Advances in Management & Financial Reporting
OPEN ACCESS
Volume 3, Issue 3 (2025)
e-ISSN: 2985-7538
Website: https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AMFR

Evaluation of Liquidity, Capital Structure, and Risk Management Challenges in Maintaining Company Financial Stability

Feronica Fungky Muchsidin [1*] Ramlah [2] Abdul Gafar Samalam [3] Abdul Wahab [4] Izaac L D Lawalata [5]

[1] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: feronicapungky@gmail.com
[2] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: lalarubyanto30@gmail.com
[3] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: gaforsamalam@gmail.com
[4] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: wahabpolinas@gmail.com
[5] Institut Bisnis dan Keuangan Nitro, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: lawalata.nitro@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: July 19, 2025
Revised: July 31, 2025
Accepted: August 03, 2025

DOI :
https://doi.org/10.60079/amfr.v3i3.585

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This research aims to evaluate the challenges companies face in maintaining financial stability through the integrated management of liquidity, capital structure, and risk management. The study examines how these elements interact and impact corporate financial resilience, particularly during periods of global economic uncertainty.

Research Method: The study adopts a qualitative systematic literature review approach, analyzing recent research and theoretical frameworks related to liquidity management, capital structure, and risk management. This method enables a comprehensive examination of how these financial elements contribute to overall corporate stability across various sectors, with a primary focus on the e-commerce industry.

Results and Discussion: The research reveals that effective liquidity management enables companies to meet their short-term obligations while maintaining operational flexibility. A balanced capital structure reduces financial risks, while proactive risk management strategies, such as hedging and diversification, mitigate exposure to market volatility. The integration of these elements allows firms to navigate economic disruptions more effectively. Additionally, the role of technology in enhancing real-time financial decision-making is emphasized as a critical factor in maintaining long-term financial stability.

Implications: The study highlights the practical and managerial importance of adopting an integrated approach to liquidity, capital structure, and risk management. Business leaders are encouraged to leverage digital technologies to enhance financial management practices, ensuring corporate resilience in a rapidly changing global market. Policymakers can also use these insights to inform regulatory frameworks that support the financial stability of various industries.

Keywords: liquidity management; capital structure; risk management; financial stability; digital finance.

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Introduction

Financial stability is crucial for a company's long-term survival and growth, as it significantly influences its ability to meet financial obligations and adapt to market fluctuations and economic challenges. In recent years, events such as the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing economic volatility have underscored the complexities of maintaining financial stability across various sectors (D'Orazio, 2023). Many companies struggle to stay financially stable during unexpected crises, especially those with debt-heavy capital structures or inadequate liquidity. Such vulnerabilities can disrupt business continuity and erode stakeholder confidence, as an unbalanced capital structure increases the risk of default. At the same time, excess liquidity may lead to inefficient asset use, reducing profitability (Ismail, 2016). Liquidity is critical for meeting short-term obligations, such as payroll and debt repayments. However, excessive liquidity can lead to inefficiencies, while insufficient liquidity increases the risk of default and financial distress. Poor capital structure management can also escalate bankruptcy risks, particularly in sectors with unstable revenues, such as manufacturing (Panchal & Chand, 2023). Therefore, balancing liquidity and capital structure management is vital for maintaining financial stability, especially in today's volatile global market.

This research is grounded in Trade-off Theory and Financial Risk Management Theory, which are closely related to the variables in this study: liquidity, capital structure, and risk management. Trade-off Theory explains how companies seek to balance the tax benefits of debt with the potential costs of bankruptcy that can arise from excessive debt usage (Frank & Goyal, 2008). In this context, the theory links the capital structure variable to financial risk, as decisions to use more debt or equity will impact a firm's financial risk level (Wu et al., 2023). On the other hand, Financial Risk Management Theory emphasizes the importance of managing liquidity and risk to maintain a company's financial stability, particularly during periods of economic uncertainty. This theory suggests that companies should proactively manage risks by maintaining optimal liquidity levels and making prudent capital structure decisions to reduce default risk and enhance long-term financial resilience (Belás et al., 2017). Integrating these two theories provides a solid framework for evaluating the interaction between liquidity, capital structure, and risk management in ensuring a company's financial stability.

Recent studies have examined various aspects of financial stability and company performance, with a primary focus on capital structure, liquidity, and risk management. Research has demonstrated that capital structure significantly impacts financial performance, with equity having a weak positive effect on profitability and liquidity, while loans influence profitability but not liquidity in banks (Abdelraheem, 2024). In manufacturing firms, debt ratios negatively affect the Return on Assets but positively correlate with the Return on Equity (Ahmed et al., 2024). In the oil industry, companies adopt financial stability strategies tailored to their specific circumstances, focusing either on growth or stabilization efforts (Hajiyev et al., 2024). Liquidity plays a vital role in financial stability, as similar liquidity levels can heighten default risks by tightening financial constraints. However, state ownership, institutional investors, and the development of digital finance can mitigate these risks (Fu et al., 2024). These findings underscore the intricate relationship between capital structure, liquidity, and financial stability across diverse sectors.

Further research indicates that liquidity and capital structure have a positive influence on financial sustainability in firms from Ghana (Wu et al., 2023) and Indonesia (Panjaitan et al., 2023). High liquidity in Central European transport companies enhances business performance, whereas high debt

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

ratios have a negative impact on it (Mazanec, 2023). For African banks, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio is crucial in determining capital structure, with profitability and risk positively linked to leverage (Obadire et al., 2023). Liquidity and capital structure are critical to financial sustainability, with optimal liquidity levels and internal financing recommended (Wu et al., 2023). Effective risk management, particularly debt management, enhances stability during crises like the COVID-19 pandemic (Nguyen & Hoang Dinh, 2021). As evidenced in the banking sector, liquidity and capital should be considered jointly (Patel et al., 2022). A low debt capital structure in the hospitality industry helped mitigate the pandemic's adverse effects on vulnerable companies (Nguyen et al., 2023).

