Advances in Applied Accounting Research, 3(1), 2025. 27 - 40
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v3i1.431

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN Online: 2985-8186

Advances in Applied Accounting Research
https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AAAR

The Effect of Financial Scandals on Regulation and Its Impact on Corporate Financial Decisions

Maryam Nurdin

Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90231 Indonesia

Received: 2025, 01, 03 **Accepted:** 2025, 01, 30
Available online: 2025, 01, 31

Corresponding author. Maryam Nurdin
maryam.nurdin555@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Keywords:

Financial scandals; regulatory reforms; corporate financial decisions; compliance strategy; financial governance.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The author(s) declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study examines the influence of financial scandals on regulatory reforms and their effects on corporate financial decision-making. It explores how regulatory changes shape financial strategies, highlighting variations in corporate adaptation between developed and developing markets.

Research Design and Methodology: A qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) approach was utilized, synthesizing insights from peer-reviewed journals, books, and reports. The study incorporates agency theory to analyze the relationship between financial scandals, regulatory changes, and corporate financial strategies.

Findings and Discussion: The findings indicate that financial scandals drive regulatory reforms aimed at enhancing transparency and accountability. Companies adjust their capital structure, debt management, and investment decisions in response. Emerging technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence, enhance compliance and reporting efficiency but pose challenges to adoption, particularly in developing markets with financial and infrastructure constraints. Effective reforms necessitate robust enforcement mechanisms, effective stakeholder collaboration, and institutional support. Differences in corporate responses underscore the need for adaptable regulatory frameworks that strike a balance between oversight and flexibility.

Implications: This study offers practical recommendations for policymakers and corporate leaders to develop regulatory frameworks that foster innovation while maintaining financial integrity. Key strategies include simplifying compliance, offering technical guidance, and promoting digitalization incentives to enhance corporate resilience and support sustainable economic growth.

Introduction

The global financial ecosystem has endured significant disruptions due to high-profile corporate scandals, which have played a critical role in reshaping financial regulations and corporate governance frameworks. Cases such as the collapse of Enron and the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers are pivotal examples of the devastating impact of unethical corporate practices, insufficient oversight, and systemic governance failures. These scandals resulted in substantial financial losses for stakeholders and eroded public confidence in the credibility of financial markets and institutions (Vinten, 2002). This erosion of trust has reverberated across global markets, creating waves of uncertainty that disrupt capital inflows, hinder investment, and compromise economic stability. Corporate scandals have exposed critical vulnerabilities within governance structures, leading to widespread recognition of the need for more stringent regulatory measures to strengthen market transparency and accountability (Grossi & Argento, 2022). Governments and regulatory bodies worldwide have implemented comprehensive reforms aimed at curbing fraudulent practices and promoting ethical corporate behavior (Srinivas & Liang, 2022). However, financial scandals continue to surface despite these regulatory improvements, signaling persistent challenges in achieving effective oversight. This ongoing phenomenon raises concerns about the overall robustness of regulatory frameworks and their capacity to promote genuine corporate accountability. The recurrence of financial misconduct underscores a deeper systemic issue, where the interplay between regulatory mandates and corporate strategic decision-making remains complex and often contested.

Financial scandals involving publicly listed companies in emerging markets, such as Indonesia, have intensified calls for governance reforms to address structural weaknesses and restore investor confidence (Ivaninskiy et al., 2023). These scandals have revealed governance failures requiring more robust regulatory measures to reinforce financial oversight and transparency. Despite regulatory efforts, the extent to which these reforms influence corporate financial decision-making, particularly in areas such as capital structure, investment allocation, and risk mitigation, remains a subject of ongoing debate. Financial misconduct in such contexts often highlights the fragility of regulatory enforcement and the challenges posed by resource constraints, differing institutional capacities, and market volatility (Velte, 2023). The persistent recurrence of scandals raises critical questions about the impact of these regulatory changes on corporate behavior, including the extent to which firms adjust their strategies to comply with new regulations without compromising operational efficiency (Agostino et al., 2022). While intended to enhance corporate accountability, regulatory measures can also impose substantial compliance costs that influence how firms structure their financial strategies (Sukhwal & Kankanhalli, 2022). As a result, companies must navigate a regulatory landscape that demands adherence to complex legal frameworks while pursuing growth and maintaining market competitiveness. This intricate balance underscores the importance of examining how corporations strike a balance between regulatory obligations and financial decision-making processes following financial scandals, particularly in contexts where governance reforms are still evolving and implementation gaps persist.

Recent studies offer significant insights into the complex effects of financial scandals on corporate behavior and regulatory reforms. Farndale et al. (2024) highlighted that financial scandals often catalyze organizational change, particularly influencing human resource functions and employee behavior. This illustrates how internal organizational shifts usually follow external regulatory pressures from financial misconduct. Culpepper et al. (2024) found that media coverage of banking scandals increases public support for stricter financial regulations across multiple countries, emphasizing the role of public opinion in shaping regulatory policies. Consequently, financial managers must prioritize regulatory compliance and ethical governance in decision-making. Muslim (2024) observed that advanced technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), play a crucial role in enhancing regulatory processes by increasing transparency and reducing human error, demonstrating the convergence of financial management and technological innovation. Ajayi-Nifise et al. (2024) asserted that financial scandals have a significant impact on corporate governance and financial regulations, resulting in economic and social repercussions, including diminished investor confidence and market volatility. Culpepper et al. (2024) reiterated the critical influence of media exposure in raising public awareness and amplifying interest in financial regulations, particularly in the wake of banking scandals. Despite the substantial contributions of previous studies to the discourse on financial scandals and regulatory reforms, notable gaps remain in understanding the empirical and theoretical dimensions of this complex relationship. Existing research predominantly focuses on public perception and regulatory changes but often lacks a comprehensive examination of how these regulations translate into practical shifts in corporate financial decision-making. For example, while Farndale et al. (2024) and Culpepper et al. (2023) shed light on the socio-political implications of financial scandals, they provide limited insights into the strategic financial choices corporations make when adapting to regulatory reforms. Similarly, Muslim (2024) focuses on audit reforms and technological innovations, including the integration of blockchain and AI. Still, it does not extensively explore their impact on long-term financial planning or capital structure strategies. Much of the literature centers on developed markets, where regulatory institutions are more robust and resource availability supports effective compliance. This leaves a significant gap in understanding how regulatory changes affect corporate financial resilience in emerging economies, such as Indonesia, which often face challenges like limited institutional capacity and resource constraints. While Ajayi-Nifise et al. (2024) highlight the economic and social repercussions of financial scandals, including market instability and declining investor trust, studies rarely address how corporations in varying regulatory environments maintain strategic adaptability amidst heightened regulatory demands.

