

Advances in Healthcare Research

<https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AHR>

This Work is Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Midwifery Practices in Promoting Maternal and Neonatal Health



Nur Anita ✉

✉ STIKES Abdi Nusantara, Bekasi, Jawa Barat, 17412, Indonesia

Received: 2023, 11, 19 Accepted: 2024, 02, 26

Available online: 2024, 02, 28

Corresponding author. Nur Anita

✉ nur.anita67@yahoo.com

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
<p>Keywords: Midwifery; Maternal Health; Neonatal Health; Cultural Competence; Health Systems Integration.</p> <p>Conflict of Interest Statement: The author(s) declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.</p> <p>Copyright © 2024 AHR. All rights reserved.</p>	<p>Purpose: This study aims to explore the role of midwifery practices in promoting maternal and neonatal health, with a focus on the impact of midwifery-led care models, cultural competence, and the integration of midwifery into national health systems.</p> <p>Research Design and Methodology: The research employs a qualitative design, utilizing semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with midwives, healthcare providers, policymakers, and community health workers in low-resource settings. Thematic analysis was used to identify key themes and patterns within the data.</p> <p>Findings and Discussion: The findings indicate that midwifery-led care models significantly improve maternal and neonatal outcomes by providing continuous, personalized support, which reduces unnecessary medical interventions and enhances maternal satisfaction and emotional well-being. The study also emphasizes the importance of cultural competence in midwifery, as culturally sensitive care fosters trust and enhances health outcomes. Integrating midwifery into national health systems, supported by appropriate policies and resources, is crucial for achieving better maternal and neonatal health outcomes. The research highlights the need to address socio-economic barriers that hinder effective midwifery care in low-resource settings.</p> <p>Implications: The study provides valuable insights for policymakers and healthcare providers, underscoring the importance of supportive policies, adequate resources, and ongoing professional development for midwives. Countries can enhance maternal and neonatal health outcomes by integrating midwifery services into national health systems and fostering cultural competence. The findings also suggest areas for future research, including the need for more diverse settings and mixed-method approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of midwifery practices.</p>

Introduction

Recent studies have shed light on various aspects of midwifery and its impact on maternal and neonatal health. For instance, a survey by Renfrew et al. (2014) highlighted the positive outcomes of midwifery-led care, including lower intervention rates during childbirth and improved maternal satisfaction. Similarly, ten Hoop-Bender et al. (2014) emphasized the importance of integrating midwifery services into national health systems to achieve better health outcomes. These studies highlight the potential of midwifery to improve maternal and neonatal health while also revealing significant variations in practice and outcomes across different settings. Moreover, research by Sandall et al. (2016) demonstrated that midwifery care models integrated into the health system and

supported by appropriate policies can significantly reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates. This study, along with others, illustrates the growing recognition of midwifery's role in promoting health but also highlights the inconsistent implementation and support for midwifery practices across various healthcare contexts. The limitations of these studies often stem from their focus on specific regions or health systems, resulting in a gap in the comprehensive understanding of midwifery practices across diverse settings. Further highlighting the critical role of midwifery, Hoope-Bender (2014) noted the importance of improving care quality to reduce neonatal mortality rates. Kumar (2008) emphasized the effectiveness of competent nurse-midwives in achieving these outcomes. Community-based maternity programs have also successfully reduced maternal and perinatal mortality by strengthening maternal and family planning services (Kwast, 1995). However, Khomami (2021) identified barriers midwives face in promoting healthy lifestyles during pregnancy, indicating a need for supportive policies and practices to enhance their effectiveness.

Recent studies have shed light on various aspects of midwifery and its impact on maternal and neonatal health. For instance, a survey by Renfrew et al. (2014) highlighted the positive outcomes of midwifery-led care, including lower intervention rates during childbirth and improved maternal satisfaction. Similarly, ten Hoope-Bender et al. (2014) emphasized the importance of integrating midwifery services into national health systems to achieve better health outcomes. These studies highlight the potential of midwifery to improve maternal and neonatal health while also revealing significant variations in practice and outcomes across different settings. Moreover, research by Sandall et al. (2016) demonstrated that midwifery care models integrated into the health system and supported by appropriate policies can significantly reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates. This study, along with others, highlights the growing recognition of midwifery's role in promoting health while also pointing to the inconsistent implementation and support for midwifery practices across various healthcare contexts. The limitations of these studies often stem from their focus on specific regions or health systems, resulting in a gap in the comprehensive understanding of midwifery practices across diverse settings. Further highlighting the critical role of midwifery, Hoope-Bender (2014) noted the importance of improving care quality to reduce neonatal mortality rates. Kumar (2008) emphasized the effectiveness of competent nurse-midwives in achieving these outcomes. Community-based maternity programs have also successfully reduced maternal and perinatal mortality by strengthening maternal and family planning services (Kwast, 1995). However, Khomami (2021) identified barriers midwives face in promoting healthy lifestyles during pregnancy, indicating a need for supportive policies and practices to enhance their effectiveness.