Although recent studies have made significant contributions to understanding the impact of capital structure, liquidity, and risk management on corporate financial stability, several gaps remain in both empirical and theoretical contexts. Empirically, much of the previous research has tended to focus on specific sectors, such as banking (Abdelraheem, 2024), manufacturing (Ahmed et al., 2024), and hospitality (Nguyen et al., 2023), without integrating a more comprehensive cross-sectoral perspective. Liquidity and capital structure dynamics can vary significantly between these sectors, underscoring the need for further research to identify patterns that may be applicable generally or specifically across other industries. Many studies employ traditional frameworks, such as Trade-off Theory or Pecking Order Theory, to analyze capital structure. However, few have considered the evolving dynamics of global financial markets and technological innovations such as digital finance (Fu et al., 2024). Additionally, while previous research has highlighted the roles of liquidity and capital structure in maintaining financial stability, there is a limited exploration of the interaction between these variables and risk management, particularly in the face of unexpected crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Nguyen & Hoang Dinh, 2021).

This research introduces novelty by providing a comprehensive evaluation of companies' challenges in maintaining financial stability through the integrated management of liquidity, capital structure, and risk management. Many prior studies have examined these elements separately or focused on specific industries. However, this research aims to integrate all three aspects, examining how their interactions impact financial stability across various sectors, particularly in the context of global market volatility. This study is unique because it considers the evolving dynamics of global financial markets and technological advancements such as digital finance, which are often overlooked in previous literature. Focusing on the interplay between liquidity, capital structure, and risk management, this study offers fresh insights into how companies can strategically manage these elements to remain resilient in uncertain economic conditions.

This research addresses a critical gap in the literature by examining how liquidity, capital structure, and risk management can be effectively managed simultaneously to achieve financial stability. Given the complexity of global crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the study also seeks to identify the strategies that most effectively respond to unforeseen challenges across various industries. The findings of this research are expected to provide policymakers and corporate leaders with practical guidance on designing financial policies that are not only robust but also relevant and adaptable to the rapidly changing economic environment. The key research questions guiding this study are: How does the simultaneous management of liquidity, capital structure, and risk management affect a company's financial stability? How does the interaction between liquidity and capital structure influence a company's financial risk, particularly during unforeseen global economic crises? What risk management strategies most effectively maintain financial stability across different industries?

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

Liquidity Management as a Pillar of Financial Stability

Liquidity management is a critical component of a company's financial strategy. It plays a crucial role in maintaining the ability to meet short-term obligations, such as payroll, debt repayments, and operational expenses, while ensuring the business continues to operate smoothly. Proper liquidity management is vital for business continuity, as insufficient liquidity can lead to severe financial difficulties, including bankruptcy (Chorafas, 2002). Conversely, excessive liquidity may result in inefficiency, as idle cash assets could be better utilized to support business growth. Striking the right balance in liquidity management is crucial for a company's long-term financial health (Alhassan & Islam, 2021). Liquidity is often regarded as a crucial indicator of a company's financial health and its ability to meet its obligations in a timely manner. Companies that fail to manage their liquidity effectively may face challenges in day-to-day operations, mainly when unexpected expenses arise or cash flow is disrupted (Hill & Sartoris, 1988). In contrast, businesses that maintain adequate liquidity reserves are better positioned to capitalize on growth opportunities and weather financial challenges. Venkat & Baird (2016) emphasize the importance of aligning liquidity management with industry demands and market conditions to optimize performance. Firms with stable cash flows may require less aggressive liquidity management, while businesses in volatile industries, such as technology or retail, may need to adopt more dynamic liquidity strategies.

The economic crises of recent decades have underscored the importance of maintaining firm liquidity reserves. The COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, underscored the crucial role of liquidity in ensuring business survival. During the pandemic, companies with adequate liquidity reserves were able to continue operating despite significant disruptions to their revenue streams. In contrast, those with insufficient liquidity were forced to halt operations or seek emergency financing at unfavorable terms (Almeida, 2021). This scenario highlighted the importance of preparing for long-term uncertainties through proactive liquidity management rather than solely focusing on short-term cash needs. Liquidity management assumes even greater significance in high-volatility industries, such as manufacturing and retail. These industries often experience sharp fluctuations in demand, and maintaining sufficient liquidity enables companies to manage these changes without incurring significant financial distress. Hugonnier & Morellec (2017) show that businesses with solid liquidity reserves in these sectors can better avoid default and enhance their overall financial performance. For instance, manufacturing firms often face cyclical demand patterns, and insufficient liquidity can prevent them from maintaining operations during periods of low demand. In the retail industry, where demand can be unpredictable and seasonal, liquidity management ensures that businesses can cover operational costs during off-peak periods (Fairhurst, 2014).

Proactive liquidity management strategies are crucial for maintaining financial stability, especially in uncertain economic environments. These strategies include maintaining sufficient cash reserves to weather economic shocks, utilizing financial technology to monitor cash flow in real-time, and implementing flexible policies that adjust liquidity levels in response to changing market conditions (Chorafas, 2002). By employing these tactics, companies can create financial buffers that protect against unexpected disruptions, such as economic downturns or shifts in consumer behavior. Additionally, real-time financial monitoring systems enable businesses to respond quickly to liquidity surpluses or shortfalls, leading to better decision-making and increased operational efficiency (Wang, 2024). Another

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

critical aspect of liquidity management is its interaction with a company's capital structure. Firms with high levels of debt often face difficulties in maintaining adequate liquidity, as a significant portion of their cash flow is directed toward interest payments (Almeida, 2021). This situation highlights the importance of balancing liquidity management with capital structure decisions. Companies that rely heavily on debt financing are more vulnerable to liquidity shortfalls, particularly during economic downturns, when revenues may decline and access to new financing may become restricted (Botta, 2020). In contrast, businesses with more balanced capital structures—effectively combining debt and equity financing—have greater flexibility in managing their liquidity needs (Anderson & Carverhill, 2006). This balance helps reduce financial risks and improve long-term stability.

