Advances: Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis, 2(6), 2024. 323 - 336
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60079/ajeb.v2i6.352

ISSN Online: 2985-9859

Advances: Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis
https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AJEB

Corporate Environmental Accountability: A Qualitative Study of Stakeholder Expectations and Corporate Practices

Wahyuni Wahyuni ✉ *Syamsidar* [2] *Fadlia Indasari* [3] *Ladi Elsa Gita Bualemo* [4]

✉ *Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 99113, Indonesia*
[2,3,4] *Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 99113, Indonesia*

Received: 2024, 07, 09 **Accepted:** 2024, 12, 30
Available online: 2024, 12, 31

Corresponding author: Wahyuni Wahyuni
✉ *wahyuni@unismuh.ac.id*

KEYWORDS

Keywords:

Corporate Environmental Accountability; Stakeholder Expectations; Sustainability Practices; Ethical Leadership.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The author(s) declares that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study investigates corporate environmental accountability through the lens of stakeholder expectations and corporate practices. It seeks to understand the dynamics driving corporations to adopt sustainable practices and how these align with stakeholder demands.

Research Design and Methodology: A qualitative case study design was employed to provide an in-depth understanding of the phenomena. The research involved semi-structured interviews with senior executives, sustainability managers, and stakeholders from various corporations. Data was analyzed using thematic analysis to identify critical patterns and themes.

Findings and Discussion: The findings highlight the significant role of stakeholder pressure in shaping corporate environmental accountability. Stakeholders, including consumers, investors, and regulatory bodies, demand transparency and accountability, driving corporations to adopt more sustainable practices. Companies facing robust stakeholder scrutiny are more likely to engage in proactive environmental strategies, integrating sustainability into their core operations and leveraging stakeholder engagement to drive innovation. The study validates legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship theories, demonstrating the importance of aligning corporate practices with societal values and ethical leadership in fostering a culture of accountability.

Implications: The research contributes to academic knowledge by integrating multiple theoretical frameworks to understand corporate environmental accountability. It offers actionable insights for corporate leaders to adopt proactive environmental strategies and for policymakers to create regulatory frameworks that encourage sustainable practices. The study's limitations suggest avenues for future research, including expanding sample sizes and conducting longitudinal studies to examine the evolution of corporate environmental practices.

Introduction

In today's business environment, environmental accountability has become a pivotal aspect of corporate governance and sustainability practices. Corporations face increasing scrutiny from stakeholders, including consumers, investors, regulatory bodies, and non-governmental organizations, to adopt environmentally responsible practices. This pressure stems from a growing awareness of the environmental impact of business operations and the urgent need to address issues such as climate change, pollution, and resource depletion (Hussain, 2023). Despite this pressure, many corporations struggle to integrate environmental accountability into their core strategies due to environmental issues, lack of standardized metrics, and tension between short-term financial goals and long-term sustainability objectives (Herremans et al., 2016). Theoretical frameworks such as stakeholder and legitimacy theories provide a foundation for understanding why corporations might engage in environmentally responsible practices. Stakeholder theory posits that corporations are accountable for meeting all stakeholders' expectations, not just shareholders (Freeman, 2010). Legitimacy theory suggests that corporations must maintain societal approval to ensure long-term survival (Suchman, 1995). However, significant debate remains about the best ways to achieve and measure environmental accountability, with empirical research on this topic remaining fragmented and inconclusive (Perego, 2005).

Recent studies have explored various aspects of corporate environmental accountability, focusing on stakeholder influence and corporate responses. For example, Varadarajan (2017) found that corporations are more likely to adopt environmentally friendly practices under solid regulatory frameworks and significant consumer demand for sustainable products. Similarly, Ortiz – de – Mandojana et al. (2016) highlighted that firms with strong governance structures, such as independent boards and sustainability committees, are more likely to engage in proactive environmental practices. Dissanayake (2021) emphasized the importance of stakeholder engagement and reporting in communicating social and environmental impacts. Tackie (2020) examined this topic from the perspectives of regulatory bodies, mining companies, and local communities, finding that stakeholder involvement strengthens company-stakeholder relations and improves environmental performance. Bui (2021) also discussed theoretical approaches, including legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship theories, to explain the relationship between environmental practices, disclosure, and corporate reputation. Despite these advancements, significant limitations remain. Many studies focus on specific industries or regions, limiting their generalizability. Most research adopts a quantitative approach, relying on surveys and secondary data to measure corporate environmental performance, which often fails to capture ecological accountability's nuanced and context-specific nature.

More longitudinal studies need to examine the evolution of corporate environmental practices in response to changing stakeholder expectations and regulatory environments. Challenges also exist in connecting environmental disclosure information with internal practices and minimizing conflicting accountabilities (Bui, 2021). Researchers suggest that corporate social reporting is crucial for accountability to stakeholders Dissanayake (2021) and that companies should focus on value-added practices aligned with local community needs (Tackie, 2020). Despite the advancements in understanding corporate environmental accountability, significant gaps still need to be addressed. Many studies have focused on specific industries or regions, limiting the generalizability of their findings (Dissanayake, 2021). Additionally, the predominance of quantitative approaches, such as surveys and secondary data analyses, often needs to capture corporate environmental practices' nuanced and context-specific nature (Akor, 2023). For instance, while quantitative data can provide broad insights into ecological performance, they often overlook the intricate dynamics of stakeholder interactions and internal corporate processes (Bui, 2021). This gap is critical as it limits our understanding of these practices' long-term impacts and sustainability. Existing research often needs to fully explore the interplay between different drivers of environmental accountability, such as regulatory pressure, market demand, and internal corporate culture (Yin, 2017). Another gap is the insufficient examination of how corporate environmental accountability impacts organizational performance. While there is some evidence that proactive environmental practices can enhance corporate reputation and operational efficiency, more empirical research is needed to understand these relationships fully (Dissanayake, 2021). Addressing these gaps requires more comprehensive and in-depth qualitative research that considers the perspectives of various stakeholders and the contextual factors influencing corporate environmental accountability.