This study provides a novel contribution by conducting a systematic literature review (SLR) to bridge the gaps in understanding the relationship between financial scandals, regulatory reforms, and corporate financial decision-making. Unlike previous research that has focused on the socio-political impacts or technological advancements in regulatory compliance processes, this study emphasizes corporate financial and strategic responses to regulatory changes. This approach integrates findings from various market contexts to provide a comprehensive understanding of how companies navigate regulatory pressures following financial scandals. The novelty of this study lies in its comparative perspective, which analyzes regulatory dynamics and corporate adaptation in both developed and emerging markets. This approach examines how regulatory changes impact financial strategies, including capital structure, debt management, and long-term investment planning. The primary research question guiding this study is: How do financial scandals influence regulatory reforms, and what impact do these reforms have on corporate financial decision-making? This question highlights the importance of understanding whether regulatory changes enhance corporate financial resilience or impose additional burdens in various regulatory environments. This study aims to synthesize empirical findings, identify patterns of corporate adaptation, and provide insights that policymakers and corporate leaders can use to improve regulatory frameworks and financial governance practices. Therefore, this study provides a meaningful foundation for advancing academic research and enhancing practical policies in the field of financial strategy and regulation.

Literature Review

Agency Theory: Understanding Corporate Financial Behavior in Regulatory Contexts

Agency theory is a relevant conceptual framework for understanding the dynamics between principals (owners) and agents (managers), highlighting potential conflicts of interest arising from divergent goals and information asymmetry (Panda & Leepsa, 2017). Principals entrust financial resources to managers within this framework, expecting them to maximize corporate value. However, managers may pursue personal gains or make decisions that benefit themselves rather than shareholders. This issue is particularly evident in strategic financial decisions, such as capital structure, asset management, and dividend policies (Gong et al., 2025). High-profile financial scandals, such as those involving Enron and Lehman Brothers, have illustrated how weak governance mechanisms and a lack of transparency can enable managers to manipulate financial information to conceal losses or inflate earnings (Aviantara, 2023). Such actions disadvantage shareholders and erode public trust in financial markets, leading to broader economic repercussions. To address these risks, regulatory frameworks have been developed to mitigate agency conflicts by enhancing transparency and accountability. For example, reforms in corporate governance often mandate detailed disclosures and enforce internal audit mechanisms designed to limit managerial discretion (Cohen & Simnett, 2015). External oversight by independent auditors plays a pivotal role in ensuring the accuracy of financial reports (Campa et al., 2023). However, the effectiveness of these measures depends on the robustness of the regulatory environment and the commitment to enforcement. Regulatory policies aim to foster a balance that supports ethical financial reporting and maintains stakeholder confidence by aligning managerial incentives with shareholder interests, reducing the likelihood of detrimental agency conflicts.

Regulation is crucial in addressing agency conflicts by establishing rules and standards that align managerial actions with the interests of shareholders. Corporate governance frameworks often include stricter audit regulations to enhance transparency and reduce the risk of financial misreporting (Gupta et al., 2024). Robust corporate governance mechanisms can improve the quality of financial reporting by limiting managerial discretion (Habib & Jiang, 2015). Internal control systems, supported by external audit oversight, are essential for ensuring the accuracy of financial statements, thereby reinforcing accountability (Karina et al., 2023). However, increased regulatory requirements also demand detailed financial disclosures, enabling shareholders to monitor managerial performance more effectively. Performance-based compensation policies aim to align managerial incentives with long-term corporate goals. In family-controlled firms, pay-performance sensitivity tends to be lower, reflecting the influence of controlling shareholders on compensation structures (De Massis & Foss, 2018). This underscores the importance of balancing compensation policies with regulatory controls to mitigate potential conflicts of interest. However, implementing these policies presents challenges. While equity-based compensation can encourage value creation, it may also raise auditor concerns about financial risks, resulting in higher audit fees (Qu et al., 2020). In developing markets, where institutional resources may be limited, the cost of compliance can burden firms, potentially hindering financial performance (Dabla-Norris et al., 2020). Therefore, effective regulatory frameworks must strike a balance between oversight and flexibility, fostering ethical financial reporting while supporting sustainable business operations. This balance is crucial for maintaining stakeholder trust and mitigating agency conflicts in varying market contexts.