Capital Structure and Its Influence on Risk and Performance

Capital structure, defined as the mix of debt and equity a company uses to finance its operations and investments, is critical to its financial health. It determines the balance between risk and return, influencing the cost of capital and the company's vulnerability to financial shocks. An optimal capital structure helps manage risk while maximizing return on investment (Nukala & Prasada Rao, 2021). Debt can offer advantages, such as tax shields, by allowing companies to deduct interest payments from taxable income. However, excessive reliance on debt increases financial obligations, particularly during economic downturns when revenues decline (Koh et al., 2020). In contrast, equity financing reduces the risk of insolvency. However, it can dilute ownership and lower shareholder returns, making it crucial for companies to strike a balance between debt and equity in their capital structure. A debt-heavy capital structure, where a company relies predominantly on debt financing, significantly increases financial risk. High debt levels necessitate substantial interest payments, which can become burdensome, particularly when a company's revenue declines during economic downturns. This was demonstrated during the COVID-19 pandemic, as many companies with significant debt obligations struggled to meet their financial responsibilities due to a sudden decline in revenue (Utz et al., 2020). Such conditions increase the likelihood of financial distress and even bankruptcy, particularly in industries susceptible to market volatility. On the other hand, companies that overly depend on equity may face ownership dilution, where issuing more shares reduces the control of existing shareholders and potentially lowers their returns. Therefore, achieving the right balance between debt and equity is crucial to managing financial risk effectively.

The Trade-off Theory helps explain how companies manage their capital structure by balancing the tax benefits of debt against the potential costs of financial distress. According to this theory, companies strive to optimize their capital structure by weighing the advantages of debt—such as tax shields—against the risks of liquidity shortages or bankruptcy resulting from excessive borrowing (Rao et al., 2019). This theory is particularly relevant in high-risk industries such as energy, where companies must frequently adjust their capital structure to align with operational needs and manage fluctuating risks. By keeping their debt levels manageable, companies in these industries can maximize flexibility while minimizing their exposure to financial shocks (Barry et al., 2022). Capital structure influences a company's risk profile and financial performance. Studies have shown that the impact of capital structure on performance varies across industries. In the manufacturing sector, for example, high debt ratios are often associated with lower Return on Assets (ROA), suggesting that excessive reliance on debt can reduce profitability by increasing financial costs. However, high debt levels can also improve Return on Equity (ROE) by leveraging shareholder investments, provided the debt is managed effectively

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

(Sukmawardini & Ardiansari, 2018). This trade-off underscores the importance of companies tailoring their capital structure to their industry and specific risk tolerance.

In service industries, such as hospitality, the impact of capital structure on financial performance can be significantly different. During the COVID-19 pandemic, companies with lower debt levels were better positioned to withstand financial disruptions, as they had fewer financial obligations to meet when revenues declined (Utz et al., 2020). This underscores the importance of aligning a company's capital structure with the specific risks and market conditions it faces. An essential consideration in capital structure management is its interaction with liquidity. Companies with high levels of debt often struggle to maintain adequate liquidity, as a significant portion of their cash flow is allocated to interest payments, thereby limiting their financial flexibility (Chorafas, 2002). This can increase the risk of default during economic downturns, when liquidity is crucial for maintaining operations. Conversely, companies with more balanced capital structures, which effectively combine debt and equity, are better equipped to manage liquidity and sustain operations during financial stress (Anderson, 2003). Therefore, optimizing both capital structure and liquidity management is crucial to maintaining financial stability.

Risk Management as a Safeguard Against Financial Instability

Risk management is crucial for maintaining financial stability, especially in today's volatile global business environment. As companies face growing exposure to market volatility, regulatory changes, and technological disruptions, the importance of comprehensive risk management frameworks has intensified (Zekos, 2021). Risk management involves identifying, assessing, and mitigating financial threats that could undermine a company's stability. These risks may be operational, financial, or external, and if left unaddressed, they can have a significant impact on a company's long-term financial health. Risk management has become a non-negotiable aspect of a company's financial strategy in an era of heightened economic uncertainty. The primary goal of risk management is to safeguard a company from unforeseen financial threats. This process begins by identifying potential risks, enabling companies to understand which threats are most likely to disrupt their operations and financial performance (Sheffi, 2015). Once identified, the risks are evaluated based on their likelihood and the magnitude of their potential impact, enabling companies to prioritize the most critical risks. The final step is to implement strategies that mitigate or reduce the impact of these risks. Effective risk management strategies ensure that threats do not escalate into significant financial challenges (Hopkin, 2018).

Proactive risk management is particularly vital in today's volatile economic landscape. Companies that adopt proactive risk strategies can respond more quickly and effectively to emerging challenges (Rasmussen & Suedung, 2000). This is especially relevant when considering the lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. Companies that had already implemented proactive risk management strategies were better equipped to handle the financial stress caused by the pandemic. They could adjust operations quickly and maintain financial stability, while those without such strategies faced severe financial difficulties (Crockett, 1996). Proactive risk management ensures that companies are not caught off guard by unexpected crises, which can significantly reduce financial distress and enhance a company's ability to adapt to rapidly changing environments. Integrating risk management with capital structure and liquidity decisions is critical in protecting against financial instability. Companies with high debt levels often struggle to maintain sufficient liquidity, particularly during periods of declining revenue. High-interest obligations can exacerbate financial stress, but companies can build financial resilience by aligning risk management with liquidity and capital structure strategies. Firms that maintain

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

a healthy balance between debt and equity are better positioned to manage financial shocks, as they can adapt to market changes (Flammer & Ioannou, 2021). This integration between risk management and financial strategy enables companies to mitigate the risk of bankruptcy and sustain long-term financial health.