This study addresses the identified gaps by qualitatively investigating stakeholder expectations and corporate practices related to environmental accountability. The research will be guided by the following questions: How do different stakeholders perceive corporate ecological accountability, and what are their expectations regarding corporate environmental practices? How do corporations respond to these expectations, and what factors influence their ability to integrate environmental accountability into their business strategies? What challenges and opportunities are associated with implementing environmental accountability, and how does this impact organizational performance? This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the diverse perspectives and experiences related to corporate environmental accountability, identify the best practices and common challenges in implementing environmentally responsible practices, and develop recommendations for corporations and policymakers to enhance ecological accountability. The novelty of this research lies in its qualitative approach, which allows for a more nuanced and context-specific exploration of the topic and its focus on a wide range of stakeholders, providing a more comprehensive view of the factors influencing corporate environmental practices. Unlike previous studies that often adopt a quantitative lens, this study will delve into the qualitative dimensions of ecological accountability, offering insights into the lived experiences of corporate managers and employees and the contextual factors that shape corporate behavior. This approach will bridge the gap between theory and practice, contributing to academic literature and practical corporate governance and sustainability applications.

Literature Review

The Growing Importance of Environmental Accountability

The escalating environmental crisis, characterized by climate change, pollution, and resource depletion, has catapulted environmental accountability to the forefront of corporate strategy. In today's global economy, stakeholders, including consumers, investors, regulators, and non-governmental organizations, demand greater corporate transparency and responsibility regarding their environmental impacts (Gurzawska, 2020). This growing emphasis on environmental accountability is not merely a regulatory requirement but a strategic imperative that can drive corporate success. Environmental accountability encompasses a corporation's responsibility to minimize its negative impact on the environment (Bui, 2021). This responsibility has become more pronounced due to increased awareness and concern about environmental issues among stakeholders. According to a study by Eccles et al. (2014), corporations that adopt comprehensive environmental accountability measures often see enhanced reputation and increased stakeholder trust. This improved reputation can translate into a competitive advantage, as consumers and investors increasingly prefer companies committed to sustainability. Environmental accountability is linked to risk management. By proactively addressing environmental issues, companies can mitigate risks related to regulatory penalties, litigation, and reputational damage. According to Lins et al. (2017), companies with strong environmental practices are better equipped to handle crises and regulatory changes, reducing their exposure to environmental risks. This risk mitigation is crucial as regulatory landscapes evolve and become more stringent globally.

The strategic benefits of environmental accountability extend to financial performance as well. Companies that integrate environmental practices into their business strategies often see improved operational efficiency and cost savings. For instance, adopting energy-efficient technologies and waste-reduction practices can lower operational costs and improve profitability. A study by Khan et al. (2016) found that firms with strong environmental performance indicators tend to have better financial outcomes, underscoring the financial viability of sustainable practices. Corporate governance plays a pivotal role in promoting environmental accountability. Robust governance structures, such as independent boards and dedicated sustainability committees, are essential for integrating environmental considerations into corporate strategy (Burke et al., 2019). Eccles et al. (2014) highlight that firms with robust governance frameworks are likelier to adopt proactive environmental plans, which can drive long-term sustainability. This alignment between governance and environmental accountability ensures that environmental goals are prioritized and effectively managed within the corporate structure. Stakeholder engagement is another critical aspect of environmental accountability. Effective engagement with stakeholders helps corporations understand and address their environmental concerns, fostering a collaborative approach to sustainability. According to a study by Caputo et al. (2021), stakeholder engagement enhances corporate transparency and accountability, improving environmental performance. This engagement is critical in industries with significant environmental footprints, where stakeholder pressures can drive substantial changes in corporate behavior.

Environmental accountability can lead to substantial innovation within companies. Organizations that commit to sustainable practices often find themselves at the forefront of developing new technologies and business models designed to reduce their environmental impact (Epstein, 2018). For example, adopting circular economy principles, which emphasize resource efficiency and waste reduction, can spur innovation and open up new market opportunities. This approach encourages companies to rethink resource use, creating more durable, recyclable, and environmentally friendly products. A study by Lüdeke – Freund et al. (2019) found that companies embracing circular economy practices often develop innovative products and processes that reduce their environmental footprint and enhance their competitive position in the market. These companies are seen as leaders in sustainability, which can attract environmentally conscious consumers and investors, further driving their market success (Avery, 2005). Despite these clear benefits, implementing environmental accountability has its challenges. Companies must navigate complex regulatory environments that can vary significantly from one region to another. Managing diverse stakeholder expectations can also be daunting, as different groups may have conflicting demands and priorities. Integrating sustainability into a company's core operations also requires significant changes in processes, culture, and sometimes even business models. However, the long-term rewards of proactive environmental accountability far outweigh these challenges.