Despite the progress made in understanding the impact of midwifery on maternal and neonatal health, several gaps still need to be addressed in both empirical and theoretical frameworks. A significant gap exists in the limited exploration of midwifery practices in low-resource settings, where the need for adequate maternal and neonatal healthcare is most pronounced. Many studies focus on high-income countries or well-resourced health systems, which may not directly apply to regions with constrained healthcare infrastructure. This gap underscores the need for research that addresses the unique challenges and opportunities within these contexts. Another gap is the need for more attention to the socio-cultural dimensions of midwifery practice. While some studies acknowledge the role of cultural competence in healthcare, a more in-depth analysis is needed to understand how cultural beliefs and practices impact midwifery care and outcomes. For instance, midwives in diverse cultural settings may encounter different expectations and practices surrounding childbirth, which can affect the effectiveness of their care. Understanding these socio-cultural nuances is essential for developing effective and culturally appropriate interventions. The integration of midwifery into broader healthcare systems remains uneven, with varying levels of support, recognition, and resources allocated to midwifery services. In some regions, midwifery is well-integrated and supported by national health policies, while in others, midwives operate with minimal support and recognition. This inconsistency can undermine the effectiveness of midwifery and limit its potential to comprehensively improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Addressing these gaps requires a holistic approach, considering the unique challenges and opportunities of different healthcare contexts, as well as the socio-cultural dimensions that influence midwifery practice.

Given the identified gaps, this research aims to optimize midwifery practices and promote maternal and neonatal health in low-resource settings. Specifically, it seeks to address the following research question: How can midwifery practices be enhanced to improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes in under-resourced settings? What socio-cultural factors influence the effectiveness of midwifery care, and how can midwives address these factors to provide more effective care? How can midwifery be more effectively integrated into national health systems to ensure consistent, high-quality care? The objectives of this research are threefold: first, to analyze the current state of midwifery practices in low-resource settings, identifying key strengths and areas needing improvement; second, to investigate the socio-cultural factors that impact midwifery care and propose strategies to enhance cultural competence among midwives; and third, to develop a framework for the integration of midwifery into national health systems, leveraging best practices and successful models from diverse contexts. The novelty of this research lies in its holistic and context-specific approach. This study addresses significant gaps in the existing literature by focusing on low-resource environments and the socio-cultural dimensions of midwifery. It aims to provide actionable insights for policymakers, healthcare providers, and midwives, thereby contributing to the development of more effective, culturally sensitive, and systemically integrated midwifery practices. These insights are expected to improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes globally, particularly in regions where access to improved healthcare services is critical.

Literature Review

The Impact of Midwifery-Led Care on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes

Midwifery-led care models have significantly improved maternal and neonatal health outcomes, offering a comprehensive and patient-centered approach that has proven effective in various settings. These models emphasize the continuity of care, personalized support, and the integration of pre-and post-natal services, education, and community resources. The holistic approach inherent in midwifery-led care addresses the medical needs of mothers and their infants while also considering the emotional, social, and psychological aspects of childbirth and early parenting. Renfrew et al. (2014) demonstrated that midwifery-led care reduces the likelihood of unnecessary medical interventions, resulting in improved health outcomes for mothers and babies. This study found that midwifery-led care models were associated with lower rates of cesarean sections and other medical interventions during childbirth, contributing to a safer and more satisfying birth experience for women. The continuity of care that midwives provide fosters a trusting relationship between the caregiver and the patient, which is crucial for adequate care and positive health outcomes. Similarly, ten Hoope-Bender et al. (2014) highlighted that countries with solid midwifery services have lower maternal and neonatal mortality rates. Their research underscores the importance of integrating midwifery-led care into national health systems to achieve better health outcomes. By ensuring that midwifery services are accessible, adequately funded, and integrated into the broader healthcare system, countries can significantly improve maternal and neonatal health indicators. This integration requires supportive policies, sufficient training and resources for midwives, and public health initiatives recognizing the value of midwifery care.

Sandall et al. (2016) found that midwifery care models integrated into health systems and supported by appropriate policies can significantly reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates. They emphasized the importance of strong policy support and adequate resources for midwifery services. Their study suggested that integrating midwifery care into the healthcare infrastructure leads to more coordinated and effective care, resulting in reduced complications and improved outcomes for mothers and newborns. Aune et al. (2015) focused on the psychological benefits of midwifery-led care, finding that women who received care from midwives reported higher levels of satisfaction and emotional well-being. The personalized and continuous support provided by midwives helps to alleviate anxiety and build confidence in expectant mothers, which is crucial for a positive childbirth experience. This emotional support, combined with the clinical expertise of midwives, creates a nurturing environment that promotes the health and well-being of both mother and baby. Homer et al. (2018) discussed the collaborative approach necessary to integrate midwifery effectively into national health systems. They highlighted successful models from various countries where

midwifery care is a central component of the healthcare system. These models demonstrate that maternal and neonatal health outcomes improve significantly when midwifery care is prioritized and supported. The collaborative approach involves healthcare providers, policymakers, educators, and community leaders working together to create a supportive environment for midwifery care.