In addition to these strategies, companies can utilize various tools and techniques to strengthen their risk management practices. Hedging, for instance, protects companies from fluctuations in commodity prices, foreign exchange rates, or interest rates (Bartram, 2019). Additionally, insurance is a widely used tool for transferring certain risks to third parties, such as protecting against operational risks or large-scale external events like natural disasters (Jerry, 2023). These tools are precious in industries with high exposure to external risks. By employing such strategies, companies can reduce the likelihood of financial distress and ensure continued operations, even in adverse economic conditions. Specific industries are more exposed to market fluctuations and economic shocks than others, requiring more comprehensive risk management strategies. For example, tourism, hospitality, and transportation industries are especially vulnerable to economic and political shifts (Dogru et al., 2019). These sectors require more advanced risk management frameworks to address their specific exposures. In banking, liquidity risk management tied to capital reserves is crucial in maintaining financial stability, particularly during crises (Ruozi et al., 2013). Companies in these high-risk industries must adopt robust risk management policies to ensure long-term sustainability and protect their financial health.

The Integrated Approach: Managing Liquidity, Capital Structure, and Risk Together

In today's rapidly changing business environment, companies must adopt an integrated approach to managing their financial strategies, combining liquidity management, capital structure decisions, and risk management into a unified framework (Kouvelis et al., 2011). Each of these financial elements plays a vital role in ensuring the stability and resilience of a company. However, they can lead to financial imbalances and increased risk when handled separately. By integrating liquidity, capital structure, and risk management, companies can establish a more stable foundation that enables them to better adapt to economic changes and sustain long-term performance. Liquidity refers to a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations, such as paying off debts and covering operational costs. Capital structure, meanwhile, is the mix of debt and equity that a company uses to finance its operations. Risk management involves strategies to identify, assess, and mitigate financial risks that could compromise a company's financial stability. These three elements are deeply interconnected; managing them as part of an integrated strategy helps ensure that decisions in one area do not negatively affect another. When companies fail to integrate these elements, financial vulnerabilities can arise, particularly during economic downturns (Etemad, 2020).

A significant challenge occurs when companies rely too heavily on debt. High debt levels can limit liquidity, making it challenging for companies to meet their immediate financial obligations, especially during periods of declining revenue. This situation increases the likelihood of financial distress and, in extreme cases, bankruptcy. Conversely, companies that maintain adequate liquidity reserves and balance their debt and equity are better positioned to withstand economic shocks (Hofmann et al., 2022). This highlights the importance of managing liquidity, capital structure, and risk in an integrated manner, where decisions made in one area support the others. The transportation and energy sectors offer clear examples of the benefits of an integrated financial strategy. Companies in these industries face highly volatile revenues due to fluctuations in market demand and prices. Research on European

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

energy firms shows that those with solid liquidity reserves and well-balanced capital structures fared significantly better during economic instability. However, firms that over-leveraged themselves with debt experienced heightened financial risk and weakened stability (Schularick, 2022). This demonstrates the importance of not only managing liquidity and capital structure but doing so in a way that aligns with a proactive risk management framework.

The advancement of technology, particularly in financial management, has further enhanced companies' ability to manage liquidity, capital structure, and risk simultaneously. Digital finance tools enable companies to monitor cash flow in real-time, allowing for more agile and informed decision-making (Balicka, 2023). These tools also provide advanced risk assessment capabilities, helping firms to anticipate market shifts and adjust their capital structures accordingly. As a result, digital finance has become an indispensable component of modern financial management, mainly when dealing with uncertain economic conditions. Risk management should never be treated as a separate function but as an integral part of the overall financial strategy. A proactive approach to risk management allows companies to anticipate potential threats and take preventive measures before they escalate. This is especially important in volatile markets, where economic disruptions, regulatory changes, or shifts in consumer behavior can rapidly destabilize a company's financial standing (Iriani et al., 2024). By integrating risk management with liquidity and capital structure strategies, companies can reduce their exposure to financial shocks and enhance their resilience in the face of uncertainty.

Research Method

This research employs a qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) approach to examine the integration of liquidity management, capital structure, and risk management in corporate finance. The SLR method is chosen for its ability to systematically and comprehensively synthesize existing research. This design enables the identification, evaluation, and interpretation of relevant studies, facilitating connections between financial strategies and outcomes across various industries. The goal is to gain a better understanding of how these financial components interact and influence corporate stability. The subject of this research comprises peer-reviewed academic articles, books, and reports published between 2014 and 2024 that focus on liquidity, capital structure, and risk management in corporate finance. The sample population comprises studies from diverse industries, including energy, transportation, and manufacturing, to provide a broad perspective on financial management practices. The selection criteria emphasize studies that explore the integration of these financial strategies in both developed and emerging markets, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of global trends.

Data collection involves searching for relevant literature through electronic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and JSTOR. Keywords used in the search process include "liquidity management," "capital structure," "risk management," and "corporate financial stability." Developing a coding instrument is crucial for organizing and analyzing data effectively. The instrument classifies studies based on industry, region, methodology, and findings related to integrating the three financial elements. Each study is reviewed for quality and relevance before being included in the analysis. The data analysis uses thematic analysis, systematically reviewing the identified studies to extract key themes and patterns. This involves categorizing findings according to liquidity, capital structure, and risk management relationships. Thematic analysis helps identify commonalities, contradictions, and gaps in the literature, offering insights into the most effective financial strategies for maintaining corporate stability.