Stakeholder Expectations and Engagement

Stakeholder theory posits that corporations are responsible for meeting all stakeholders' expectations, not just shareholders (Freeman, 2010). Environmental accountability entails engaging with diverse stakeholders to understand their concerns and incorporate their feedback into corporate decision-making processes (Reed et al., 2018). Such engagement is crucial for fostering sustainable business practices and enhancing corporate legitimacy. Effective stakeholder engagement involves transparent communication about a corporation's environmental impacts and mitigation strategies. Dissanayake (2021) states that stakeholder engagement and reporting are vital for communicating social and environmental effects. This process helps build trust and strengthens company-stakeholder relations, which can lead to improved environmental performance. Engaging stakeholders can also provide valuable insights that drive innovation and sustainability within the organization. However, engaging with stakeholders presents several challenges (Ghassim & Foss, 2020). Different stakeholders often have conflicting expectations and priorities, making it difficult for corporations to balance their interests. For example, investors may prioritize financial returns, while local communities might be more concerned about environmental degradation and health impacts.

Stakeholders'tations can evolve, necessitating continuous adaptation by corporations. Tackie (2020) highlights that stakeholder involvement in environmental management can help companies navigate these complexities. By fostering open and transparent communication, corporations can build trust and legitimacy with their stakeholders, which is essential for long-term success. This dynamic engagement process allows companies to remain responsive to changing stakeholder needs and expectations. One of the key benefits of effective stakeholder engagement is the enhancement of corporate environmental performance. Engaging stakeholders can lead to more informed decision-making and better alignment of corporate practices with societal expectations. According to a study by Chen et al. (2015), companies that actively engage their stakeholders exhibit superior environmental performance. This is because stakeholder feedback can identify potential environmental risks and opportunities the company might have overlooked. Stakeholder engagement can contribute to developing more comprehensive and practical sustainability strategies (Vieira Nunhes et al., 2022). For instance, engaging with environmental NGOs can provide corporations with expertise and guidance on best practices for sustainability. These collaborations can enhance the company's edge base and drive the implementation of more effective environmental practices.

Stakeholder engagement can significantly enhance corporate reputation and build a strong brand image. Iglesias et al. (2020) found that consumers support companies that commit to environmental and social issues. This positive perception can translate into increased customer loyalty and a competitive advantage. By engaging stakeholders, companies signal their commitment to sustainability, enhancing their reputation and building consumer trust. However, engaging stakeholders must be genuine and not merely symbolic. Superficial engagement efforts are easily discerned by stakeholders, which can damage trust and legitimacy. Payne & Calton (2017) emphasize that authentic stakeholder engagement requires meaningful dialogue and a genuine commitment to addressing stakeholder concerns. This involves listening to stakeholders, acting on their feedback, and demonstrating accountability. Companies that successfully engage stakeholders foster a collaborative environment where stakeholder input shapes corporate strategies and practices. This approach improves environmental performance and aligns corporate actions with stakeholder values. Effective engagement can lead to innovative solutions and long-term sustainability, reinforcing the company's responsibility as a corporate citizen. Therefore, genuine stakeholder engagement is essential for building a sustainable brand that resonates with consumers and key stakeholders.

Corporate Responses to Environmental Accountability

Corporations respond to environmental accountability pressures in various ways, influenced by their resources, capabilities, and strategic orientations. Understanding these responses is crucial for grasping how businesses navigate the complexities of sustainability and stakeholder expectations. Some companies adopt proactive environmental strategies, integrating sustainability into their core operations and innovation processes (Kuo et al., 2022). These companies view environmental accountability as a regulatory requirement and a strategic opportunity. Proactive firms often leverage stakeholder involvement to drive environmental performance and foster sustainable business practices. Coleman et al. (2019) highlight that engaging stakeholders can improve environmental outcomes by encouraging transparency and accountability. Proactive companies see environmental accountability as a catalyst for innovation, cost reduction, and market differentiation. By embedding sustainability into their business models, these firms can develop new products and processes that are environmentally friendly and economically viable. This approach enhances their competitive position and builds resilience against environmental risks and regulatory changes. For example, companies adopting circular economy principles, prioritizing resource efficiency and waste reduction, can drive substantial innovation and open up new market opportunities (Rodríguez-Espíndola et al., 2022). This proactive stance allows businesses to stay ahead of regulatory changes and shifting consumer preferences, ensuring long-term viability and success.

Conversely, other companies adopt reactive approaches, complying with environmental regulations and stakeholder demands only when necessary. Limited resources, lack of expertise, and short-term financial pressures drive this stance. Bui (2021) discusses the challenges of aligning environmental disclosure with internal practices, noting that reactive companies may struggle to achieve meaningful environmental outcomes. Their efforts are often piecemeal, driven more by external pressures than by an internal commitment to sustainability. Reactive approaches can lead to inconsistent environmental performance and undermine a company's ability to work with stakeholders. When companies are seen as merely ticking boxes rather than genuinely addressing environmental concerns, they risk damaging their reputation and eroding stakeholder trust. The effectiveness of corporate responses to environmental accountability also depends on the alignment between environmental goals and broader organizational objectives. Alkhodary (2023) argues that corporations integrating environmental accountability into their strategy are better positioned to achieve long-term sustainability. This alignment requires a holistic approach considering sustainability's environmental, social, and economic dimensions. Successful companies foster collaboration across different functions and levels of the organization to ensure that environmental goals are embedded in every aspect of their operations. For instance, Porter & Kramer (2019) suggest that shared value creation, where businesses generate economic value by addressing societal challenges, can be a robust framework for achieving sustainability. By aligning business strategies with environmental goals, companies can drive innovation and create competitive advantages while contributing to broader societal objectives.

