

Analysis of the Challenges of Sustainable Organizational Development in a Changing Business Environment

Nurhidayah ^{1*} Wahyuni ²

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: nurhidayah@unismuh.ac.id

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia. Email: wahyuni@unismuh.ac.id

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: January 18, 2026

Revised: January 28, 2026

Accepted: January 31, 2026

DOI :

<https://doi.org/10.60079/amfr.v4i1.700>



Advances in Research

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study explores organizations' challenges in implementing sustainable development strategies in a rapidly evolving business environment. It examines key barriers, including regulatory constraints, financial limitations, challenges in adopting technology, and organizational resistance, while assessing the roles of leadership, governance, and innovation in fostering sustainability.

Research Method: This study uses a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to synthesize peer-reviewed research on sustainability challenges and strategic responses. The review integrates perspectives from Institutional Theory to understand how external pressures influence corporate sustainability practices. The study also draws on empirical findings from recent literature to provide a structured evaluation of the factors affecting the integration of sustainability.

Results and Discussion: The findings highlight that regulatory frameworks and market expectations are the primary drivers of sustainability adoption. However, many organizations struggle to balance short-term financial performance with long-term sustainability investments. The study identifies technological innovation as a key enabler of sustainable practices but notes significant barriers, such as high investment costs and skill gaps. Furthermore, effective leadership and corporate governance are critical in shaping organizational sustainability cultures.

Implications: This study offers practical insights for businesses, policymakers, and researchers by emphasizing the need for integrated sustainability strategies that go beyond compliance. It calls for enhanced regulatory alignment, cross-sector collaborations, and investment in digital sustainability solutions to drive long-term resilience. Future research should explore sector-specific sustainability challenges and the effectiveness of leadership models in driving sustainability transformation.

Keywords: sustainable development; ESG compliance; digital transformation; corporate governance.

Introduction

In the contemporary business landscape, organizations are increasingly compelled to integrate sustainability into their core operations to maintain competitiveness and ensure long-term viability. This imperative is driven by rapid technological advancements, evolving consumer expectations, stringent regulatory frameworks, and a heightened awareness of environmental and social responsibilities. The concept of sustainability has transitioned from a voluntary initiative undertaken primarily for corporate



2026. The Author(s). This open-access article is distributed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Page | 120

social responsibility (CSR) purposes to a fundamental strategic necessity (Ashrafi *et al.*, 2020). Companies that fail to align with sustainability principles face significant risks, including loss of market relevance, declining consumer trust, and reduced investor confidence (Unruh *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, sustainability is no longer merely an ethical consideration; it has become a crucial determinant of corporate success, shaping purchasing decisions, investment patterns, and policy regulations. Over the past few decades, the evolution of business strategies has underscored the need for a balanced approach that harmonizes economic growth with sustainable development. Digital transformation and globalization have fundamentally reshaped business operations, redefining supply chains, organizational structures, and leadership models (Brenner, 2018). While these changes present unprecedented opportunities for efficiency and innovation, they also introduce new complexities in managing sustainable business practices effectively. Many organizations struggle to integrate sustainability into their core strategies, often treating it as a supplementary concern rather than embedding it within their long-term vision. This fragmented approach limits the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives and exposes organizations to more significant regulatory and reputational risks. Without a fundamental shift toward sustainability-driven business models, companies risk being left behind in an increasingly environmentally and socially conscious global economy.

A critical challenge in sustainable organizational development is the inherent tension between immediate financial performance and long-term sustainability objectives. Traditional business models are often structured around short-term profitability, frequently prioritizing financial returns at the expense of environmental and social considerations (Lahti *et al.*, 2018). This conflict is particularly evident in industries with high carbon footprints, where transitioning to more sustainable alternatives requires substantial financial investment, operational restructuring, and long-term strategic planning. While some companies recognize the importance of sustainability, they face significant barriers in implementation due to the perceived short-term financial burden associated with green investments, renewable energy adoption, or sustainable supply chain transformations (Gawusu *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, the evolving landscape of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) standards adds complexity. Organizations must navigate increasingly stringent regulations while ensuring compliance with sustainability disclosures, responsible business practices, and ethical governance frameworks (Akinsola, 2025). Beyond financial and regulatory challenges, internal cultural and structural barriers hinder sustainable development efforts. Resistance to change, lack of leadership commitment, and limited employee engagement remain pervasive issues in many organizations, slowing the adoption of sustainability initiatives. In numerous cases, sustainability is perceived not as an integral business strategy but as an auxiliary function under CSR initiatives, resulting in fragmented and inconsistent implementation. As the business environment evolves and accelerates, many companies lack the agility and adaptability to integrate sustainability into their core operations effectively. Organizational inertia and a lack of expertise in sustainability-driven innovation exacerbate these challenges, making it increasingly difficult for businesses to transition toward sustainable practices in a rapidly changing global economy.