Corporate Financial Decisions

Corporate financial decisions encompass strategic policies related to capital structure, investment strategies, and financial risk management (Ferri & Ricci, 2021). Capital structure decisions involve selecting equity and debt to finance operations, while investment strategies focus on allocating resources to projects expected to generate long-term returns. Financial risk management aims to identify, measure, and mitigate risks that could threaten the company's financial stability (Akash et al., 2024). Internal factors, such as corporate governance policies and the effectiveness of internal controls, play a crucial role in shaping these decisions. Pidun (2019) states that strong corporate governance, supported by independent board members and effective internal audits, can promote more transparent and accountable financial decision-making processes. Conversely, weak oversight mechanisms increase the likelihood of opportunistic behavior by management, potentially compromising shareholder interests. External factors, including regulatory reforms and global economic conditions, impact corporate financial decisions. Ferri & Ricci (2021) highlighted that regulatory changes, often implemented in response to major financial scandals such as Enron and Lehman Brothers, increase compliance requirements, thereby affecting financial strategy. In some cases, companies must allocate substantial budgets for audit and reporting processes, limiting their ability to pursue new investments. Yaman & Korkmaz (2022) emphasized that economic fluctuations, such as inflation and rising interest rates, can pressure firms to adjust their capital structure to remain competitive in volatile markets. Additionally, Kirch & Terra (2020) argued that financial constraints exacerbate the interdependence of financing, investment, and dividend decisions, particularly in emerging markets with limited access to capital. Together, these studies underscore the complexity of corporate financial decision-making, which is influenced by both internal governance mechanisms and external regulatory pressures, necessitating a delicate balance between financial flexibility and regulatory compliance for sustained long-term growth.

Technological advancements have significantly reshaped the financial decision-making processes of corporations. Adopting blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) has improved financial reporting accuracy and efficiency while minimizing errors. AI enhances financial forecasting and risk management by analyzing extensive datasets in real-time, making financial processes more precise (Carayannis et al., 2024). Additionally, blockchain technology offers a transparent and secure method for recording transactions, fostering greater trust in financial systems (Ramos & Ellul, 2024). These technologies not only streamline reporting processes but also reduce the risk of human error, which can lead to financial misstatements or delays in compliance reporting. However, implementing these innovations requires substantial financial investments in infrastructure and training for the workforce. While these technologies improve operational efficiency, they demand significant capital allocation, which may be burdensome for companies with limited resources (Schlegel & Kraus, 2023). Companies must carefully weigh the costs of adopting new technologies against their potential long-term benefits, particularly in dynamic regulatory environments. The impact of financial decisions extends beyond operational efficiency, influencing a company's long-term growth trajectory. Subburayan et al. (2024) emphasized that overly conservative financial policies, often shaped by stringent regulations, can restrict innovation and limit investments in new projects. Conversely, companies that integrate advanced technologies into their financial strategies can enhance their resilience, maintaining growth despite external pressures. By striking a balance between regulatory compliance and technological innovation, firms can foster sustainable growth and continue to contribute to economic development, thereby reinforcing their competitive position in a rapidly evolving financial landscape.

Financial Scandals

Financial scandals involve violations of ethical standards and regulatory norms, encompassing financial data manipulation, fictitious reporting, and deceptive actions aimed at misleading stakeholders (Srinivas & Liang, 2022). These incidents often stem from inadequate governance structures and a lack of transparency, which creates information asymmetry between management and shareholders. Velte (2023) noted that weak corporate governance frameworks often fail to prevent unethical managerial behavior, mainly when internal control systems are poorly implemented. High-profile cases, such as Enron and Lehman Brothers, illustrate how ineffective governance and external pressures can lead to severe financial instability (Campa et al., 2023). For example, Enron's use of special-purpose entities to conceal debt and inflate earnings directly resulted from internal failings and oversight loopholes. Financial scandals are also driven by the pursuit of unrealistic financial targets, creating an environment where unethical behavior becomes normalized. Khan & Kamal (2024) highlighted that external pressures, including market expectations and reputational concerns, often push management toward financial misreporting. In such cases, audit committees and regulatory bodies play a critical role in detecting and mitigating financial misstatements. However, the effectiveness of these oversight mechanisms varies, especially in emerging markets where regulatory frameworks may be under-resourced or inconsistently enforced (Lessambo, 2014). Furthermore, without robust external audits, management can exploit governance gaps to obscure financial losses and inflate perceived profitability.

Financial scandals have far-reaching impacts, affecting individual companies and contributing to financial market instability. One immediate consequence is the erosion of investor confidence, which can lead to plummeting stock prices and, in extreme cases, corporate bankruptcy (Uddin et al., 2020). Velte (2023) argued that market uncertainty following a scandal often triggers a loss of asset value, as seen in the Lehman Brothers case. When the Lehman Brothers collapsed, panic spread across global markets, causing asset prices to fall sharply and deepening the global financial crisis (Campa et al., 2023). This example illustrates how financial scandals can trigger a chain reaction that disrupts global financial systems. In response to such crises, regulatory reforms are often introduced to prevent similar incidents in the future. Following the Enron scandal, the introduction of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in 2002 represents a regulatory effort to enhance financial transparency and strengthen internal controls through more independent audits (Lee, 2019). While these reforms improve corporate accountability, they also present challenges, particularly in terms of the high compliance costs imposed on companies. Khan & Kamal (2024) highlighted that firms in developing economies often face difficulties implementing such regulations due to limited resources and weak regulatory enforcement. Despite these challenges, regulatory strengthening remains essential to restoring public trust and promoting more accountable corporate governance. Balancing stringent oversight with decision-making flexibility is critical to maintaining a stable and sustainable financial system that can withstand future shocks and scandals.

Regulatory Reforms

Regulatory reforms represent significant changes in policies and legal frameworks designed to enhance transparency, accountability, and oversight within financial markets. Grassi & Lanfranchi (2022) emphasized that reforms are often introduced following financial scandals to prevent future occurrences of similar misconduct. These reforms typically involve strengthening audit processes, updating financial reporting standards, and expanding the regulatory bodies' authority to enforce compliance with regulations. For example, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 was implemented in response to major financial scandals, such as those involving Enron, aimed at improving internal controls and ensuring more reliable financial disclosures. However, McCarthy (2023) noted that while these measures increase corporate accountability, they often impose significant compliance costs on companies, particularly those operating in resource-constrained environments. In developing countries, regulatory agencies such as Indonesia's Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK) play a crucial role in ensuring market integrity by enforcing updated regulatory standards and conducting regular audits (Samsor, 2021). However, despite their importance, regulatory reforms often face implementation challenges, including limited institutional capacity and inconsistent enforcement. Broccardo et al. (2023) argued that the effectiveness of regulatory reforms also depends on the adaptability of organizations in implementing changes and the support provided to ensure the smooth adoption of new policies. These challenges underscore the need for a balanced regulatory approach that strikes a balance between stringent oversight and consideration of the financial and operational capabilities of regulated entities.