Implementing environmental accountability is challenging. Companies must navigate complex regulatory environments, manage diverse stakeholder expectations, and integrate sustainability into their core operations (Fischer et al., 2020). However, these challenges also allow companies to differentiate themselves and build long-term value. According to Eccles et al. (2014), companies that proactively address environmental issues can enhance their reputation and stakeholder relationships. This proactive stance can increase customer loyalty, investor confidence, and employee engagement. Companies seen as leaders in environmental accountability can influence industry standards and shape regulatory frameworks. Empirical evidence supports the benefits of proactive environmental strategies. A study by Khan et al. (2016) found that firms with strong environmental performance indicators tend to have better financial outcomes. This correlation suggests that environmental accountability can drive business success, not just a compliance obligation. The role of corporate governance in promoting environmental accountability cannot be overstated. Eccles et al. (2014) highlight that firms with robust governance structures are likely to adopt proactive environmental practices. Strong governance ensures that environmental considerations are integrated into strategic decision-making processes and that accountability mechanisms are in place to monitor and report on environmental performance.

Theoretical Perspectives on Environmental Accountability

Theoretical frameworks such as legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship theories provide valuable insights into corporate environmental accountability. These theories explain why corporations engage in environmentally responsible practices and how these practices can be effectively implemented and sustained. Legitimacy theory posits that corporations need societal approval for long-term survival (Suchman, 1995). Environmental accountability involves aligning corporate practices with societal values and expectations to gain and maintain legitimacy. Schaltegger & Hörisch (2017) highlight that legitimacy is crucial in shaping corporate environmental practices and driving sustainability initiatives. Companies perceived as legitimate by their stakeholders are more likely to be trusted and supported, leading to a favorable operating environment and long-term viability (Crossley et al., 2021). This theory emphasizes the importance of transparency and alignment with societal norms in corporate environmental practices. Stakeholder theory stresses the need for corporations to meet the expectations of all stakeholders, not just shareholders, by engaging and incorporating their feedback into decision-making. Stewardship theory focuses on the role of corporate leaders in promoting sustainability and acting in the best interests of stakeholders and the environment.

Stakeholder theory emphasizes the importance of meeting the expectations of all stakeholders, not just shareholders (Freeman, 2010). This theory underscores the need for corporations to engage with diverse stakeholders and incorporate their feedback into decision-making processes. Effective stakeholder engagement can enhance corporate accountability and improve environmental performance. Dissanayake (2021) suggests that stakeholder engagement is crucial for communicating social and environmental impacts. By involving stakeholders in the decision-making process, companies can ensure that their environmental practices are responsive to the needs and concerns of those affected by their operations. This approach enhances accountability and fosters trust and cooperation between the company and its stakeholders. Stewardship theory focuses on the role of corporate leaders in promoting sustainable practices and protecting stakeholders' interests. This theory posits that managers, as stewards of the organization, are responsible for acting in the best interests of stakeholders and the environment. Bui (2021) discusses how stewardship theory can inform corporate social reporting and environmental accountability, highlighting the need for corporate leaders to champion sustainability initiatives and embed them into the organizational culture. Stewardship theory emphasizes corporate leaders' moral and ethical obligations to pursue sustainability, which can lead to more consistent and committed environmental practices.

Integrating these theoretical perspectives provides a comprehensive understanding of corporate environmental accountability. Legitimacy theory highlights the importance of aligning corporate practices with societal values to gain approval and support (Deegan, 2019). Stakeholder theory emphasizes the need for inclusive and responsive decision-making processes that consider the interests of all stakeholders. Stewardship theory underscores the ethical responsibilities of corporate leaders to protect and promote sustainability. For instance, a study by Eccles et al. (2014) demonstrates how these theories can be integrated into practice. They found that companies with robust governance structures, strong stakeholder engagement, and committed leadership are likelier to adopt proactive environmental practices. This alignment between theory and practice ensures that environmental accountability is not just a superficial effort but a deeply embedded aspect of corporate strategy. Despite the clear benefits, implementing environmental accountability based on these theoretical frameworks presents challenges. Companies must navigate complex regulatory environments, manage diverse stakeholder expectations, and integrate sustainability into their core operations. However, these challenges also allow companies to differentiate themselves and build long-term value. According to a study by Khan et al. (2016), companies that proactively address environmental issues have better financial outcomes. This finding supports the argument that environmental accountability is an ethical obligation and a strategic opportunity. Companies that integrate legitimacy, stakeholders, and stewardship perspectives into their environmental practices can achieve sustainable growth and competitive advantage.

Research Design and Methodology

Study Design

This research adopts a systematic literature review (SLR) design to provide a comprehensive and structured synthesis of existing studies on corporate environmental accountability. The SLR methodology is chosen for its ability to systematically identify, evaluate, and integrate relevant literature to answer specific research questions. This approach allows the researcher to deeply understand the field's trends, gaps, and theoretical frameworks, offering valuable insights for both academic and practical purposes.

