interest deductions are less pronounced for companies not requiring large-scale borrowing to fund their operations. In these sectors, firms may prefer raising capital through equity rather than taking on significant debt, as the financial risks associated with high leverage outweigh the relatively minor tax benefits. Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa (2022) examined firms in the Visegrad Group and found that those in capital-intensive industries exhibited higher debt ratios than those in less capital-intensive sectors. This variation underscores that tax shields, while important, are not the only factor influencing corporate debt strategies, mainly when sectoral differences are considered. Another key insight from the findings is tax shields' nuanced role in corporate financing decisions. While tax shields can incentivize firms to use debt, the study reveals that tax considerations do not always dominate decision-making. Companies often weigh the potential tax savings from debt against market volatility, financial risk, and the economic environment. Firms operating in unstable market conditions may be reluctant to take on large amounts of debt, even when tax shields provide attractive incentives because the risks associated with high leverage—such as increased interest obligations and the possibility of financial distress—can outweigh the tax benefits (Ha et al., 2021). Therefore, while tax shields remain a significant factor in determining corporate debt ratios, they are not the only consideration for firms, especially during economic uncertainty.

The findings also highlight significant differences in debt strategies between domestic and multinational corporations (MNCs). MNCs face greater complexity in optimizing tax shields due to the various tax regimes and regulatory environments they must navigate. Unlike domestic firms, which typically operate under a single tax jurisdiction, MNCs are subject to different tax laws across multiple countries. This variation complicates the process of utilizing tax shields effectively. Multinationals must consider international tax treaties, transfer pricing regulations, and the risk of changes in tax policy when deciding how much debt to take on. As a result, MNCs often adopt more cautious debt strategies than their domestic counterparts. Dyreng, Hanlon, and Maydew (2018) note that the uncertainty associated with international tax regimes usually forces MNCs to rely less on debt, as the potential tax benefits may be outweighed by the complexity and risk of operating across multiple tax jurisdictions. The impact of significant tax reforms on corporate debt ratios further illustrates the influence of tax policy on capital structure decisions. The U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) of 2017 is a prime example. Before the enactment of the TCJA, U.S. firms frequently leveraged debt to benefit from the tax shield provided by interest deductions, which helped reduce their overall tax burden. However, the TCJA significantly reduced the corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%, diminishing the value of the tax shield. As a result, many firms reduced their reliance on debt following the reform, as the tax savings from interest deductions became less attractive in a lower-tax environment (Hanlon & Heitzman, 2022). This demonstrates how changes in tax policy can directly influence corporate behavior, encouraging firms to shift away from debt financing when the tax advantages diminish.

In addition to tax policy, the findings emphasize other factors influencing corporate debt decisions, such as market conditions, corporate governance, and global economic pressures. Market volatility and economic uncertainty are critical considerations for firms deciding whether to take on debt. Firms may prioritize maintaining financial flexibility over maximizing tax benefits in economic instability. High levels of debt can limit a company's ability to respond to changes in the market, as fixed interest payments increase the financial strain on the business. In such scenarios, companies may reduce their use of debt to preserve cash flow and reduce the risk of economic distress, even when tax policies provide strong incentives to borrow (Salehi & Salami, 2020). Corporate governance also plays a crucial role in shaping debt policies. Companies with strong governance structures may adopt more conservative debt strategies, opting for lower leverage to avoid the risks associated with high debt levels. Conversely, firms with weaker governance structures may be more willing to take on substantial debt to maximize tax savings, even at the cost of increased financial risk (Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa, 2022). This variation in governance across companies and industries further complicates the relationship between tax policies and debt decisions, as firms with stronger governance are likely to prioritize long-term financial stability over short-term tax benefits.