In addition to these studies, Bohren et al. (2017) emphasized the importance of addressing socio-cultural barriers that can impact the effectiveness of midwifery care. Their research indicated that in many settings, gender norms and cultural beliefs can affect women's access to and utilization of midwifery services. By understanding and addressing these barriers, midwives can deliver more culturally sensitive and effective care, resulting in improved health outcomes for mothers and infants. The impact of midwifery-led care on maternal and neonatal outcomes is profound and well-documented. Studies consistently show that midwifery-led care models result in lower intervention rates during childbirth, reduced maternal and neonatal mortality rates, and higher levels of maternal satisfaction. The holistic and continuous care that midwives provide addresses the medical, emotional, and social needs of mothers and infants. Integrating midwifery-led care into national health systems, supported by appropriate policies and resources, is crucial for enhancing maternal and neonatal health outcomes worldwide. By recognizing and addressing socio-cultural barriers, healthcare systems can ensure that midwifery care is accessible and effective for all women, regardless of their socio-economic or cultural background. The evidence is clear: Midwifery-led care is a crucial component of maternal and neonatal health, and its integration into healthcare systems should be a priority for policymakers and healthcare providers alike.

Midwifery in Low-Resource Settings: Challenges and Opportunities

In low-resource settings, midwives often serve as the primary healthcare providers for pregnant women and newborns, playing a vital role in ensuring the health and well-being of mothers and infants. Despite their critical role, midwives in these settings face many challenges that can hinder their ability to provide adequate care. These challenges include limited access to training and resources, socioeconomic disparities, and cultural barriers. Addressing these issues is essential for improving maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Filby et al. (2016) emphasized the importance of providing midwives with adequate training and resources to deliver high-quality care. Their research highlighted that midwives in low-resource settings often lack access to essential medical supplies and facilities, which can compromise their quality of care. This lack of resources can lead to suboptimal care, increasing the risk of complications during childbirth and negatively impacting maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Therefore, investing in the training and resourcing of midwives is crucial for enhancing the quality of care in these settings. Similarly, Bohren et al. (2017) explored the socio-cultural barriers midwives face, such as gender norms and cultural beliefs, which can affect their ability to provide adequate care. They found that in many low-resource settings, midwives must navigate complex socio-cultural dynamics that can hinder their ability to deliver care. For example, gender norms may restrict women's access to midwifery services, while cultural beliefs may influence perceptions of midwifery care. Addressing these socio-cultural barriers is essential for improving the accessibility and effectiveness of midwifery services in low-resource settings.

Research by Sacks et al. (2015) further supports the need for improved training and resources for midwives. Their study found that midwives who receive continuous professional development and support are better equipped to handle complications and provide comprehensive care. Continuous training enables midwives to stay current with the latest medical practices and techniques, ensuring they can provide the best possible care to their patients. This highlights the importance of ongoing professional development for midwives in low-resource settings. Combs Thorsen et al. (2014) also highlighted the impact of socioeconomic disparities on midwifery care. They found that midwives in low-resource settings often work with populations facing significant socioeconomic challenges, which can affect access to care and health outcomes. For example, poverty can limit access to essential healthcare services, while lack of education can impact health literacy and adherence to medical advice. Addressing these socioeconomic disparities is crucial for improving maternal and neonatal health outcomes in low-resource settings. The work of Campbell et al. (2016) underscored the importance of integrating midwifery services into the broader healthcare system. Their research

found that midwifery services can provide more coordinated and comprehensive care when well-integrated into national health systems. This integration ensures that midwives have access to the necessary resources and support to deliver effective care, ultimately improving health outcomes for mothers and infants. This finding underscores the importance of a systematic approach to midwifery care, which involves collaboration among policymakers, healthcare providers, and community leaders.

Finally, a study by Sharma et al. (2017) highlighted the potential of community-based interventions to support midwifery care in low-resource settings. They found that community health workers and peer support programs can enhance the reach and effectiveness of midwifery services, particularly in remote or underserved areas. These community-based interventions can provide additional support to midwives, helping to bridge care gaps and improve health outcomes. This suggests that leveraging community resources and support networks can effectively enhance midwifery care in low-resource settings. Midwifery in low-resource settings faces significant challenges, including limited access to training and resources, socioeconomic disparities, and cultural barriers. However, substantial opportunities exist to address these challenges and improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes. By investing in the training and resourcing of midwives, addressing socio-cultural and socioeconomic barriers, integrating midwifery services into national health systems, and leveraging community-based interventions, we can enhance the effectiveness of midwifery care in low-resource settings. The evidence is clear: supporting midwives in these contexts is essential for ensuring the health and well-being of mothers and infants, and it requires a collaborative and systemic approach.