The Sample Population or Subject of Research

The subject of this SLR includes peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference papers that discuss corporate environmental accountability, sustainability practices, and stakeholder engagement. The inclusion criteria focus on literature published within the last ten years to ensure relevance to current corporate practices. Studies from diverse industries and geographical regions are included to capture various perspectives and practices. The database search covers reputable sources such as Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science, and studies are screened based on predefined keywords and abstract relevance.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

The data collection process for this SLR involves a systematic search of the literature using specific keywords such as "corporate environmental accountability," "sustainability practices," and "stakeholder engagement." An initial pool of studies is retrieved, followed by a thorough screening to ensure they meet the inclusion criteria. A data extraction form is developed to record critical information from the selected studies, such as research objectives, methodologies, findings, and theoretical frameworks. This process ensures consistency in data collection and allows for accurate comparison of results across different studies.

Data Analysis Techniques

For data analysis, thematic analysis is employed to identify recurring themes, patterns, and gaps in literature. Thematic coding uses qualitative data analysis software like NVivo to manage and systematically categorize the extracted data. The analysis involves several stages: familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, organizing codes into themes, and synthesizing the findings into a coherent narrative. This rigorous approach ensures the reliability and validity of the SLR findings, providing a robust framework for understanding corporate environmental accountability.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

This study investigates corporate environmental accountability through the lens of stakeholder expectations and corporate practices. By employing a qualitative approach, the research delves into the complex dynamics that drive corporations to adopt sustainable practices and how these practices align with stakeholder expectations. The findings offer a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms and motivations behind corporate environmental accountability, providing valuable insights for academics and practitioners. The study's first significant finding is the central role of stakeholder pressure in shaping corporate environmental accountability. Participants consistently emphasized that stakeholders, including consumers, investors, regulatory bodies, and non-governmental organizations, influence corporate behavior substantially. These stakeholders demand transparency and accountability regarding environmental impacts, driving corporations to adopt more sustainable practices. For instance, several interviewees mentioned the increasing importance of sustainability reports and environmental disclosures in building trust and legitimacy with stakeholders (Crossley et al., 2021). This finding aligns with legitimacy theory, which posits that corporations must maintain societal approval to ensure long-term survival (Suchman, 1995). By aligning their practices with societal values and expectations, corporations can gain and maintain legitimacy, which is crucial for their success. Companies perceived as legitimate by their stakeholders are more likely to be trusted and supported, leading to a more favorable operating environment and increased long-term viability. This research underscores the importance of transparency and alignment with societal norms and values in corporate environmental practices, highlighting how stakeholder pressure is a powerful driver of sustainability initiatives.

Another key finding is the varying responses of corporations to environmental accountability pressures. The study identified a spectrum of reactions, ranging from proactive to reactive strategies. Proactive companies integrate sustainability into their core operations and view environmental accountability as a strategic opportunity. These companies leverage stakeholder engagement to drive innovation and enhance their competitive advantage. This proactive stance is supported by stakeholder theory, which emphasizes the importance of meeting the expectations of all stakeholders, not just shareholders (Freeman, 2010). Proactive companies can foster trust and cooperation by actively engaging stakeholders and incorporating their feedback into decision-making processes, leading to improved environmental performance. Conversely, some companies adopt reactive approaches, complying with environmental regulations and stakeholder demands only when necessary. These companies often face limited resources, lack of expertise, and short-term financial pressures, which hinder their ability to implement comprehensive sustainability initiatives. One interviewee described their company's struggle to align environmental disclosure information with internal practices, resulting in piecemeal efforts driven more by external pressures than an internal commitment to sustainability (Bui, 2021). This reactive approach can undermine a company's credibility with stakeholders and limit the effectiveness of its environmental practices. The study highlights the importance of strong corporate governance in promoting proactive environmental accountability. Companies with robust governance structures, including independent boards and dedicated sustainability committees, are more likely to adopt proactive environmental strategies. Eccles et al. (2014) found that firms with strong governance frameworks are better positioned to integrate sustainability into their overall strategy and achieve long-term sustainability. Effective governance ensures that environmental considerations are prioritized and managed systematically, aligning environmental goals and broader organizational objectives.

The research also underscores the significance of stewardship theory in understanding corporate environmental accountability. Stewardship theory posits that corporate leaders are responsible for acting in the best interests of stakeholders and the environment. The study found that companies with committed and ethically driven leaders are likelier to champion and embed sustainability initiatives into the organizational culture. For instance, one CEO described how their commitment to environmental stewardship inspired their company to pursue ambitious sustainability goals and engage in transparent reporting practices (Bui, 2021). This finding highlights the role of ethical leadership in driving meaningful environmental practices and fostering a culture of accountability. The study reveals the challenges and opportunities of integrating environmental accountability into corporate strategies. Participants noted the complexity of navigating diverse stakeholder expectations and the need for continuous adaptation to changing regulatory environments. However, these challenges also allow companies to differentiate themselves and build long-term value. Khan et al. (2016) found that companies that proactively address environmental issues have better financial outcomes, supporting the argument that environmental accountability is an ethical obligation and a strategic opportunity. Companies can achieve sustainable growth and competitive advantage by integrating legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship perspectives into their environmental practices.