Discussion

The findings of this study offer a comprehensive understanding of how tax policies influence corporate debt ratios, mainly focusing on how firms in various industries and jurisdictions navigate their financing decisions based on tax advantages. The interpretation of these results indicates that tax incentives, specifically the tax shield generated by interest deductions, significantly influence a firm's capital structure. Companies operating in high-tax environments are more likely to take on debt to reduce taxable income, and this relationship aligns with basic financial theory, such as the Modigliani-Miller theorem, which highlights the advantage of debt under a tax regime. The ability to deduct interest payments from taxable income reduces the effective cost of debt, incentivizing firms to increase leverage in environments where this tax advantage is significant. This fundamental concept underpins much of the behavior observed in the data, particularly among capital-intensive industries like manufacturing and utilities, where large amounts of debt are often necessary to fund extensive infrastructure investments. However, this study also demonstrates that tax shields do not operate in isolation. Firms must balance the benefits of tax savings with the financial risks associated with increased debt, such as higher interest payments and potential liquidity crises. The findings suggest that while tax incentives drive firms toward higher leverage, particularly in capital-intensive industries, the decision to take on debt is tempered by other considerations, such as market volatility, economic uncertainty, and corporate governance practices. This is particularly evident in firms operating in industries where capital intensity is lower, such as technology and services. In these sectors, firms are less reliant on debt, as the tax benefits do not outweigh the risks associated with high leverage. When these results are compared to the study's hypotheses, it becomes clear that the data supports the idea that tax policies, particularly those offering substantial tax shields, play a key role in influencing corporate debt decisions. The evidence broadly supports the hypothesis that tax shields are a dominant factor in increasing corporate debt, especially in high-tax environments and capital-intensive industries. However, the data also reveals that other variables, such as economic conditions and industry-specific risks, can mitigate the influence of tax shields. This nuanced finding refines the hypothesis, indicating that while tax benefits are significant, they are not always the sole driving force behind corporate leverage decisions. For firms in sectors with less need for capital-intensive investments, the risks of increased debt often outweigh the tax benefits, leading these firms to favor equity financing. The theoretical framework for this study is grounded in established corporate finance theories, particularly those that emphasize the importance of tax shields in determining a firm's capital structure. The Modigliani-Miller theorem, which suggests that firms should increase leverage in a world with corporate taxes due to the tax deductibility of interest payments, is strongly supported by the study's findings. However, this research also builds on more recent theories integrating financial distress costs and agency costs into capital structure decisions. For example, Ricca et al. (2021) Work on how firms optimize their tax benefits through debt but must also consider the cost of financial distress, which is highly relevant to this study's findings. Firms in the sample that operate in more volatile markets or industries with lower capital requirements appear to adopt a more conservative approach to leverage, consistent with the trade-off theory that suggests firms weigh the benefits of tax shields against the potential costs of financial distress.

The study's findings align with research examining international tax policies' influence on multinational corporations (MNCs). Unlike their domestic counterparts, multinational firms face the added complexity of navigating tax regulations across multiple jurisdictions. This complexity often leads MNCs to adopt more conservative debt strategies, as the benefits of tax shields are offset by the risks associated with international tax uncertainty and the potential for double taxation in some jurisdictions. The study by Dyreng et al. (2019) on International tax avoidance strategies highlights how tax uncertainty can lead to more cautious debt policies, a conclusion supported by this research's findings. The evidence suggests that while MNCs can benefit from tax shields, they must also navigate a more complex regulatory environment, which often leads them to rely more on equity financing or other forms of non-debt capital. This research is consistent with earlier studies that have explored the relationship between tax policies and corporate debt ratios. However, it provides new insights into the interplay between tax incentives and other factors like industry-specific risks and corporate governance. For example, Kluzek & Schmidt-Jessa (2022) found that firms in high-tax countries tend to have higher leverage, corroborating this study. However, the current research expands on this by showing that firms in industries with lower capital intensity, even in high-tax environments, may avoid excessive debt due to the financial risks involved. This distinction adds a layer of complexity to the existing literature and suggests that tax shields, while important, are not a one-size-fits-all solution for corporate financing decisions. The study draws attention to the impact of tax reforms, such as the U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) of 2017, which significantly altered corporate tax rates and, consequently, corporate debt strategies. The TCJA reduced the corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%, effectively lowering the value of the tax shield. This change prompted many firms to reassess their reliance on debt, leading to a noticeable shift in corporate capital structures, with many firms reducing their leverage in response to the diminished tax benefits. This aligns with Hanlon & Heitzman (2022) findings, which suggest that changes in tax policy can lead to significant shifts in corporate behavior. The results of this study support the notion that firms adjust their financing strategies in response to changes in the tax environment, demonstrating the fluid nature of corporate capital structure decisions.