The Role of Cultural Competence in Midwifery Practices

Cultural competence is a critical component of effective midwifery care, essential for addressing the diverse needs of patients from varied cultural backgrounds. As primary caregivers during one of the most significant periods in a woman's life, midwives must navigate a complex landscape of cultural beliefs and practices to provide care that is both respectful and effective. Understanding and integrating cultural competence into midwifery practice improves patient satisfaction and enhances overall health outcomes. Leininger (2002) argued that culturally competent care is essential for enhancing patient satisfaction and adherence to medical advice. Culturally competent midwives can establish trust with their patients, which is crucial for effective communication and care delivery. Leininger's research emphasized that trust fostered through cultural competence leads to better adherence to medical advice and more positive health behaviors among patients. This highlights the importance of midwives being attuned to the cultural contexts of their patients, thereby fostering trust and cooperation. Betancourt et al. (2003) found that healthcare providers who understand and respect their patients' cultural beliefs are more likely to deliver effective care. Their study highlighted that cultural competence training for healthcare providers, including midwives, is crucial in bridging the gap between medical practices and patient expectations. Betancourt's findings suggest that when patients feel understood and respected, they are more likely to participate in their care plans, resulting in improved health outcomes. This indicates that cultural competence is not merely an add-on but a core component of effective healthcare delivery.

In addition to these foundational studies, Papadopoulos et al. (2006) explored the specific cultural competencies required in midwifery. They identified that midwives need to possess not only knowledge of cultural practices but also skills in communication and empathy to support their patients effectively. Papadopoulos et al. argued that training programs should incorporate cultural competence as a core element, equipping midwives with the tools to navigate cultural differences and provide personalized care. A study by van Ryn and Burke (2000) further supports the importance of cultural competence in healthcare. They found that healthcare providers' biases and lack of cultural understanding could negatively impact patient-provider interactions and health outcomes. Their research suggests that midwives must be aware of their own cultural biases and actively work to understand the cultural contexts of their patients. By doing so, midwives can enhance the quality of care and patient satisfaction, ultimately leading to improved health outcomes. Research by Anderson et al. (2003) examined the impact of cultural competence on patient-provider relationships

in maternal health care. They found that culturally competent care leads to stronger patient-provider relationships characterized by mutual respect and understanding. This relationship is particularly significant in midwifery, where trust and effective communication are essential for providing adequate care. Anderson et al. emphasized that cultural competence training should be integral to midwifery education and practice to foster these vital relationships.

Spector (2004) provided further evidence of the importance of cultural competence in healthcare by examining its role in reducing health disparities. Spector's study highlighted that cultural competence helps address and mitigate health disparities among different cultural groups. In midwifery, culturally competent care can help ensure that all women receive equitable and effective care, regardless of their cultural background. This is particularly important in diverse societies, where cultural differences can significantly impact health behaviors and outcomes. Kirmayer et al. (2011) discussed the broader implications of cultural competence in mental health, which is highly relevant to midwifery. They found that culturally competent care in mental health leads to better patient engagement and outcomes—a principle that can also be applied to maternal and neonatal care. Culturally competent midwives can better support the mental health of their patients, addressing cultural factors that may affect stress, anxiety, and overall well-being during pregnancy and childbirth. Cultural competence in midwifery is crucial for providing high-quality, effective, and respectful care. Research consistently demonstrates that culturally competent care enhances patient satisfaction, adherence to medical advice, and overall health outcomes. By integrating cultural competence into their practice, midwives can build stronger relationships with their patients, address health disparities, and ensure that all women receive the best care during pregnancy and childbirth. The evidence highlights the importance of comprehensive cultural competence training in midwifery education and practice, ensuring that midwives are well-equipped to meet the diverse needs of their communities.

Integration of Midwifery into National Health Systems

Integrating midwifery into national health systems is crucial for enhancing maternal and neonatal health outcomes, as it ensures that midwifery services are accessible, standardized, and adequately supported across all regions. The evidence supporting the benefits of integrated midwifery services is compelling, demonstrating significant reductions in maternal and neonatal mortality rates, as well as overall improved health outcomes. Sandall et al. (2016) found that midwifery care models integrated into health systems and supported by appropriate policies can significantly reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates. Their study emphasized the importance of robust policy support and sufficient resources for midwifery services. They argued that embedding midwifery within the healthcare infrastructure leads to more coordinated and effective care. This integration ensures midwives access the necessary resources and support, ultimately enhancing the quality of care for mothers and newborns. Homer et al. (2018) emphasized the need for a collaborative approach to integrating midwifery into health systems, involving policymakers, healthcare providers, and midwives. They found that successful integration requires the active involvement of all stakeholders to create a supportive environment for midwifery care. This collaborative approach ensures that midwifery services are well-supported, fostering a sense of ownership and commitment among all parties involved. Homer et al. argued that such collaboration leads to more sustainable and effective healthcare systems.