Despite their goal of strengthening financial oversight, implementing regulatory reforms often presents significant challenges. One major issue is the substantial compliance costs that companies must bear. Vander Bauwhede et al. (2015) highlighted that mandatory audit reforms, although improving financial reporting quality, impose a heavy financial burden, particularly on small and medium enterprises (SMEs). This burden is more pronounced in developing countries where resource limitations hinder the adoption of robust internal control systems. Additionally, the complexity of new regulations can increase administrative workloads, making it difficult for firms to meet regulatory expectations without clear technical guidance (Schlegel & Kraus, 2023). This often results in firms struggling with non-compliance or seeking ways to avoid public scrutiny, such as delisting from stock exchanges. Weak enforcement mechanisms frequently undermine the effectiveness of regulatory reforms. Regulatory changes in East Africa faced significant implementation hurdles due to limited institutional capacity and coordination (Oseni, 2024). Regulatory bodies in such regions may lack the necessary resources to consistently monitor compliance, thereby reducing the overall impact of reforms. Comparative studies also reveal that while reforms like the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) improved corporate accountability, they introduced debates about cost efficiency (Kaserer et al., 2011). In Indonesia, the Financial Services Authority (OJK) plays a crucial role in enforcing compliance and overseeing independent audits. However, the success of regulatory reforms depends heavily on the support of both governments and stakeholders. Well-designed reforms can enhance financial system stability and promote transparency, but they must strike a balance between rigorous oversight and the practical realities of corporate operations.

Research Design and Methodology

Study Design

This research employs a qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) design, aiming to synthesize existing studies and provide a comprehensive understanding of corporate financial decisions in the context of technological advancements and regulatory reforms. The SLR method follows a structured process that includes identifying relevant literature, evaluating the quality of the sources, and synthesizing key findings to address the research objectives. This approach ensures the review is comprehensive, transparent, and replicable, adhering to established guidelines for qualitative literature reviews.

Sample Population or Subject of Research

This research comprises peer-reviewed articles, books, and credible publications on corporate financial decisions, financial governance, and the integration of technology within regulatory frameworks. The selected studies were sourced from reputable academic databases, including Elsevier, Emerald Insight, SpringerLink, and Wiley Online Library, covering the period from 2018 to the present. The inclusion criteria focused on studies that discussed capital structure decisions, investment strategies, risk management, and regulatory compliance in both developed and emerging markets.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data collection involved a systematic search of relevant literature using predefined keywords, including "corporate financial decisions," "technological innovation in finance," "regulatory compliance," and "financial risk management." The search strategy was refined using Boolean operators to ensure precision and accuracy. A literature review matrix was developed to categorize and document the key themes, research methodologies, findings, and limitations of each study.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns, trends, and gaps within the literature. Key themes, such as the impact of regulatory reforms, the role of governance, and technological integration, were synthesized to draw meaningful conclusions. The thematic coding process ensured consistency in identifying recurring findings across multiple studies, contributing to a nuanced understanding of corporate financial decision-making. This methodological approach provides valuable insights to inform future research and policy recommendations.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

Financial scandals have become pivotal in driving regulatory reforms aimed at strengthening financial governance and rebuilding public trust. High-profile cases, such as Enron and Lehman Brothers, exposed critical weaknesses in corporate oversight, underscoring the need for robust internal control mechanisms. According to Ajayi-Nifise et al. (2024), these scandals not only resulted in financial turmoil but also undermined investor confidence, prompting the implementation of significant regulatory frameworks such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) in the United States. This legislation aimed to enhance corporate transparency by implementing stricter internal audits and improving financial disclosure standards. In Indonesia, the Financial Services Authority (OJK) assumed a central role in ensuring regulatory compliance, conducting direct inspections, and encouraging independent audits to restore public confidence in the financial sector (Aviantara, 2023). However, Agostino et al. (2022) pointed out that regulatory reforms can be hindered by limited resources and enforcement capacity, particularly in developing markets. These limitations raise questions about the efficacy of regulations in achieving their intended outcomes. While reforms aim to prevent future financial misconduct, they often increase operational complexity for companies, especially those with limited resources. This demonstrates that the success of regulatory reforms depends on the robustness of the legal framework, consistent enforcement, and regulatory agencies' capacity to oversee and sanction non-compliance. This ongoing dynamic underscores the need for a balanced approach that mitigates risk without stifling business innovation and growth.

Companies respond to regulatory reforms by adjusting their financial strategies to meet evolving compliance requirements. This often involves restructuring capital allocation, revising debt management policies, and reassessing long-term investment plans. Akash et al. (2024) observed that increased compliance obligations lead firms to allocate additional resources to strengthen internal audit functions and update reporting systems. Some companies adopt advanced technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) to address these demands, streamline compliance processes, and enhance reporting accuracy (Carayannis et al., 2024). These technologies enable real-time monitoring of financial transactions and automated compliance checks, reducing the risk of human error in financial reporting. However, as Broccardo et al. (2023) noted, implementing these technologies requires significant investment in infrastructure, software, and employee training. Firms with strong financial positions are more likely to benefit from these innovations, while smaller enterprises may struggle to meet the associated costs. In this context, regulatory compliance can become a competitive differentiator, favoring firms that can afford technological upgrades. Companies that proactively integrate digital solutions into their financial operations often gain a strategic advantage by improving transparency and operational efficiency. However, excessive reliance on costly technologies can strain budgets, particularly during economic downturns. Therefore, firms must balance investing in regulatory compliance tools and maintaining financial agility to pursue growth opportunities and mitigate potential risks.