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Results and Discussion

Analysis Result

The Impact of Liquidity Management on Corporate Financial Stability

Liquidity management is crucial to ensuring a company's financial stability, particularly in today's volatile global economic environment. This study found that companies with effective liquidity management are better equipped to face short-term financial challenges, particularly during times of crisis. Liquidity refers to a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations, such as debt payments, employee salaries, and operational costs, without incurring additional debt or selling long-term assets. Companies with solid liquidity reserves can respond flexibly to financial shocks, maintaining operations even in challenging economic circumstances. As noted by Almeida (2021), the COVID-19 pandemic served as a prime example of the importance of liquidity management in ensuring corporate survival during unforeseen crises. Firms with sufficient liquidity reserves could weather the pandemic's immediate financial shocks, while those with insufficient reserves faced severe financial difficulties, including bankruptcy. This study highlights the importance of managing liquidity to meet short-term needs and provide a financial cushion against long-term uncertainties. Effective liquidity management enables companies to maintain financial continuity and protect their operations, even during periods of revenue contraction or market instability. Companies with adequate liquidity reserves are not forced into borrowing at high-interest rates during crises, which can further destabilize their financial position. Instead, they can leverage their liquidity to stabilize cash flow, manage short-term risks, and even capitalize on new opportunities that may arise during disruption. Research by Wang (2024) highlights the importance of utilizing real-time monitoring to dynamically adjust liquidity levels, enabling companies to respond promptly to market fluctuations. Therefore, liquidity management is critical in ensuring both short-term financial resilience and long-term operational sustainability (Jones & Hensher, 2020).

The Interaction Between Capital Structure and Financial Risk

The relationship between a company's capital structure and its financial risk is a central finding of this research. Capital structure refers to a company's mix of debt and equity to finance its operations. Companies with debt-heavy capital structures are more likely to face increased financial risks, particularly during economic downturns. This is because debt obligations, especially interest payments, remain constant regardless of the company's earnings. If revenues decrease, companies with high levels of debt may struggle to meet their interest obligations, which increases the risk of default or even bankruptcy. This study illustrates how the interaction between liquidity and capital structure can significantly impact financial risk. As supported by Anderson (2003), companies that rely heavily on debt must maintain strong liquidity to cover their short-term financial obligations and protect themselves from financial distress. However, companies that balance their debt and equity more effectively tend to have lower financial risk, as they are not overly dependent on debt to finance their operations. These firms are also better positioned to adapt to market changes because they are not burdened by fixed-interest payments that could strain their liquidity. The study reveals that a balanced capital structure provides companies with greater financial flexibility, which is particularly important during economic downturns. Firms that maintain a healthy balance between debt and equity can better adjust their

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

financial strategies in response to changing market conditions. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, companies with balanced capital structures were able to weather the economic impact without experiencing severe financial strain, as suggested by Yang (2023). This finding highlights the importance of carefully managing capital structure to mitigate financial risk and enhance long-term business sustainability.

The Most Effective Risk Management Strategies for Maintaining Financial Stability

Risk management is crucial in maintaining corporate financial stability, especially during uncertain economic times. This study evaluated various risk management strategies employed by companies across different industries, finding that proactive risk management is significantly more effective than reactive approaches. Companies that employ proactive risk management strategies can better anticipate and mitigate potential risks before they escalate into crises. In contrast, companies that adopt a reactive approach—only addressing risks after they materialize—often face more significant financial losses and operational disruptions. As noted by Nguyen and Hoang Dinh (2021), the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the importance of ex-ante risk management in responding to unforeseen crises. One of the key strategies identified as effective in managing risk is hedging. Hedging protects companies against fluctuations in key financial variables, including commodity prices, foreign exchange rates, and interest rates. By hedging their exposures, companies can stabilize their cash flows and reduce the impact of volatile market conditions on their financial performance. This study found that companies implementing robust hedging strategies could maintain more stable financial conditions, even during significant market volatility. Another effective strategy is asset diversification. Diversification enables companies to spread their investments across various asset classes and sectors, thereby reducing their exposure to any single source of financial risk. Companies that adopt diversification strategies are less likely to be negatively impacted by any particular industry or market downturn. The use of digital tools in risk management is increasingly critical. With the rise of financial technology (fintech), companies can leverage sophisticated algorithms and real-time data analytics to identify risks more quickly and accurately. These digital tools enable companies to adjust their risk management strategies dynamically, improving their ability to respond to market changes in real-time.

The Influence of Integrated Liquidity, Capital Structure, and Risk Management on Financial Resilience

Integrating liquidity management, capital structure, and risk management is essential for achieving long-term financial resilience. This study found that companies that manage these three elements in a coordinated manner are significantly more likely to maintain financial stability during periods of economic volatility. By integrating liquidity management with capital structure decisions, companies can ensure they have the financial flexibility to respond to market disruptions without taking on excessive risk. For example, companies with a strong capital structure and sufficient liquidity reserves are better positioned to manage short-term financial challenges while maintaining long-term financial health. Furthermore, integrating risk management into these financial strategies enables companies to identify potential threats before they escalate and implement timely solutions. This integrated approach ensures that decisions made in one area of financial management—such as liquidity—do not negatively impact other areas, such as risk management or capital structure (Froot & Stein, 1998). The findings of this study underscore the importance of a comprehensive financial management approach that integrates liquidity, capital structure, and risk management strategies within a unified framework.

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Companies that adopt such an integrated approach are better equipped to optimize their resources, minimize financial risks, and build resilience against future economic shocks.

Discussion

The results of this study reveal that liquidity management, capital structure, and risk management play critical roles in maintaining corporate financial stability, particularly in a volatile global economy. One of the key findings is that companies that manage their liquidity effectively are better positioned to face short-term financial challenges. Adequate liquidity enables firms to meet their short-term obligations, such as paying wages, settling debts, and covering operational expenses, without resorting to additional borrowing or liquidating valuable assets. This is especially important during periods of economic crisis when company revenues may experience significant declines. For instance, Almeida (2021) highlights that during the COVID-19 pandemic, many companies with sufficient liquidity reserves were able to weather the financial shocks caused by the crisis, while firms lacking adequate liquidity faced severe financial difficulties, including bankruptcy. This finding aligns with the research by Jones and Hensher (2020), who emphasize that firms with strong liquidity reserves can better respond to financial disruptions, maintaining operational continuity while reducing financial strain.