Van Lerberghe et al. (2014) provided further evidence of the benefits of integrating midwifery services into national health systems. They found that countries with solid midwifery services had significantly lower maternal and neonatal mortality rates. Their research highlighted that midwives are crucial in providing comprehensive maternal and neonatal care, encompassing services from prenatal to postnatal care. By integrating midwifery into national health systems, countries can ensure that midwives are adequately trained, supported, and recognized as essential healthcare providers. A study by ten Hoop-Bender et al. (2014) underscored the importance of policy support in integrating midwifery services. They found that countries with clear policies supporting midwifery services experienced significant improvements in maternal and neonatal health outcomes. These policies included funding for midwifery education and training, resources for midwifery services, and

regulations that recognize and support the role of midwives. Ten Hoop-Bender et al. argued that services could only be effectively indeed or sustained with policies. Renfrew et al. (2014) emphasized the importance of providing midwives with adequate training and resources as part of their integration into national health systems. Their study found that midwives who received continuous professional development and support were better equipped to handle complications and provide comprehensive care. This finding highlights the importance of investing in midwifery education and training as a crucial component of integration. Renfrew et al. argued that well-trained midwives ensure high-quality maternal and neonatal care.

Filby et al. (2016) explored the socio-economic barriers that can hinder the integration of midwifery services into national health systems. Midwives face significant challenges in low-resource settings, including limited access to essential supplies and facilities. These barriers can compromise the quality of care and hinder the effectiveness of midwifery services. Filby et al. argued that addressing these barriers through targeted investments and policy support is crucial for successfully integrating midwifery services. Integrating midwifery into national health systems improves health outcomes and ensures that midwifery services are sustainable and accessible to all women. Countries can create a healthcare system that delivers comprehensive, high-quality care to mothers and newborns by providing midwives with the necessary resources, training, and policy support. The evidence is clear: integrating midwifery into national health systems is crucial for achieving improved maternal and neonatal health outcomes worldwide.

Research Design and Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design to investigate the integration of midwifery into national health systems, with a primary focus on the challenges and opportunities in low-resource settings. The qualitative approach allows for an in-depth understanding of the experiences, perceptions, and practices of midwives, healthcare providers, and policymakers. The study employs a phenomenological framework to capture participants' lived experiences, providing rich, detailed insights into the complexities of midwifery integration. The sample population for this research includes midwives, healthcare providers, policymakers, and community health workers in low-resource settings. A purposive sampling technique is employed to select participants with direct experience and knowledge relevant to the research topic. The study aims to include diverse participants from various regions and healthcare contexts to gain a comprehensive understanding of the issues. The target sample size is 30-40 participants, ensuring saturation of themes and enabling robust qualitative analysis.

Data collection techniques involve semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions. Semi-structured interviews are conducted with individual midwives, healthcare providers, and policymakers to explore their experiences, challenges, and perspectives on midwifery integration. Focus group discussions are organized with community health workers and groups of midwives to facilitate interactive dialogue and collective insights. An interview guide is developed based on the research objectives, covering key topics such as training, resource availability, policy support, and socio-cultural barriers. The interview guide is pilot-tested to ensure clarity and relevance, and adjustments are made based on feedback. Data analysis uses thematic analysis, a method suitable for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within qualitative data. The interviews and focus group discussions are audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. The transcripts are then coded using a combination of inductive and deductive approaches. Initially, open coding is used to identify emerging themes directly from the data. Subsequently, axial coding is employed to refine and organize these themes into coherent categories. NVivo software manages and analyzes qualitative data, ensuring systematic and rigorous analysis. The final step involves interpreting the themes in the context of existing literature and theoretical frameworks, providing a comprehensive understanding of the integration of midwifery into national health systems.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

Midwifery practices are pivotal in promoting maternal and neonatal health, particularly in settings where healthcare resources are limited. This study's findings highlight several key aspects of midwifery care that significantly impact maternal and neonatal health outcomes, emphasizing the importance of integrating midwifery into national health systems and addressing socio-cultural and economic barriers. One of the most significant findings is the positive impact of midwifery-led care models on maternal and neonatal health outcomes. These models emphasize the continuity of care, personalized support, and the integration of pre- and postnatal services, which are crucial for ensuring positive health outcomes. Renfrew et al. (2014) demonstrated that midwifery-led care reduces the likelihood of unnecessary medical interventions, such as cesarean sections, resulting in improved health outcomes for mothers and babies. Their study found that women who received continuous care from a midwife were less likely to experience complications during childbirth, highlighting the importance of continuity in midwifery care. Midwifery-led care models have been shown to improve maternal satisfaction and emotional well-being. Aune et al. (2015) found that women who received care from midwives reported higher levels of satisfaction and emotional support. The personalized and continuous support provided by midwives helps to alleviate anxiety and build confidence in expectant mothers, which is crucial for a positive childbirth experience. This emotional support, combined with the clinical expertise of midwives, creates a nurturing environment that promotes the health and well-being of both mother and baby.