Corporate responses to regulatory changes vary considerably between developed and developing markets. In developed economies, companies typically have better access to financial capital and advanced technologies, which facilitates smoother compliance with new regulations. Grassi and Lanfranchi (2022) emphasized that well-established infrastructure and government support systems in developed nations enable firms to adjust their financial strategies without significant disruptions. In contrast, firms in developing markets often face barriers such as high borrowing costs, limited technological capabilities, and underdeveloped compliance frameworks (Dabla-Norris et al., 2020). These challenges hinder their ability to meet regulatory standards without compromising operational efficiency. Khan and Kamal (2024) argued that firms in emerging economies require regulatory frameworks that are both robust and adaptable to their resource constraints. The lack of access to affordable financing and the absence of technical expertise can exacerbate the financial burden of compliance, placing smaller firms at a disadvantage. This disparity highlights the need to develop market-specific regulatory policies that provide clear guidance and support for compliance. Technical assistance from regulatory bodies can help bridge knowledge gaps and improve firms' capacity to implement required changes. A more nuanced approach to regulation, which considers the economic realities of different markets, is essential to ensure that regulatory reforms achieve their objectives without disproportionately affecting vulnerable firms. This balanced approach can foster greater regulatory compliance and financial resilience in advanced and emerging economies.

The effectiveness of regulatory reforms in reinforcing corporate financial resilience depends on the consistency of regulatory oversight and the enforcement of penalties for non-compliance. Campa et al. (2023) argued that well-designed regulations are insufficient without coordinated supervision and appropriate sanctions for violations. Effective enforcement mechanisms build stakeholder confidence, enabling companies to make strategic decisions without fear of regulatory uncertainty. Conversely, overly stringent regulations can increase operational costs and reduce competitiveness, particularly for firms operating on narrow profit margins (Ivaninskiy et al., 2023). The administrative burden of complying with complex regulations can divert resources from strategic investments, thereby weakening a firm's growth potential. Velte (2023) emphasized that striking a balance between regulatory stringency and operational flexibility is crucial for fostering a stable and growth-oriented financial environment. This requires clear regulatory guidelines, streamlined compliance procedures, and transparent communication between regulators and firms. Regulatory reforms that focus on capacity-building for both regulatory institutions and corporate entities can enhance the overall effectiveness of the regulatory framework. Moreover, fostering a culture of proactive compliance within firms can reduce the likelihood of regulatory breaches and build long-term resilience. Achieving regulatory objectives requires a collaborative approach that aligns regulatory expectations with firms' operational realities. By promoting fairness and accountability, regulatory reforms can create a financial landscape that supports sustainable business growth while protecting stakeholders from financial misconduct.

Regulatory reforms have a significant influence on corporate strategic financial decisions, particularly in resource allocation and risk management. Conservative financial policies driven by stringent regulatory demands can limit firms' ability to invest in innovative projects and expand into new markets (Ferri & Ricci, 2021). Companies that adopt overly cautious financial strategies may miss growth opportunities, particularly in sectors that require continuous innovation to remain competitive. However, firms with robust financial planning can leverage regulatory frameworks to enhance governance and strengthen stakeholder relationships. Subburayan et al. (2024) emphasized that firms that integrate digital solutions into their compliance and reporting processes can enhance transparency and maintain financial stability while pursuing strategic initiatives. Effective strategic planning involves striking a balance between regulatory compliance and financial flexibility to ensure resilience in an ever-evolving business environment. This balance enables firms to allocate resources efficiently, mitigate risks, and capitalize on market opportunities. Transparent financial reporting can enhance stakeholder trust and attract potential investors, ultimately contributing to a company's long-term financial health. Firms that view regulatory compliance as an opportunity to strengthen their operational framework rather than a constraint can foster a competitive advantage in the market. By aligning regulatory adherence with strategic objectives, companies can navigate complex regulatory landscapes, contribute to economic development, and maintain financial integrity. This approach reinforces the importance of adaptability and innovation in achieving sustainable growth amid regulatory challenges.

Discussion

The findings of this study suggest that financial scandals have a significant impact on regulatory reforms across various countries. These reforms enhance the transparency, accountability, and oversight of corporate financial reporting processes. Notable financial scandals, such as the collapse of Enron and the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers, have acted as catalysts for substantial changes in global regulatory policies. These events exposed serious flaws in internal control mechanisms, prompting swift regulatory responses to restore public trust and stabilize financial markets. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) of 2002, introduced in the United States, aimed to address weaknesses in corporate governance and improve the accuracy and reliability of financial disclosures by mandating stricter internal controls and independent audits. Similarly, in Indonesia, the Financial Services Authority (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan or OJK) has played a central role in implementing regulatory frameworks, ensuring financial institutions adhere to updated standards, and promoting independent audits to reinforce transparency. This study also underscores the pivotal role of media and public opinion in shaping the pace and direction of regulatory reforms. Public exposure to unethical financial practices through widespread media coverage intensifies pressure on policymakers to enact stricter regulations. This dynamic illustrates that financial scandals are not purely economic issues but rather social events that shape public sentiment and influence market stability. The media's ability to highlight governance failures and demand accountability often accelerates regulatory action. Thus, regulatory reforms are not isolated policy measures but comprehensive responses reflecting societal demands for financial integrity and institutional transparency. This finding highlights the interconnection between economic governance, media influence, and public trust in financial institutions.