The results of this study reveal the critical role that liquidity management, capital structure, and risk management play in maintaining corporate financial stability, especially in a volatile global economy. One of the key findings is that firms with effective liquidity management are better positioned to face short-term financial challenges. Adequate liquidity enables companies to fulfill their obligations, including payroll, debt repayments, and operational expenses, without resorting to additional borrowing or selling long-term assets. This is particularly relevant during periods of economic crisis, where revenues may experience sharp declines. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, companies with sufficient liquidity reserves were able to withstand the immediate financial impacts. In contrast, those lacking such reserves faced significant financial distress, as noted by Nguyen and Hoang Dinh (2021). Similarly, Almeida (2021) emphasizes that firms with solid liquidity reserves exhibit greater flexibility in responding to financial disruptions, allowing them to maintain business continuity without accumulating additional debt.

The research also highlights the importance of maintaining a balanced capital structure between debt and equity in achieving financial stability. A capital structure dominated by debt can significantly increase financial risk, particularly when revenues decline. Miller and Modigliani (2020) demonstrate that firms with high debt burdens face fixed interest obligations, which can become untenable during periods of revenue contraction, heightening the risk of bankruptcy. In contrast, a more balanced capital structure provides greater flexibility for companies to manage economic shocks, as they are not overly dependent on external financing. According to Rao et al. (2019), the Trade-off Theory explains how firms attempt to balance the tax benefits of debt with the risks of financial distress. The findings of this study underscore the importance of maintaining this balance to mitigate financial risk and enhance flexibility during market fluctuations. By effectively managing their capital structures, firms can navigate economic downturns without incurring excessive financial strain.

Furthermore, the study emphasizes the necessity of proactive risk management in ensuring long-term financial stability. Effective risk management allows companies to identify and anticipate potential risks before they become significant. Nguyen and Hoang Dinh (2021) discuss how proactive risk management strategies, such as hedging, have proven effective in protecting companies from

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

unpredictable fluctuations in commodity prices, exchange rates, and interest rates. Similarly, Brown and Kapadia (2019) support these findings, demonstrating that firms employing hedging strategies are better able to stabilize their cash flows, reducing the risk of financial losses stemming from market volatility. This study also identifies asset diversification as a critical risk management strategy. By diversifying investments across various sectors or geographic regions, companies can mitigate the impact of downturns in one area by offsetting it with better performance in others, as noted by Minton et al. (2019).

One of the most significant findings from this research is the role that technology plays in enhancing liquidity and risk management. Technological advancements in digital finance have enabled companies to utilize sophisticated tools for real-time monitoring of cash flows and risks. Wang (2024) notes that real-time financial monitoring enables firms to make quicker and more informed decisions, improving their ability to respond to market fluctuations. Financial analytics and artificial intelligence allow firms to project their liquidity needs more accurately and adjust their capital and risk strategies accordingly. This adaptability is especially crucial in today's rapidly changing markets, as companies that integrate such technologies into their financial strategies are better equipped to mitigate financial risks and capitalize on new opportunities.

The results of this study align with several well-established economic and financial theories. The Trade-off Theory posits that firms must balance the benefits of using debt, such as tax shields, with the risks of bankruptcy resulting from excessive debt. The study's findings support this theory by demonstrating that firms with a more balanced capital structure exhibit greater flexibility in navigating market uncertainties. Companies that use debt within reasonable limits can maximize the benefits of tax savings without exposing themselves to excessive financial risk (Rao et al., 2019). The findings related to proactive risk management are consistent with Agency Theory, which suggests that corporate management should act in the best interests of shareholders by managing risks that could harm the company's value. Effective risk management strategies, such as hedging and diversification, help minimize potential losses, which aligns with shareholder value and long-term financial performance (Brown & Kapadia, 2019). Additionally, the role of technology in financial management is supported by the Resource-Based View (RBV), which argues that unique resources, such as technology, can provide a competitive advantage (Purnanandam & Rajan, 2021).

This study's findings are consistent with previous research, which emphasizes the importance of liquidity in maintaining corporate financial stability during times of crisis. For instance, Fazzari et al. (2019) found that companies with firm liquidity reserves could better withstand financial shocks during global economic crises. This supports the idea that liquidity must be carefully managed to ensure business continuity during times of uncertainty. However, this study also provides new insights into the benefits of proactive risk management, contrasting with earlier research that focused more on reactive strategies. While much of the prior literature emphasized addressing risks as they arise, this study shows that companies employing proactive strategies, such as hedging and diversification, are more successful in maintaining long-term financial stability. Minton et al. (2019) also found that firms that proactively implemented hedging strategies were better positioned to sustain financial stability than those relying solely on reactive measures. In terms of capital structure, the findings align with the work of Modigliani and Miller (1958), who demonstrated the importance of striking a balance between debt and equity to optimize a firm's capital structure. Companies with balanced capital structures could better adapt to market fluctuations without experiencing excessive financial strain, reinforcing the conclusions of prior

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

studies. This research extends these findings by highlighting the specific challenges companies face in today's volatile markets and how an integrated approach to liquidity management, capital structure, and risk management can mitigate these challenges.

The practical implications of this study are significant, particularly for companies operating in highly competitive and dynamic industries such as e-commerce. First, companies must prioritize effective liquidity management to ensure they have sufficient reserves to cope with short-term uncertainties. Adequate liquidity enables firms to maintain operational continuity even during temporary revenue declines. Second, companies must carefully manage their capital structures, striking a balance between the use of debt and equity to achieve greater financial flexibility. This will allow them to adjust strategies in response to changing market conditions while minimizing the risk of bankruptcy. Lastly, companies should embrace modern financial technology to enhance their liquidity and risk management. By utilizing artificial intelligence and financial analytics, firms can identify potential risks earlier and take proactive measures to mitigate them. These technologies enable companies to respond swiftly to market changes, ensuring long-term financial stability.