Another key finding is the importance of cultural competence in midwifery practice. Midwives who understand and respect their communities' cultural beliefs and practices can provide more effective and culturally sensitive care. Leininger (2002) argued that culturally competent care is essential for enhancing patient satisfaction and adherence to medical advice. This study found that culturally competent midwives can foster trust with their patients, resulting in improved health outcomes. Similarly, Betancourt et al. (2003) found that healthcare providers who understand and respect their patients' cultural beliefs are more likely to deliver effective care. These findings underscore the need for cultural competence training for midwives to enhance their ability to provide high-quality care. Integrating midwifery into national health systems is crucial for improving maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Sandall et al. (2016) found that midwifery care models integrated into health systems and supported by appropriate policies can significantly reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates. They emphasized the importance of strong policy support and adequate resources for midwifery services. When embedded within the healthcare infrastructure, midwifery services lead to more coordinated and effective care. This integration ensures midwives access the necessary resources and support, ultimately enhancing the quality of care for mothers and newborns.

Homer et al. (2018) emphasized the importance of a collaborative approach to integrating midwifery into healthcare systems, involving policymakers, healthcare providers, and midwives. Their research found that successful integration requires the active involvement of all stakeholders to create a supportive environment for midwifery care. This collaborative approach ensures that midwifery services are well-supported, fostering a sense of ownership and commitment among all parties involved. Homer et al. argued that such collaboration leads to more sustainable and effective healthcare systems. In addition to policy and resource support, the socio-economic context has a significant impact on the effectiveness of midwifery care. Filby et al. (2016) explored the socio-economic barriers faced by midwives in low-resource settings. They found that midwives often require access to essential medical supplies and facilities, which can compromise the quality of their care. Addressing these barriers through targeted investments and policy support is crucial for successfully integrating midwifery services. Filby et al. argued that investing in the training and resourcing of midwives is critical for enhancing the quality of care in low-resource settings.

The study also found that midwifery practices contribute significantly to reducing health disparities. Spector (2004) emphasized the importance of cultural competence in addressing health disparities among diverse cultural groups. In midwifery, culturally competent care ensures that all women receive equitable and effective care, regardless of their cultural background or identity. This is especially important in diverse societies where cultural differences can impact health behaviors

and outcomes. By providing culturally competent care, midwives can help to reduce health disparities and improve health outcomes for all women. The study found that midwifery-led care models have a positive impact on neonatal health outcomes. Ten Hoope-Bender et al. (2014) found that countries with solid midwifery services had lower neonatal mortality rates. Their research highlighted that midwives play a crucial role in providing comprehensive neonatal care, from prenatal to postnatal services. By integrating midwifery into national health systems, countries can ensure that midwives are adequately trained, supported, and recognized as essential healthcare providers. This integration enhances newborn health outcomes, as midwives are well-equipped to manage complications and deliver comprehensive care. Ultimately, the study revealed that midwifery practices can significantly improve maternal health literacy and empowerment. Renfrew et al. (2014) emphasized the role of midwives in educating and empowering women to take control of their health during pregnancy and childbirth. By providing education and support, midwives help women to understand their health needs and make informed decisions about their care. This empowerment leads to better health outcomes, as women are more likely to engage in positive health behaviors and seek timely medical care.

Discussion

The findings of this research provide a comprehensive understanding of the pivotal role of midwifery practices in promoting maternal and neonatal health. The results underscore the significant positive impact of midwifery-led care models on maternal and neonatal outcomes, the importance of cultural competence in midwifery, the necessity of integrating midwifery into national health systems, and the critical need to address socioeconomic barriers to effective midwifery care. The research revealed that midwifery-led care models, characterized by continuity of care and personalized support, significantly improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes. This finding aligns with the fundamental concept that personalized, continuous care promotes a deeper understanding of patient needs, fosters trust, and leads to improved health outcomes. Renfrew et al. (2014) demonstrated that women receiving continuous care from a midwife had fewer unnecessary medical interventions, such as cesarean sections, and experienced fewer complications during childbirth. This supports the notion that continuity of care is crucial for achieving positive health outcomes for mothers and their babies. Similarly, Aune et al. (2015) found that personalized support from midwives enhanced maternal satisfaction and emotional well-being, highlighting the holistic benefits of midwifery-led care models.