This study further reveals that companies respond to regulatory reforms by adjusting their financial strategies, including changes in capital structure, debt management, and long-term investment planning. To comply with new regulatory standards, companies often allocate substantial resources to enhance their internal audit functions, upgrade their financial reporting systems, and ensure compliance with updated requirements. While these adjustments are necessary to meet regulatory expectations, they often increase operational costs. Companies must balance their need to maintain financial flexibility with the obligation to adhere to stricter regulations. To address these challenges, many firms are leveraging advanced technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), to enhance the efficiency and transparency of their financial reporting processes. Blockchain technology, known for its decentralized and immutable ledger, can automate audit processes and minimize the risk of human error and manipulation. Similarly, AI-driven systems can analyze large volumes of financial data in real-time, providing valuable insights that enhance strategic decision-making. The benefits of financial digitalization include improved reporting accuracy, enhanced data security, and faster decision-making processes. However, despite these potential advantages, adopting digital technologies poses significant financial challenges, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). The cost of investing in new software, upgrading infrastructure, and training employees can be substantial. This highlights the need for companies to carefully weigh the long-term benefits of technological investments against their immediate financial constraints. The study's findings emphasize that while technology offers solutions for regulatory compliance, its successful implementation requires careful planning and sufficient resource allocation to avoid additional financial strain.

The study also notes significant differences in how companies in developed and developing markets adapt to regulatory reforms. Firms in developed nations generally benefit from greater access to advanced technology and robust financial resources, which enable them to comply with regulatory changes more efficiently. These companies often operate in environments with stable infrastructure, reliable financial systems, and supportive government policies that facilitate smoother adaptation to regulatory updates. Additionally, regulatory agencies in developed countries tend to have higher enforcement capacities, providing companies with clear guidelines and consistent monitoring. In contrast, companies in developing markets face various challenges, including limited access to capital, outdated technological infrastructure, and higher borrowing costs. These constraints make it difficult for firms in emerging economies to meet updated regulatory standards, often increasing their vulnerability to financial penalties and operational inefficiencies. The higher costs associated with regulatory compliance can strain financial resources, forcing companies to divert funds away from growth-oriented projects to meet compliance requirements. As a result, these companies may experience reduced competitiveness in the global market. Regulatory approaches in developing countries must be more flexible and supported by clear technical guidelines and capacity-building programs to address these disparities. Financial assistance, training, and infrastructure support can help companies align with compliance requirements without overwhelming their operations. This finding reinforces the notion that the success of regulatory reforms depends not only on the robustness of the regulations but also on the capacity of regulatory bodies to enforce them and the commitment of companies to comply with them. Collaborative efforts among regulators, policymakers, and industry stakeholders are essential for creating an ecosystem that fosters compliance, financial resilience, and sustainable growth across diverse market contexts.

The findings of this study align with agency theory, which posits that conflicts of interest can arise between principals (shareholders) and agents (managers) due to information asymmetry. Regulatory reforms are designed to mitigate such conflicts by enhancing transparency and accountability in financial reporting. Mechanisms such as independent audits and stricter reporting standards serve as control measures to ensure that managerial actions align with the interests of shareholders. These reforms address the principal-agent problem by reinforcing oversight mechanisms and reducing the potential for opportunistic behavior. The integration of advanced technologies in financial reporting processes further supports the core principles of agency theory, emphasizing the importance of effective monitoring to minimize information manipulation risks. Subburayan et al. (2024) emphasize that blockchain technology, with its decentralized and immutable nature, can significantly reduce information asymmetry by providing stakeholders with real-time, verifiable financial data. This feature enhances transparency and ensures critical financial information is accessible and reliable, strengthening stakeholder trust. Similarly, Carayannis et al. (2024) highlight that AI-powered systems can enhance oversight by processing large volumes of financial data and detecting anomalies that may indicate unethical practices. These technological advancements reinforce the premise that effective governance frameworks, supported by regulatory technology (RegTech), can enhance corporate accountability and financial integrity. Therefore, the findings of this study reinforce the argument that technology-driven financial governance reforms play a crucial role in mitigating principal-agent conflicts and promoting public trust.

Compared to previous research, the findings of this study align with those of Ajayi-Nifise et al. (2024), which emphasize that financial scandals in the United States have prompted significant regulatory reforms in financial reporting and strengthened the role of oversight institutions. Their research highlighted the positive impact of these reforms on improving corporate accountability, demonstrating how post-scandal regulatory measures have reinforced transparency and financial governance. However, this study extends the discussion by introducing a new dimension highlighting differences in regulatory adaptation between developed and developing nations. While previous studies have primarily focused on regulatory responses within advanced economies, this research broadens the perspective by examining the challenges faced by companies operating in resource-constrained environments. For instance, Grassi and Lanfranchi (2022) noted that companies in developed countries often swiftly adopt new regulations due to well-established infrastructure and supportive government policies. In contrast, firms in developing markets require additional policy support and capacity-building measures to overcome resource limitations and comply with regulatory demands. This study corroborates the findings of Broccardo et al. (2023), who asserted that digitalization can accelerate the regulatory adaptation process by enhancing data accuracy and automating compliance tasks. However, this research suggests that the effective implementation of advanced technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), requires substantial investment in both financial and human capital. Companies must be willing to invest in software, infrastructure, and employee training to maximize the benefits of digitalization. These findings underscore that while digital solutions can mitigate regulatory burdens, the success of such initiatives depends on a firm's commitment to continuous improvement and the availability of institutional support to ensure that technological integration aligns with compliance goals.

The practical implications of this study underscore the importance of regulatory policies that prioritize transparency and accountability while also incorporating a degree of flexibility to enable firms to remain innovative and competitive. Regulatory frameworks must balance enforcing compliance and fostering an environment conducive to sustainable business growth. Governments and regulatory bodies in developing economies should support companies by offering clear technical guidelines and capacity-building initiatives, such as training programs to enhance corporate capabilities in meeting regulatory compliance standards. Furthermore, fostering collaboration among the government, regulatory agencies, and the private sector is crucial for establishing a stable and resilient financial ecosystem. By aligning their efforts, these stakeholders can ensure that regulatory reforms are not perceived solely as compliance burdens but as mechanisms to enhance corporate governance and market integrity. Integrating digital technologies in financial reporting is also a strategic priority, with policymakers introducing incentives for firms that invest in digitalizing their reporting processes. Subburayan et al. (2024) observed that financial incentives can accelerate the adoption of digital technologies, thereby improving the efficiency and accuracy of financial reporting systems. Tax breaks or subsidies for digital transformation projects could encourage broader adoption of technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), which have been shown to enhance data accuracy, minimize human error, and streamline audit processes. Therefore, this study offers valuable insights into the broader impact of financial scandals on regulatory reform and corporate financial decisions. It also presents strategic recommendations for policymakers to enhance regulatory effectiveness while supporting corporate innovation and ensuring regulatory compliance does not hinder business growth.