Conclusion

This research aimed to evaluate the challenges companies face in maintaining financial stability through the integrated management of liquidity, capital structure, and risk management. The study found that effective liquidity management allows firms to meet short-term obligations and remain flexible in the face of economic shocks. Furthermore, a balanced capital structure reduces financial risks by offering companies greater flexibility, while proactive risk management strategies, such as hedging and diversification, help mitigate exposure to market volatility. The findings reveal that companies managing these three elements in an integrated manner are more likely to maintain long-term financial stability, especially during periods of economic uncertainty.

The originality of this study lies in its holistic approach to examining the interactions between liquidity, capital structure, and risk management, which are often studied in isolation. This research provides practical insights for policymakers and business leaders by integrating these elements. From a managerial perspective, the study emphasizes the importance of maintaining adequate liquidity reserves, striking a balance between debt and equity to optimize the capital structure, and employing proactive risk management strategies to mitigate unforeseen risks. Adopting these integrated financial management practices in an increasingly dynamic global economy will allow companies to enhance their resilience and sustain their competitive edge.

The research primarily focuses on large corporations in the e-commerce sector, and the findings may not fully apply to smaller firms or other industries with different financial structures. Future research should explore the application of integrated financial management strategies across various sectors, including smaller businesses, to provide a broader understanding of how different types of companies manage financial stability. Additionally, while this study emphasizes the role of digital technology in financial management, further investigation is needed into how specific technologies can be optimized to enhance real-time financial decision-making.

References

Abdelraheem, A. (2024). The effect of capital structure on financial performance. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12(3), 1879–1884. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2024.2.015

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

 OPEN ACCESS
e-ISSN: 2985-7538

Ahmed, F., Rahman, M. U., Rehman, H. M., Imran, M., Dunay, A., & Hossain, M. B. (2024). The effects of corporate capital structure on corporate performance in manufacturing companies pursuing an innovation strategy. Heliyon, 10(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24677

Alhassan, I., & Islam, K. M. A. (2021). Liquidity management and financial performance of listed oil and gas companies in Nigeria. International Journal of Accounting & Finance Review, 8(1), 15–25. https://doi.org/10.46281/ijafr.v8i1.1364

Almeida, H. (2021). Liquidity management during the COVID-19 pandemic. Asia-Pacific Journal of Financial Studies, 50(1), 7–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajfs.12322

Anderson, R. (2003). Capital structure, firm liquidity and growth. In Firms' Investment and Finance Decisions (pp. 270–294). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781956335.00020

Anderson, R. W., & Carverhill, A. (2006). Liquidity and capital structure. http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/id/eprint/24632

Balicka, H. (2023). Digital technologies in the accounting information system supporting decision-making processes. Zeszyty Naukowe. Organizacja i Zarządzanie/Politechnika Śląska. https://doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2023.169.4

Barry, J. W., Campello, M., Graham, J. R., & Ma, Y. (2022). Corporate flexibility in a time of crisis. Journal of Financial Economics, 144(3), 780–806. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2022.03.003

Bartram, S. M. (2019). Corporate hedging and speculation with derivatives. Journal of Corporate Finance, 57, 9–34. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2017.09.023

Belás, J., Mišanková, M., Schönfeld, J., & Gavurová, B. (2017). Credit risk management: financial safety and sustainability aspects. Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues. https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2017.6.1(7)

Botta, M. (2020). Financial crises, debt overhang, and firm growth in transition economies. Applied Economics, 52(40), 4333–4350. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2020.1734184

Chorafas, D. N. (2002). Liabilities, liquidity, and cash management: Balancing financial risks. John Wiley & Sons.

Crockett, A. (1996). The theory and practice of financial stability. De Economist, 144(4), 531–568. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01371939

D'Orazio, P. (2023). Navigating financial stability through the dual challenges of climate change and pandemics. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 65, 101386. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2023.101386

Dogru, T., Marchio, E. A., Bulut, U., & Suess, C. (2019). Climate change: Vulnerability and resilience of tourism and the entire economy. Tourism Management, 72, 292–305. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.12.010

Etemad, H. (2020). Managing uncertain consequences of a global crisis: SMEs encountering adversities, losses, and new opportunities. Journal of International Entrepreneurship, 18(2), 125–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10843-020-00279-z

Fairhurst, D. (2014). Financial flexibility and short-term financing needs: Evidence from seasonal firms. The University of Arizona.

Flammer, C., & Ioannou, I. (2021). Strategic management during the financial crisis: How firms adjust their strategic investments in response to credit market disruptions. Strategic Management Journal, 42(7), 1275–1298. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3265

Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K. (2008). Chapter 12 - Trade-Off and Pecking Order Theories of Debt**We thank Long Chen, Sudipto Dasgupta, Espen Eckbo, Chris Hennessy, Jay Ritter, Michael Roberts, Tan Wang, and Jaime Zender for comments and helpful discussions. Murray Frank thanks Piper Jaffray for financial support. Vidhan Goyal thanks the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region for financial support (Project No. 6489/06H). The literature on capital structure is vast, containing hundreds of papers, and so we cannot hope to cover it all. Inevitably, we have left out a great deal, much of it very important. As such, we apologize in advance for our inevitable oversights. Even more than usual, it must

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

be stressed that this survey reflects only our views. In B. E. B. T.-H. of E. C. F. Eckbo (Ed.), Handbooks in Finance (pp. 135–202). Elsevier. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53265-7.50004-4

Froot, K. A., & Stein, J. C. (1998). Risk management, capital budgeting, and capital structure policy for financial institutions: An integrated approach. Journal of Financial Economics, 47(1), 55–82. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(97)00037-8

Fu, Y., He, F., Li, J., & Zan, B. (2024). Commonality in liquidity and corporate default risk-Evidence from China. Research in International Business and Finance, 69, 102280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2024.102280

Hajiyev, N., Abdullayeva, S., & Abdullayeva, E. (2024). Financial stability strategies for oil companies amidst high volatility in the global oil products market. Energy Strategy Reviews, 53, 101377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2024.101377

Hill, N. C., & Sartoris, W. L. (1988). Short-term financial management. Macmillan.