The practical implications of these findings are substantial for both corporate finance managers and policymakers. The study underscores the importance of tax planning as a key component of capital structure decisions for corporate finance professionals. However, it also highlights the need for a balanced approach that considers tax benefits and the broader financial and operational risks associated with higher debt levels. Finance managers must carefully evaluate the trade-offs between tax savings and the potential costs of financial distress, particularly in industries where market volatility and economic uncertainty are significant concerns. Moreover, for MNCs, the complexity of navigating international tax regimes requires a more cautious approach to debt, with a greater reliance on equity financing to mitigate risks associated with tax uncertainty. For policymakers, the findings offer valuable insights into how tax policy can influence corporate behavior. Tax incentives, such as interest deductibility, are powerful tools for encouraging investment and growth, particularly in capital-intensive industries. However, policymakers must also consider the potential unintended consequences of tax policy changes, such as increased financial risk for firms that take on excessive debt. The study suggests that tax policy should be designed with a holistic view of corporate behavior, encouraging investment and promoting financial stability. Additionally, international tax coordination is crucial for ensuring that MNCs are not unduly penalized by the complexity of navigating multiple tax jurisdictions.

Conclusion

This research comprehensively explores how tax policies influence corporate debt ratios, focusing on domestic and multinational firms. The study's findings demonstrate that tax incentives significantly shape corporate financing decisions, mainly through tax shields. However, firms must balance the tax benefits of debt with risks such as financial distress and market volatility. Moreover, multinational corporations face added complexity due to varying international tax regulations, often leading them to adopt more conservative debt strategies than domestic firms. The study highlights the vital role that tax policies play in determining capital structures, especially in industries where large-scale investments are necessary.

The value of this research lies in its ability to contribute original insights into corporate finance by integrating tax policy considerations with practical implications for managerial decision-making. The study's novelty stems from its focus on the differences between domestic and multinational firms. It offers a nuanced understanding of how varying tax regimes and industry-specific factors impact capital structure decisions. Practically, the findings emphasize the importance of tax planning for finance managers while encouraging policymakers to consider the broader implications of tax reforms on corporate behavior. Corporate finance managers are encouraged to adopt balanced strategies that consider tax benefits and potential financial risks. At the same time, policymakers should design tax policies that foster investment and economic stability.

However, this study has several limitations. First, it primarily relies on secondary data and literature, which may not fully capture recent changes in global tax policies or their impacts on corporate decision-making. Future research should incorporate more empirical data, particularly from a broader range of industries and geographic regions, to better understand the long-term effects of tax reforms on corporate capital structures. Additionally, further studies could explore how emerging trends, such as digital taxation and environmental regulations, might impact corporate financing strategies in the future. Future research can build on this study's findings and offer deeper insights into the evolving relationship between tax policies and corporate finance.

References

Anwar, M. (2020). *Manajemen Strategik: Daya saing dan globalisasi*. Sasanti Institute.

Ao, X.-Y., Ong, T. S., Aprile, R., & Di Vaio, A. (2023). Environmental uncertainty and digital technologies corporate in shaping corporate green behavior and tax avoidance. *Scientific*

Reports, *13*(1), 22170. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-49687-w

Armstrong, C. S., Blouin, J. L., Jagolinzer, A. D., & Larcker, D. F. (2015). Corporate governance, incentives, and tax avoidance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, *60*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2015.02.003

Buffie, M. E. F., Zanna, L.-F., Adam, M. C. S., Balma, L., Tessema, D., & Kpodar, M. K. R. (2020). *Debt, Investment, and Growth in Developing Countries with Segmented Labor Markets*. International Monetary Fund.

Cook, K. A., Moser, W. J., & Omer, T. C. (2017). Tax avoidance and ex ante cost of capital. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, *44*(7–8), 1109–1136.

de Mooij, R. A., Klemm, M. A. D., & Perry, M. V. J. (2021). *Corporate income taxes under pressure: why reform Is needed and how it could be designed*. International Monetary Fund.