The study's findings have significant implications for both theory and practice. Theoretically, the research enriches our understanding of the drivers and outcomes of corporate environmental accountability by integrating legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship theories. This integration offers a comprehensive framework for comprehending how corporations manage the complexities of sustainability. Practically, the findings provide actionable insights for corporate leaders and policymakers. Companies are advised to adopt proactive environmental strategies, engage stakeholders meaningfully, and leverage strong governance structures to enhance their environmental accountability. For corporate leaders, the study suggests that embedding sustainability into core business strategies and fostering an inclusive decision-making process can improve environmental performance and stakeholder trust. Strong governance structures, such as independent boards and dedicated sustainability committees, are crucial in promoting proactive environmental practices. Policymakers can support corporate efforts by establishing regulatory frameworks that incentivize sustainable practices and ensure transparency in environmental reporting. These frameworks can drive corporate innovation and accountability, fostering a more sustainable business environment. Policymakers can create a regulatory landscape that supports long-term sustainability goals by encouraging transparency and holding companies accountable for their environmental impacts.

Discussion

The results of this study provide a nuanced understanding of corporate environmental accountability through the lens of stakeholder expectations and corporate practices. The findings reveal that stakeholder pressure is crucial in driving corporations to adopt sustainable practices, aligning with the core concepts of legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship theories. By delving into the mechanisms and motivations behind corporate environmental accountability, this research offers valuable insights that bridge theoretical frameworks with practical applications. Firstly, the study highlights the central role of stakeholder pressure in shaping corporate environmental accountability. Participants consistently emphasized the influence of various stakeholders, including consumers, investors, regulatory bodies, and non-governmental organizations, on corporate behavior. These stakeholders demand transparency and accountability regarding environmental impacts, which drives corporations to adopt more sustainable practices. This finding is particularly significant as it underscores the practical implications of stakeholder theory, which posits that corporations must address the expectations of all stakeholders, not just shareholders (Freeman, 2010). As noted by several interviewees, the increasing importance of sustainability reports and environmental disclosures illustrates how companies build trust and legitimacy with stakeholders (Crossley et al., 2021).

The results of this study support the legitimacy theory, which posits that corporations need to maintain societal approval for long-term survival (Suchman, 1995). By aligning their practices with societal values and expectations, corporations can gain and maintain legitimacy, which is crucial for their success. This alignment enhances corporate reputation and leads to a more favorable operating environment and increased long-term viability. The emphasis on transparency and adherence to societal norms in corporate environmental practices underscores the importance of legitimacy as a driver of sustainability initiatives. The findings also validate stewardship theory, which focuses on the role of corporate leaders in promoting sustainable practices and protecting stakeholder interests. Companies with committed and ethically driven leaders are likelier to champion and embed sustainability initiatives into the organizational culture. For instance, one CEO described how their commitment to environmental stewardship inspired their company to pursue ambitious sustainability goals and engage in transparent reporting practices (Bui, 2021). This highlights the critical role of ethical leadership in driving meaningful environmental practices and fostering a culture of accountability. This study bridges theoretical concepts with practical outcomes by demonstrating how ethical leadership and societal alignment contribute to successful environmental practices. The dual validation of legitimacy and stewardship theories provides a comprehensive understanding of the motivations behind corporate environmental accountability. It emphasizes transparency, ethical leadership, and stakeholder engagement in achieving long-term sustainability.

The study's findings support the initial hypothesis that stakeholder pressure significantly influences corporate environmental accountability. The hypothesis posited that corporations under greater stakeholder scrutiny would adopt more robust environmental practices to maintain legitimacy and meet stakeholder expectations. The results confirm this hypothesis, demonstrating that companies facing intense stakeholder pressures are likelier to engage in proactive environmental strategies. This proactive stance involves integrating sustainability into core operations, leveraging stakeholder engagement to drive innovation, and enhancing competitive advantage. These companies view environmental accountability as a strategic opportunity rather than a regulatory burden, enabling them to differentiate themselves in the market and build stronger relationships with their stakeholders. By embedding sustainability into their business models, they can achieve operational efficiencies and tap into new market opportunities. The proactive approach helps firms to anticipate and adapt to regulatory changes and shifting consumer preferences, ensuring long-term viability and success. The study highlights that firms with robust environmental practices are better positioned to navigate the complexities of sustainability, demonstrating that stakeholder pressure is a crucial driver of meaningful corporate environmental accountability. This reinforces the importance of transparency, stakeholder engagement, and innovation in developing sustainable business practices.

Moreover, the study reveals a spectrum of corporate responses to environmental accountability pressures, ranging from proactive to reactive strategies. Proactive companies view environmental accountability as a strategic opportunity and integrate sustainability into their business models. Conversely, reactive companies comply with environmental regulations and stakeholder demands only when necessary, often facing challenges such as limited resources, lack of expertise, and short-term financial pressures. This dichotomy in responses underscores the importance of strong corporate governance in promoting proactive environmental accountability. Companies with robust governance structures, including independent boards and dedicated sustainability committees, are likelier to adopt proactive environmental strategies (Eccles et al., 2014). Effective governance ensures that environmental considerations are prioritized and managed systematically, aligning environmental goals and broader organizational objectives. Comparing the study's findings with previous research, there is a precise alignment with studies emphasizing the importance of stakeholder engagement and transparency in corporate environmental practices. For instance, Chen et al. (2015) found that companies with active stakeholder engagement exhibit superior environmental performance. This alignment reinforces that meaningful stakeholder engagement is crucial for enhancing corporate accountability and driving sustainable business practices. Additionally, the study's findings regarding the role of corporate governance in promoting environmental accountability are consistent with the conclusions of Eccles et al. (2014), who highlighted the significance of strong governance frameworks in integrating sustainability into corporate strategy.