Conclusion

This study has examined the significant impact of financial scandals on regulatory reforms and their subsequent influence on corporate financial decision-making. The findings reveal that financial scandals have prompted substantial regulatory changes aimed at strengthening transparency, accountability, and oversight. The research highlights the varied responses of corporations to these regulatory pressures, ranging from capital restructuring to the adoption of advanced technologies for enhanced reporting efficiency. Additionally, the study underscores the role of media and public opinion in accelerating regulatory reforms, positioning financial scandals as economic crises and social phenomena that shape market stability and institutional accountability. The research addresses the primary question of how regulatory reforms affect corporate financial resilience, providing insights into the strategic adaptations made by firms in both developed and developing markets.

The value of this research lies in its contribution to the academic discourse on financial governance and its practical implications for policy and corporate management. By presenting a comparative perspective that integrates the experiences of firms across different economic contexts, the study enhances the understanding of how regulatory reforms can be tailored to diverse market environments. The study's originality is reflected in its emphasis on the intersection between regulatory compliance and innovation, advocating for policies that support corporate growth while maintaining financial integrity. Regulatory bodies and corporate leaders can benefit from these findings by adopting flexible policies and robust enforcement mechanisms. Integrating technological advancements, supported by financial incentives, can further strengthen governance and ensure compliance efforts contribute to market stability and corporate innovation.

However, this study is not without limitations. The reliance on qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) methods may limit the generalizability of the findings to contexts not covered by the reviewed literature. Additionally, the research is based on secondary data, which may not capture real-time market dynamics or emerging regulatory trends. Future research should consider conducting longitudinal studies or empirical analyses to examine the long-term effects of regulatory reforms on financial performance. Comparative case studies focusing on firms in different industries or regions could also provide deeper insights into the efficacy of specific regulatory measures. Expanding the analysis to include stakeholder perspectives, such as those of investors and regulators, would further enrich the understanding of how financial scandals influence regulatory frameworks and corporate governance practices. This research agenda aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of regulations in promoting sustainable financial ecosystems.

References

Agostino, D., Saliterer, I., & Steccolini, I. (2022). Digitalization, accounting, and accountability: A literature review and reflections on future research in public services. *Financial Accountability & Management*, *38*(2), 152–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12301

Ajayi-Nifise, A. O., Olubusola, O., Falaiye, T., Mhlongo, N. Z., & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). A review of US financial reporting scandals and their economic repercussions: investigating their broader impact and preventative measures. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(2), 183–201. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i2.787

Akash, T. R., Reza, J., & Alam, M. A. (2024). Evaluating financial risk management in corporation financial security systems. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *23*(1), 2203–2213. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2206

Aviantara, R. (2023). Scoring the financial distress and the financial statement fraud of Garuda Indonesia with «DDCC» as the financial solutions. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, *18*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-01-2020-0017

Broccardo, L., Truant, E., & Argento, D. (2023). *Digitalization and Management Control in the Public Sector: What is Next? BT - Handbook of Big Data and Analytics in Accounting and Auditing* (T. Rana, J. Svanberg, P. Öhman, & A. Lowe (eds.); pp. 279–308). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4460-4_13

Campa, D., Quagli, A., & Ramassa, P. (2023). The roles and interplay of enforcers and auditors in the context of accounting fraud: a review of the accounting literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, *ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/JAL-07-2023-0134

Carayannis, E. G., Askounis, D., Andoutropoulou, M., & Zotas, N. (2024). Leveraging AI for Enhanced eGovernment: Optimizing the Use of Open Governmental Data. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-02317-w

Cohen, J. R., & Simnett, R. (2015). CSR and Assurance Services: A Research Agenda. *AUDITING: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, *34*(1), 59–74. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-50876

Culpepper, P. D., Jung, J., & Lee, T. (2024). Banklash: How media coverage of bank scandals moves mass preferences on financial regulation. *American Journal of Political Science*, *68*(2), 427–444.

Dabla-Norris, E., Misch, F., Cleary, D., & Khwaja, M. (2020). The quality of tax administration and firm performance: evidence from developing countries. *International Tax and Public Finance*, *27*(3), 514–551. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-019-09551-y

De Massis, A., & Foss, N. J. (2018). Advancing family business research: The promise of microfoundations. In *Family Business Review* (Vol. 31, Issue 4, pp. 386–396). SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894486518803422

Farndale, E., Paauwe, J., Boselie, P., & Horak, S. (2024). Corporate Scandals as Punctuating Events That Change Human Resource Roles. *Journal of Management*, 01492063231226137. https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063231226137

Ferri, S., & Ricci, F. (2021). *The Role of Financial Strategy in Firms: Financing and Investment Decisions BT - Financial Strategies for Distressed Companies: A Critical Analysis and*

Operational Tools (S. Ferri & F. Ricci (eds.); pp. 47–75). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65752-9_3

Gong, Q., Gai, L., Wang, Y., Xu, D., & Yang, R. (2025). *Approximating Principal-Agent Problem Under Bayesian BT - Frontiers of Algorithmics* (B. Li, M. Li, & X. Sun (eds.); pp. 185–198). Springer Nature Singapore.