Denis, D. J., & McKeon, S. B. (2012). Debt Financing and Financial Flexibility Evidence from Proactive Leverage Increases. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *25*(6), 1897–1929. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhs005

Deresso Disassa, D. (2020). Analysis of Interest Deduction Rules Under Ethiopian Corporate Tax System. *International Journal of Science, Technology and Society*, *8*(6), 122. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijsts.20200806.11

Dharmapala, D. (2021). Do Multinational Firms Use Tax Havens to the Detriment of Non-Haven Countries? *Global Goliaths: Multinational Corporations in the 21st Century Economy*, 437–496. https://doi.org/10.5771/9780815738565

Dyreng, S. D., Hanlon, M., & Maydew, E. L. (2019). When does tax avoidance result in tax uncertainty? *The Accounting Review*, *94*(2), 179–203. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-52198

Fahlovie, V. M. (2023). Pengaruh Kebijakan Perusahaan, Tata Kelola Perusahaan Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan Dan Nilai Perusahaan Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 (Studi pada Sektor Farmasi Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2019-2022). UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG.

Grant, D., & Yeo, B. (2018). A global perspective on tech investment, financing, and ICT on manufacturing and service industry performance. *International Journal of Information Management*, *43*, 130–145. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.06.007

Gupta, S., & Newberry, K. (1997). Determinants of the variability in corporate effective tax rates: Evidence from longitudinal data. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, *16*(1), 1–34. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254(96)00055-5

Ha, N. M., Trang, T. T. P., & Vuong, P. M. (2021). The Impact on Corporate Financial Leverage of the Relationship Between Tax Avoidance and Institutional Ownership : A Study of Listed Firms in Vietnam. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*, *17*(4), 65–73. https://doi.org/10.14254/1800-5845/2021.17-4.6

Hanlon, M., & Heitzman, S. (2022). Corporate Debt and Taxes. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, *14*, 509–534. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-101221-103806

Khan, S. U. (2022). Financing constraints and firm-level responses to the COVID-19 pandemic: International evidence. *Research in International Business and Finance*, *59*, 101545. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2021.101545

Kim, J., Nessa, M., & Wilson, R. J. (2021). How do reductions in foreign country corporate tax rates affect US domestic manufacturing firms? *The Accounting Review*, *96*(3), 287-311. https://doi.org/10.2308/TAR-2018-0568

Kliestik, T., Michalkova, L., & Kovacova, M. (2018). *Is tax shield really a function of net income, interest rate , debt and tax rate? Evidence from Slovak companies*. *11*, 295-311.

https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2018/11-4/21

Kluzek, M., & Schmidt-Jessa, K. (2022). Capital structure and taxation of companies operating within national and multinational corporate groups: Evidence from the visegrad group of countries. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, *23*(2), 451-481. https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2022.15634

Lyu, X., & Shi, A. (2018). Research on the renewable energy industry financing efficiency assessment and mode selection. *Sustainability*, *10*(1), 222. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010222

Mardan, M. (2014). *Essays on the Taxation of Multinational Enterprises under Profits and Losses*.

Miller, M. H. (1977). Debt and Taxes. *The Journal of Finance*, *32*(2), 261–275. https://doi.org/10.2307/2326758

Oktafiyani, M., & Machmuddah, Z. (2018). Perusahaan Keluarga di Indonesia: Managerial Rent Extraction And Firm Performance. *Jurnal Penelitan Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, *3*(1), 48–60.

Prasad, S., Green, C. J., & Murinde, V. (2001). *Company financing, capital structure, and ownership: A survey, and implications for developing economies* (Issue 12). SUERF Studies.

Ricca, L. T., Jucá, M. N., & Hadad Junior, E. (2021). Tax benefit and bankruptcy cost of debt. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, *81*, 82-92. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2021.05.003

Salehi, M., & Salami, S. (2020). Corporate tax aggression and debt in Iran. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, *11*(1), 257–271. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-10-2016-0127

Zhang, X., Husnain, M., Yang, H., Ullah, S., Abbas, J., & Zhang, R. (2022). Corporate business strategy and tax avoidance culture: Moderating role of gender diversity in an emerging economy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 827553. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.827553