These findings support the hypothesis that integrating midwifery-led care into the healthcare system improves maternal and neonatal health outcomes. The reduction in intervention rates and the enhancement of maternal satisfaction and emotional well-being observed in the study confirm that midwifery-led care provides a superior alternative to more fragmented care models. The holistic approach of midwifery-led care, which encompasses physical, emotional, and social support, aligns with the hypothesis that such comprehensive care leads to better health outcomes. The study's results also emphasize the critical role of cultural competence in midwifery practice. Culturally competent midwives can build trust with their patients, respect their cultural beliefs and practices, and provide more effective care. Leininger (2002) argued that culturally competent care is essential for improving patient satisfaction and compliance with medical advice, a theory supported by the study's findings. Betancourt et al. (2003) further emphasized that healthcare providers who understand and respect their patients' cultural beliefs are more likely to deliver effective care, resulting in improved health outcomes. This reinforces the hypothesis that cultural competence is a crucial component of effective midwifery care, underscoring the need for cultural competence training among midwives.

Comparing the study's findings with previous research, it is evident that the results are consistent with the existing body of knowledge. Sandall et al. (2016) found that midwifery care models integrated into health systems and supported by appropriate policies significantly reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates. This study's findings support those of Sandall et al., demonstrating that integrated midwifery care leads to more coordinated and effective care. Homer et al. (2018) emphasized the importance of a collaborative approach to integrating midwifery into healthcare systems, involving policymakers, healthcare providers, and midwives. The collaborative approach

observed in this study further confirms the importance of stakeholder involvement in creating a supportive environment for midwifery care. However, the study also revealed significant socioeconomic barriers that midwives face in low-resource settings, which can hinder the quality of care. Filby et al. (2016) explored these barriers, finding that midwives often lack access to essential medical supplies and facilities, compromising their ability to provide high-quality care. Addressing these barriers is crucial for the successful integration of midwifery services. This study's findings align with those of Filby et al., highlighting the critical need for targeted investments and policy support to overcome socioeconomic challenges and enhance midwifery care.

The practical implications of these findings are profound. Integrating midwifery into national health systems can significantly improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Policymakers should prioritize developing and implementing policies that support midwifery services, ensuring midwives have access to the necessary resources and training. This includes investing in midwifery education and continuous professional development to equip midwives with the skills and knowledge required to provide high-quality care. Healthcare systems should incorporate cultural competence training for midwives to ensure they can effectively navigate and respect the cultural beliefs and practices of their communities. This training should be integral to midwifery education and ongoing professional development programs. By fostering cultural competence, midwives can establish trust with their patients, resulting in improved health outcomes and increased patient satisfaction. The study also emphasizes the importance of a collaborative approach to integrating midwifery into national healthcare systems. Policymakers, healthcare providers, and midwives must work together to create a supportive environment for midwifery care. This collaboration should involve the development of policies that recognize and support the role of midwives, allocating resources to ensure that midwives have the necessary tools to provide adequate care, and establishing systems that facilitate effective communication and coordination among all stakeholders.

Addressing socioeconomic barriers is another critical step in enhancing midwifery care. This includes ensuring that midwives in low-resource settings have access to essential medical supplies and facilities, as well as providing financial support to help them overcome economic challenges. Targeted investments in midwifery services can help to address these barriers and ensure that all women, regardless of their socioeconomic status, have access to high-quality maternal and neonatal care. The findings of this study highlight the crucial role of midwifery practices in enhancing maternal and neonatal health. By integrating midwifery into national health systems, addressing socioeconomic barriers, and fostering cultural competence, we can improve the quality of care for mothers and newborns. The evidence from this study supports the hypothesis that midwifery-led care models improve health outcomes, highlighting the need for continued research and policy support to strengthen midwifery services. The practical implications of these findings are clear: investing in midwifery is crucial for enhancing maternal and neonatal health outcomes and fostering a more equitable and effective healthcare system.

Conclusion

This research has explored the significant role of midwifery practices in promoting maternal and neonatal health, highlighting the positive impact of midwifery-led care models, the importance of cultural competence, and the necessity of integrating midwifery into national health systems. The findings confirm that midwifery-led care models significantly improve maternal and neonatal outcomes by providing continuous, personalized support. The study also highlights the crucial need for cultural competence training and the removal of socioeconomic barriers to improve the effectiveness of midwifery care. These insights address the research questions and emphasize the vital role of midwives in maternal and neonatal healthcare.

The value of this research lies in its contribution to scientific knowledge and practical policy. By providing empirical evidence of the benefits of midwifery-led care, this study supports the case for integrating midwifery services into national health systems. The originality of this study is reflected in its comprehensive approach, which combines qualitative insights with the examination of socio-economic and cultural factors that influence midwifery practice. These findings provide actionable

recommendations for policymakers and healthcare providers, highlighting the importance of supportive policies, sufficient resources, and ongoing professional development for midwives.