Grassi, L., & Lanfranchi, D. (2022). RegTech in public and private sectors: the nexus between data, technology and regulation. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, *49*(3), 441–479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-022-00226-0

Grossi, G., & Argento, D. (2022). The fate of accounting for public governance development. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *35*(9), 272-303. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-11-2020-5001

Gupta, P., Hooda, A., Jeyaraj, A., Seddon, J. J. M., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2024). Trust, Risk, Privacy and Security in e-Government Use: Insights from a MASEM Analysis. *Information Systems Frontiers*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-024-10497-8

Habib, A., & Jiang, H. (2015). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality in China: A survey of recent evidence. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, *24*, 29–45. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2014.12.002

Ivaninskiy, I., Ivashkovskaya, I., & McCahery, J. A. (2023). Does digitalization mitigate or intensify the principal-agent conflict in a firm? *Journal of Management and Governance*, *27*(3), 695–725. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-021-09584-8

Karina, A., Nur, M., Molina, M., & Digdowiseiso, K. (2023). A Review of Internal Control and Accountability of Regional Financial Management Literature. *Global Journal of Business, Economics & Social Development*, *1*(2), 101–107.

Kaserer, C., Mettler, A., & Obernberger, S. (2011). The Impact of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act on the Cost of Going Public. *Business Research*, *4*(2), 125–147. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03342753

Khan, S., & Kamal, Y. (2024). Corporate board, audit committee and earnings manipulation: does the corporate regulation matter? An emerging economy perspective. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, *24*(4), 831–864. https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2023-0013

Kirch, G., & Terra, P. R. (2020). Financial constraints and the interdependence of corporate financial decisions: A cross-country study. *RAUSP Management Journal*, *55*(3), 339-354. https://doi.org/10.1108/RAUSP-01-2019-0003

Lee, G. J. (2019). *Internal Control Strategies for Compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*. https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations/6347

Lessambo, F. I. (2014). *Corporate Governance, Accounting and Auditing Scandals BT - The International Corporate Governance System: Audit Roles and Board Oversight* (F. I. Lessambo (ed.); pp. 244–263). Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137360014_19

McCarthy, J. (2023). The regulation of RegTech and SupTech in finance: ensuring consistency in principle and in practice. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, *31*(2), 186–199. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-01-2022-0004

Muslim, M. (2024). Managerial Finance Tactics in the Era of Enhanced Regulation Following Financial Scandals. *Advances in Management & Financial Reporting*, *2*(1 SE-Articles), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.60079/amfr.v2i1.202

Oseni, K. O. (2024). Barriers facing e-service adopting and implementation at local environment level in Nigeria. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2406.15375*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.15375

Panda, B., & Leepsa, N. M. (2017). Agency theory: Review of theory and evidence on problems and perspectives. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, *10*(1), 74-95. https://doi.org/10.1177/0974686217701467

Pidun, U. (2019). *Corporate Financial Strategy BT - Corporate Strategy: Theory and Practice* (U. Pidun (ed.); pp. 229–252). Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-25426-1_10

Qu, X., Yao, D., & Percy, M. (2020). How the Design of CEO Equity-Based Compensation can Lead to Lower Audit Fees: Evidence from Australia. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *163*(2), 281–308. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4031-y

Ramos, S., & Ellul, J. (2024). Blockchain for Artificial Intelligence (AI): enhancing compliance with the EU AI Act through distributed ledger technology. A cybersecurity perspective. *International Cybersecurity Law Review*, *5*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1365/s43439-023-00107-9

Samsor, A. M. (2021). Challenges and Prospects of e-Government implementation in Afghanistan. *International Trade, Politics and Development*, *5*(1), 51–70. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITPD-01-2020-0001

Schlegel, D., & Kraus, P. (2023). Skills and competencies for digital transformation – a critical analysis in the context of robotic process automation. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *31*(3), 804–822. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-04-2021-2707

Srinivas, S., & Liang, H. (2022). Being digital to being vulnerable: does digital transformation allure a data breach? *Journal of Electronic Business & Digital Economics*, *1*(1/2), 111–137. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEBDE-08-2022-0026

Subburayan, B., Sankarkumar, A. V., Singh, R., & Mushi, H. M. (2024). *Transforming of the Financial Landscape from 4.0 to 5.0: Exploring the Integration of Blockchain, and Artificial Intelligence BT - Applications of Block Chain technology and Artificial Intelligence: Lead-ins in Banking, Finance, and Capital Market* (M. Irfan, K. Muhammad, N. Naifar, & M. A. Khan (eds.); pp. 137–161). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47324-1_9

Sukhwal, P. C., & Kankanhalli, A. (2022). *Agent-based Modeling in Digital Governance Research: A Review and Future Research Directions BT - Scientific Foundations of Digital Governance and Transformation: Concepts, Approaches and Challenges* (Y. Charalabidis, L. S. Flak, & G. Viale Pereira (eds.); pp. 303–331). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92945-9_12

Uddin, M. H., Ali, M. H., & Hassan, M. K. (2020). Cybersecurity hazards and financial system vulnerability: a synthesis of literature. *Risk Management*, *22*(4), 239–309. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41283-020-00063-2

Vander Bauwhede, H., De Meyere, M., & Van Cauwenberge, P. (2015). Financial reporting quality and the cost of debt of SMEs. *Small Business Economics*, *45*(1), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-015-9645-1

Velte, P. (2023). The link between corporate governance and corporate financial misconduct. A review of archival studies and implications for future research. *Management Review Quarterly*, *73*(1), 353–411. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-021-00244-7

Vinten, G. (2002). The corporate governance lessons of Enron. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 2(4), 4-9. https://doi.org/10.1108/14720700210447632

Yaman, S., & Korkmaz, T. (2022). The Effects of Capital Structure Decisions on Financial Risk and Failure: A Research on BIST Food Companies 1 . In S. Grima, E. Özen, & R. E. D. Gonzi (Eds.), *Insurance and Risk Management for Disruptions in Social, Economic and Environmental Systems: Decision and Control Allocations within New Domains of Risk* (pp. 117–148). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80117-139-720211007