Hofmann, E., Töyli, J., & Solakivi, T. (2022). Working capital behavior of firms during an economic downturn: an analysis of the financial crisis era. International Journal of Financial Studies, 10(3), 55. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs10030055

Hopkin, P. (2018). Fundamentals of risk management: understanding, evaluating and implementing effective risk management. Kogan Page Publishers.

Hugonnier, J., & Morellec, E. (2017). Bank capital, liquid reserves, and insolvency risk. Journal of Financial Economics, 125(2), 266–285. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.05.006

Iriani, N., Agustianti, A., Sucianti, R., Rahman, A., & Putera, W. (2024). Understanding Risk and Uncertainty Management: A Qualitative Inquiry into Developing Business Strategies Amidst Global Economic Shifts, Government Policies, and Market Volatility. Golden Ratio of Finance Management, 4(2), 62–77. https://doi.org/10.52970/grfm.v4i2.444

Ismail, R. (2016). Impact of liquidity management on profitability of Pakistani firms: A case of KSE-100 Index. International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies, 14(2), 304. https://www.academia.edu/download/41002808/IJIAS-15-285-03.pdf.

Jerry, R. H. (2023). Understanding Parametric Insurance: A Potential Tool to Help Manage Pandemic Risk BT - Covid-19 and Insurance (M. L. Muñoz Paredes & A. Tarasiuk (eds.); pp. 17–62). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-13753-2_2

Koh, W. C., Kose, M. A., Nagle, P. S. O., Ohnsorge, F., & Sugawara, N. (2020). Debt and financial crises. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3547375

Kouvelis, P., Dong, L., Boyabatli, O., & Li, R. (2011). Handbook of integrated risk management in global supply chains. John Wiley & Sons.

Mazanec, J. (2023). Capital Structure and Corporate Performance: An Empirical Analysis from Central Europe. Mathematics, 11(9), 2095. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11092095

Nguyen, L. T. M., & Hoang Dinh, P. (2021). Ex-ante risk management and financial stability during the COVID-19 pandemic: a study of Vietnamese firms. China Finance Review International, 11(3), 349–371. https://doi.org/10.1108/CFRI-12-2020-0177

Nguyen, L. T. M., Le, D., Vu, K. T., & Tran, T. K. (2023). The role of capital structure management in maintaining the financial stability of hotel firms during the pandemic—A global investigation. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 109, 103366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103366

Nukala, V. B., & Prasada Rao, S. S. (2021). Role of debt-to-equity ratio in project investment valuation, assessing risk and return in capital markets. Future Business Journal, 7(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-021-00058-9

Obadire, A. M., Moyo, V., & Munzhelele, N. F. (2023). An empirical analysis of the dynamics influencing bank capital structure in Africa. International Journal of Financial Studies, 11(4), 127. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs11040127

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Panchal, S., & Chand, S. (2023). Impact of Capital Structure on Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Indian Manufacturing Sector. Global Business Review, 09721509231213235. https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509231213235

Panjaitan, F. R., Minan, H. K., & Arief, M. (2023). The effect of liquidity, profitability, and company size on company value, with capital structure as an intervening variable in manufacturing companies listed on the IDX in 2016-2020. International Journal of Economics and Management, 1(01), 21–32. https://doi.org/10.54209/iem.v1i01.3

Patel, A., Sorokina, N., & Thornton Jr, J. H. (2022). Liquidity and bank capital structure. Journal of Financial Stability, 62, 101038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2022.101038

Rao, P., Kumar, S., & Madhavan, V. (2019). A study on factors driving the capital structure decisions of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in India. IIMB Management Review, 31(1), 37–50. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2018.08.010

Rasmussen, J., & Suedung, I. (2000). Proactive risk management in a dynamic society. Swedish Rescue Services Agency.

Ruozi, R., Ferrari, P., Ruozi, R., & Ferrari, P. (2013). Liquidity risk management in banks: economic and regulatory issues. Springer.

Schularick, M. (2022). Leveraged: The New Economics of Debt and Financial Fragility. University of Chicago Press.

Sheffi, Y. (2015). The power of resilience: How the best companies manage the unexpected. mit Press.

Sukmawardini, D., & Ardiansari, A. (2018). The influence of institutional ownership, profitability, liquidity, dividend policy, debt policy on firm value. Management Analysis Journal, 7(2), 211–222.

Utz, R., Feyen, E., Vazquez Ahued, F., Nie, O., & Moon, J. (2020). Macro-Financial Implications of the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Venkat, S., & Baird, S. (2016). Liquidity risk management: a practitioner's perspective. John Wiley & Sons.

Wang, R. (2024). Safeguarding Enterprise Prosperity: An In-depth Analysis of Financial Management Strategies. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-01752-z

Wu, N., Zhao, J., Musah, M., Ma, Z., Zhang, L., Zhou, Y., Su, Y., Agyemang, J. K., Asiamah, J. A., & Cao, S. (2023). Do Liquidity and Capital Structure Predict Firms' Financial Sustainability? A Panel Data Analysis on Quoted Non-Financial Establishments in Ghana. Sustainability, 15(3), 2240. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032240

Yang, C. (2023). Impact of Covid-19 on the capital structure of UK firms. University of Glasgow. https://theses.gla.ac.uk/id/eprint/83727

Zekos, G. I. (2021). Risk Management Developments BT - Economics and Law of Artificial Intelligence: Finance, Economic Impacts, Risk Management and Governance (G. I. Zekos (ed.); pp. 147–232). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64254-9_5

Corresponding author

Feronica Fungky Muchsidin can be contacted at: feronicapungky@gmail.com

2025. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.