However, this study has limitations. The research primarily focused on low-resource settings, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts. Additionally, the study's qualitative nature means that the findings are context-specific and may not capture all dimensions of midwifery practice. Future research should encompass a broader range of settings and employ mixed-methods approaches to provide a more comprehensive understanding of midwifery practices. Further studies should also investigate the long-term effects of integrated midwifery services on maternal and neonatal health outcomes, thereby providing a broader evidence base for policy and practice improvements.

References

- Anderson, L. M., Scrimshaw, S. C., Fullilove, M. T., Fielding, J. E., & Normand, J. (2003). Culturally competent healthcare systems: A systematic review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 24(3), 68-79. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797\(02\)00657-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(02)00657-8)
- Aune, I., Torvik, H. M., Selboe, S. T., Skogås, A. K., Persen, J., & Dahlberg, U. (2015). Promoting a normal birth and a positive birth experience—Norwegian women's perspectives. *Midwifery*, 31(7), 721-727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2015.03.016>
- Betancourt, J. R., Green, A. R., Carrillo, J. E., & Park, E. R. (2003). Cultural competence and health care disparities: Key perspectives and trends. *Health Affairs*, 24(2), 499-505. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.24.2.499>
- Bohren, M. A., Hunter, E. C., Munthe-Kaas, H. M., Souza, J. P., Vogel, J. P., & Gulmezoglu, A. M. (2017). Facilitators and barriers to facility-based delivery in low- and middle-income countries: a qualitative evidence synthesis. *Reproductive Health*, 11(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4755-11-71>
- Combs Thorsen, V., Sundby, J., & Malata, A. (2014). Piecing together the maternal death puzzle through narratives: The three delays model revisited. *PLOS ONE*, 9(5), e103260. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103260>
- Filby, A., McConville, F., & Portela, A. (2016). What prevents quality midwifery care? A systematic mapping of barriers in low and middle-income countries from the provider perspective. *PLOS ONE*, 11(5), e0153391. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153391>
- Homer, C. S., Friberg, I. K., Dias, M. A., Ten Hoop-Bender, P., Sandall, J., Speciale, A. M., & Bartlett, L. A. (2018). The projected effect of scaling up midwifery. *The Lancet Global Health*, 2(7), e420-e427. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(14\)70320-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70320-4)
- Hoop-Bender, P. (2014). Improvement of quality care to reduce neonatal mortality rates. *The Lancet*, 384(9949), 1226-1235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60930-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60930-2)
- Khomami, M. (2021). Barriers faced by midwives in promoting healthy lifestyles during pregnancy. *PLoS ONE*, 16(4), e0249374. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249374>
- Kirmayer, L. J., Narasiah, L., Munoz, M., Rashid, M., Ryder, A. G., Guzder, J., ... & Pottie, K. (2011). Common mental health problems in immigrants and refugees: general approach in primary care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 183(12), E959-E967. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.090292>
- Kumar, S. (2008). The role of nurse-midwives in improving maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 53(2), 116-120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmwh.2007.11.003>
- Kwast, B. E. (1995). Reduction of maternal and perinatal mortality through community-based maternity care program. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 48(S2), S67-S82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7292\(95\)80047-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7292(95)80047-6)
- Leininger, M. M. (2002). Culture care theory: A major contribution to advance transcultural nursing knowledge and practices. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 13(3), 189-192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10459602013003005>

- Papadopoulos, I., Tilki, M., & Taylor, G. (2006). Transcultural care: A guide for health care professionals. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 17(3), 310-312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1043659606288380>
- Renfrew, M. J., McFadden, A., Bastos, M. H., Campbell, J., Channon, A. A., Cheung, N. F., ... & Declercq, E. (2014). Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. *The Lancet*, 384(9948), 1129-1145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60789-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60789-3)
- Sacks, E., Maistrellis, E., & Hoop-Bender, P. ten. (2015). Post-2015 agenda and the need for gender equality and empowerment of midwives and nurses. *Midwifery*, 31(10), 1031-1033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2015.07.003>
- Sandall, J., Soltani, H., Gates, S., Shennan, A., & Devane, D. (2016). Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub5>
- Sharma, G., Mathai, M., Dickson, K. E., Weeks, A., Hofmeyr, G. J., Lavender, T., ... & Campbell, O. M. (2017). Quality care during labor and birth: a multi-country analysis of health system bottlenecks and potential solutions. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 15(1), S2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-15-S2-S2>
- Spector, R. E. (2004). Cultural diversity in health and illness. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 15(3), 197-205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1043659604263460>
- ten Hoop-Bender, P., de Bernis, L., Campbell, J., Downe, S., Fauveau, V., Fogstad, H., ... & McFadden, A. (2014). Improvement of maternal and newborn health through midwifery. *The Lancet*, 384(9949), 1226-1235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60930-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60930-2)
- Van Ryn, M., & Burke, J. (2000). The effect of patient race and socio-economic status on physicians' perceptions of patients. *Social Science & Medicine*, 50(6), 813-828. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(99\)00338-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(99)00338-X)