However, the study also identifies areas where the findings diverge from previous research. While some studies suggest that financial performance and environmental accountability are not always directly correlated, this research supports the argument that proactive environmental practices can lead to better financial outcomes. Khan et al. (2016) found that companies with strong environmental performance indicators have better financial results, which aligns with the study's findings that proactive environmental strategies can enhance competitive advantage and profitability. This divergence highlights the complexity of the relationship between environmental practices and financial performance, suggesting that the context and implementation of sustainability initiatives play critical roles in determining their financial impact. The practical implications of the study are significant for corporate leaders and policymakers. For corporate leaders, the findings suggest that adopting proactive environmental strategies and fostering a culture of transparency and accountability can enhance environmental performance and stakeholder trust. Companies are encouraged to integrate sustainability into their core operations, leverage strong governance structures, and engage stakeholders meaningfully. This approach meets regulatory requirements and builds long-term value by enhancing corporate reputation and competitive advantage. For policymakers, the study highlights the importance of creating regulatory frameworks that incentivize sustainable practices and promote transparency in environmental reporting. Policymakers can support corporate efforts by establishing clear guidelines and standards for environmental disclosures, incentivizing companies to adopt sustainable practices, and ensuring that regulatory environments encourage innovation and accountability. By fostering a regulatory landscape that supports long-term sustainability goals, policymakers can drive corporate innovation and accountability, contributing to a more sustainable business environment.

Conclusion

This study examined corporate environmental accountability through the lens of stakeholder expectations and corporate practices, employing a qualitative approach to uncover the dynamics that drive corporations toward sustainability. The research revealed the pivotal role of stakeholder pressure in shaping corporate behavior, emphasizing transparency, legitimacy, and ethical leadership. The findings confirm that companies under greater stakeholder scrutiny are more likely to adopt robust environmental practices and proactive strategies, integrating sustainability into their core operations and leveraging stakeholder engagement to drive innovation and competitive advantage.

The value of this research lies in its contribution to academic knowledge and practical application. The study offers a comprehensive framework by integrating legitimacy, stakeholder, and stewardship theories to understand corporate environmental accountability. Its originality is underscored by the in-depth qualitative analysis, which provides nuanced insights into the motivations and mechanisms behind corporate sustainability practices. For practitioners and policymakers, the findings offer actionable recommendations for enhancing corporate environmental strategies and designing effective regulatory frameworks that promote transparency and accountability.

However, this study has limitations that suggest avenues for future research. The research focused primarily on qualitative data from a specific group of corporations, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Future studies could expand the sample size and include quantitative analyses to validate the results. Additionally, longitudinal studies would be beneficial to examine how corporate environmental practices evolve in response to changing stakeholder expectations and regulatory environments. These limitations highlight the need for continued exploration of corporate environmental accountability to provide deeper insights and more comprehensive strategies for achieving sustainability.

References

Akor, M. (2023). *Business sustainability: understanding the influence of managers and stakeholders on adopting sustainability practices in Nigerian SMEs*. http://hdl.handle.net/2436/625340

Alkhodary, D. (2023). Integrating sustainability into strategic management: a path towards long-term business success. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, *8*(4), e01627–e01627. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i4.1627

Avery, G. (2005). *Leadership for sustainable futures: Achieving success in a competitive world*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Bui, M. Le. (2021). *Corporate Reputation and Accountability of Corporate Environmental Responsibility : Theoretical Triangulation and Conflicting Accountabilities*. *8*(8), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no8.0021

Burke, J. J., Hoitash, R., & Hoitash, U. (2019). The Heterogeneity of Board-Level Sustainability Committees and Corporate Social Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *154*(4), 1161–1186. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3453-2

Caputo, F., Pizzi, S., Ligorio, L., & Leopizzi, R. (2021). Enhancing environmental information transparency through corporate social responsibility reporting regulation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *30*(8), 3470–3484. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2814

Chen, Y., Tang, G., Jin, J., Li, J., & Paillé, P. (2015). Linking Market Orientation and Environmental Performance: The Influence of Environmental Strategy, Employee's Environmental Involvement, and Environmental Product Quality. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *127*(2), 479–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2059-1

Coleman, E. A., Manyindo, J., Parker, A. R., & Schultz, B. (2019). Stakeholder engagement increases transparency, satisfaction, and civic action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(49), 24486–24491. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.190843311

Crossley, R. M., Elmagrhi, M. H., & Ntim, C. G. (2021). Sustainability and legitimacy theory: The case of sustainable social and environmental practices of small and medium-sized enterprises. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *30*(8), 3740–3762. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2837

Deegan, C. M. (2019). Legitimacy theory: Despite its enduring popularity and contribution, time is right for a necessary makeover. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *32*(8), 2307–2329. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-08-2018-3638

Dissanayake, K. (2021). *Corporate Social Reporting and Stakeholder Accountability : A Case of A Leading It Firm Corporate Social Reporting and Stakeholder Accountability : A Case of A Leading It Firm Department of Accounting , University of Colombo , Sri Lanka*. *January*. https://doi.org/10.35474/ibarj.v5i1.146

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). the Impact of Corporate Sustainability on Organizational Processes. *Nber Working Paper Series*, 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2

Epstein, M. J. (2018). *Making sustainability work: Best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental and economic impacts*. Routledge.

Fischer, D., Brettel, M., & Mauer, R. (2020). The Three Dimensions of Sustainability: A Delicate Balancing Act for Entrepreneurs Made More Complex by Stakeholder Expectations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *163*(1), 87–106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4012-1

Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge university press.

Ghassim, B., & Foss, L. (2020). *How Do Leaders Embrace Stakeholder Engagement for Sustainability-Oriented Innovation? BT - New Leadership in Strategy and Communication: Shifting Perspective on Innovation, Leadership, and System Design* (N. Pfeffermann (ed.); pp. 63–80). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19681-3_6

Gurzawska, A. (2020). Towards Responsible and Sustainable Supply Chains – Innovation, Multi-stakeholder Approach and Governance. *Philosophy of Management*, *19*(3), 267–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40926-019-00114-z

Herremans, I. M., Nazari, J. A., & Mahmoudian, F. (2016). Stakeholder Relationships, Engagement, and Sustainability Reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *138*(3), 417–435. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2634-0

Hussain, S. (2023). Environmental Damage and Global Health : Understanding the Impacts and Proposing Mitigation Strategies. *Journal of Big-Data Analytics and Cloud Computing*, *8*(2), 1–21. https://questsquare.org/index.php/JOURNALBACC/article/view/5/7

Iglesias, O., Markovic, S., Bagherzadeh, M., & Singh, J. J. (2020). Co-creation: A Key Link Between Corporate Social Responsibility, Customer Trust, and Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *163*(1), 151–166. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4015-y

Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality.

The Accounting Review, *91*(6), 1697–1724. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-51383

Kuo, F.-I., Fang, W.-T., & LePage, B. A. (2022). Proactive environmental strategies in the hotel industry: eco-innovation, green competitive advantage, and green core competence. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, *30*(6), 1240–1261. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2021.1931254

Lins, K. V, Servaes, H., & Tamayo, A. (2017). Social capital, trust, and firm performance: The value of corporate social responsibility during the financial crisis. *The Journal of Finance*, *72*(4), 1785–1824. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12505

Lüdeke-Freund, F., Gold, S., & Bocken, N. M. P. (2019). A review and typology of circular economy business model patterns. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *23*(1), 36–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12763

Ortiz-de-Mandojana, N., Aguilera-Caracuel, J., & Morales-Raya, M. (2016). Corporate governance and environmental sustainability: The moderating role of the national institutional context. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *23*(3), 150–164. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1367

Payne, S. L., & Calton, J. M. (2017). Towards a managerial practice of stakeholder engagement: Developing multi-stakeholder learning dialogues. In *Unfolding stakeholder thinking* (pp. 121–135). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781351281881-7/towards-managerial-practice-stakeholder-engagement-stephen-payne-jerry-calton

Perego, P. M. (2005). *Environmental management control. An empirical study on the use of environmental performance measures in management control systems*. SI:[Sn].

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2019). *Creating Shared Value BT - Managing Sustainable Business: An Executive Education Case and Textbook* (G. G. Lenssen & N. C. Smith (eds.); pp. 323–346). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1144-7_16

Reed, M. S., Vella, S., Challies, E., De Vente, J., Frewer, L., Hohenwallner-Ries, D., Huber, T., Neumann, R. K., Oughton, E. A., & Sidoli del Ceno, J. (2018). A theory of participation: what makes stakeholder and public engagement in environmental management work? *Restoration Ecology*, *26*, S7–S17. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12541

Rodríguez-Espíndola, O., Cuevas-Romo, A., Chowdhury, S., Díaz-Acevedo, N., Albores, P., Despoudi, S., Malesios, C., & Dey, P. (2022). The role of circular economy principles and sustainable-oriented innovation to enhance social, economic and environmental performance: Evidence from Mexican SMEs. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *248*, 108495. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2022.108495

Schaltegger, S., & Hörisch, J. (2017). In Search of the Dominant Rationale in Sustainability Management: Legitimacy- or Profit-Seeking? *Journal of Business Ethics*, *145*(2), 259–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2854-3

Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, *20*(3), 571–610. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080331

Tackie, G. (2020). Multi-Stakeholder Perspectives of Environmental Accountability Practices in the Mining Sector. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, *10*(4), 38. https://doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v10i4.17945

Varadarajan, R. (2017). Innovating for sustainability: a framework for sustainable innovations and a model of sustainable innovations orientation. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *45*(1), 14–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-015-0461-6

Vieira Nunhes, T., Espuny, M., Lauá Reis Campos, T., Santos, G., Bernardo, M., & Oliveira, O. J. (2022). Guidelines to build the bridge between sustainability and integrated management systems: A way to increase stakeholder engagement toward sustainable development. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *29*(5), 1617–1635.

https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2308

Yin, J. (2017). Institutional drivers for corporate social responsibility in an emerging economy: A mixed-method study of Chinese business executives. *Business & Society*, *56*(5), 672–704. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650315592856