Recent studies emphasize the importance of integrating sustainability into organizational strategies to ensure long-term success. Businesses must address economic, social, cultural, and environmental dimensions to enhance performance and reputation (Ciuciuc *et al.*, 2025). Sustainable human resource management practices are vital in fostering employee satisfaction, engagement, and overall organizational development, particularly in dynamic business environments (Parzhanova, 2025).



Organizations can also redefine themselves as adaptive systems contributing to territorial reconstruction, sustainable development, and social stability in regions affected by conflict (Otero & Castillo, 2025). Furthermore, contemporary quality management tools provide effective mechanisms to meet customer expectations while ensuring sustainability in organizational activities (Misztal & Ratajszczak, 2025). Sustainable organizational development in a changing business environment is complex, requiring organizations to assess sustainability using diverse methods (Danishevich, 2024). Value-based leadership plays a key role in shaping supportive cultures, while organizational resilience—readiness, adaptability, and learning—remains critical for long-term success (Mehta *et al.*, 2024; Purnomo & Ausat, 2024). However, SMEs face distinct challenges, including financial constraints and limited knowledge, that hinder the adoption of sustainability despite its potential benefits (Indriastuti *et al.*, 2024). To navigate these complexities, organizations must evaluate sustainability using process-oriented indicators that consider ecological, social, and economic factors (Shyam & Job, 2023). Adhocratic leadership, which prioritizes flexibility, innovation, and rapid adaptation, has also emerged as a practical approach (Karneli, 2023). Future research should explore how technology can enhance sustainability and ensure long-term organizational competitiveness in an evolving global economy (Ogutu *et al.*, 2023).

Despite the growing body of research on sustainable organizational development, several gaps persist in both empirical and theoretical dimensions. While existing studies highlight the importance of integrating sustainability into organizational strategies (Ciuciuc *et al.*, 2025; Parzhanova, 2025), there remains limited empirical evidence on how businesses—small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)—effectively implement sustainability initiatives in resource-constrained environments. Many studies emphasize leadership approaches, such as value-based and adhocratic leadership (Purnomo & Ausat, 2024; Karneli, 2023), but few provide empirical validation of their direct impact on long-term sustainability outcomes. Furthermore, while research underscores the role of resilience in achieving sustainable business success (Mehta *et al.*, 2024), there is insufficient exploration of how organizations develop and sustain resilience amid continuous disruptions and market uncertainties. Theoretical gaps also exist in integrating sustainability with emerging technologies. Although studies suggest that technology plays a critical role in promoting sustainable practices (Ogutu *et al.*, 2023), there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks linking digital transformation with sustainability performance across different industries. Additionally, while prior research highlights the need for sustainability assessments using process-oriented indicators (Danishiev, 2024), existing models remain fragmented and fail to account for sector-specific variations. Given these gaps, further investigation is needed to establish a more holistic approach that combines leadership, resilience, digital transformation, and sustainability assessments into a unified framework for sustainable organizational development in an increasingly volatile business environment.

This study systematically synthesizes the challenges organizations face in achieving sustainable development in a rapidly evolving business environment. Using a systematic literature review (SLR) approach, this research identifies the key barriers to integrating sustainability, examines how organizations navigate these constraints, and evaluates the effectiveness of various management strategies in fostering long-term sustainability. The novelty of this study lies in its comprehensive perspective, which extends beyond traditional discussions of corporate sustainability by incorporating insights into leadership adaptability, regulatory compliance, financial constraints, and digital transformation. Unlike previous studies focusing on singular aspects of sustainability—such as ESG



implementation or corporate social responsibility—this research offers a holistic framework that captures the interdependencies between leadership, financial considerations, and regulatory pressures in shaping sustainable business strategies. Given the complexity of sustainable organizational development, this study is guided by the following research questions: (1) What are the primary challenges organizations face in implementing sustainability in a rapidly changing business environment? (2) How do organizations balance financial performance and sustainability objectives while maintaining competitiveness? and (3) What leadership approaches and management strategies are most effective in driving sustainable organizational transformation? By answering these questions, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on sustainability by providing practical insights for businesses, policymakers, and researchers, helping organizations develop adaptive strategies that ensure both economic success and long-term sustainability. Through an evidence-based approach, this research enhances academic understanding. It offers actionable recommendations for organizations seeking to align their operational and strategic goals with sustainability imperatives amid increasing market volatility and regulatory scrutiny.

Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory has emerged as a fundamental framework for understanding how organizations adapt to external pressures, including government regulations, social norms, and industry expectations (Meyer & Rowan, 1977). Organizations do not operate in isolation; instead, they are shaped by institutional forces that dictate strategic decisions and operational behaviors (Sola & Snellman, 2019). This Theory emphasizes that businesses seek legitimacy within their respective environments, often prioritizing compliance over internal efficiency. Many firms adopt sustainable practices not out of intrinsic commitment but in response to institutional pressures. For instance, in global supply chains, companies integrate sustainability measures to align with international regulatory frameworks, ensuring legitimacy in competitive markets (Koberg & Longoni, 2019). A key concept within Institutional Theory is an isomorphism, which explains how organizations conform to prevailing industry practices. Coercive isomorphism occurs when regulatory policies, such as environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards, force organizations to adopt sustainability initiatives (Kühn *et al.*, 2018). Mimetic isomorphism drives companies to replicate competitors' sustainability strategies to reduce uncertainty and maintain competitiveness. As a result, firms in similar industries often exhibit homogenous sustainability practices without significant differentiation. Normative isomorphism, influenced by professional standards and industry codes, further dictates corporate policies (Martínez-Ferrero & García-Sánchez, 2017). Many organizations, particularly in finance and technology, embrace ESG reporting and supply chain transparency to satisfy both regulatory bodies and investor expectations (Baid & Jayaraman, 2022). The growing demand for corporate accountability reinforces sustainability as a strategic imperative rather than a discretionary initiative. Consequently, organizations increasingly align their business models with institutional expectations to secure long-term viability in an evolving global economy.

Institutional pressures that drive sustainability adoption often create challenges for organizations, particularly in avoiding greenwashing, where companies project an image of sustainability without fully implementing responsible practices (Huang *et al.*, 2024). This phenomenon



arises when businesses prioritize regulatory compliance and investor expectations over genuine sustainability initiatives. Many organizations focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosures as a strategic tool to enhance legitimacy. However, the transparency and credibility of these reports remain contentious (Yu *et al.*, 2020). Instead of fostering meaningful sustainability, institutional pressures sometimes encourage superficial compliance, reinforcing symbolic rather than substantive change in corporate environmental strategies. The role of institutional isomorphism in sustainability practices further complicates this issue. Under coercive isomorphism, firms adopt sustainability measures primarily due to external regulatory mandates rather than an intrinsic commitment to environmental responsibility (Shuang *et al.*, 2024). Mimetic isomorphism encourages businesses to replicate competitors' sustainability efforts, not always as a strategic decision but as a means of remaining competitive in uncertain markets. Consequently, industries often witness homogeneous sustainability strategies with minimal differentiation, leading to a cycle of imitation rather than innovation (Lyon & Montgomery, 2015). This challenge highlights the limitations of Institutional Theory in explaining how firms can transition from reactive compliance to proactive sustainability leadership. For sustainability efforts to yield meaningful impact, businesses must integrate value-driven sustainability frameworks, moving beyond regulatory obligations toward long-term environmental and social benefits.

Sustainable Organizational Development

Sustainable Organizational Development has become an essential framework for businesses aiming to achieve long-term growth while maintaining environmental and social responsibility (Baumgartner & Rauter, 2017). Unlike traditional business models that prioritize short-term financial performance, sustainable organizations balance economic viability, environmental stewardship, and social impact as core strategies (van Bommel, 2018). This shift is driven by increasing stakeholder awareness and global regulatory pressures that demand greater corporate accountability. The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) approach, which integrates people, planet, and profit, encourages companies to consider sustainability as a strategic imperative rather than a peripheral concern. Businesses that align their operations with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) can enhance their competitive advantage by addressing pressing global challenges such as climate change and social inequality (Parzhanova, 2025). Moreover, adopting the Circular Economy Model, which focuses on resource efficiency and waste reduction, allows firms to integrate sustainability into their supply chains while improving operational resilience. Despite its benefits, implementing sustainable practices presents significant challenges for many organizations. One of the primary issues is measuring sustainability performance, as many companies lack standardized methodologies to assess the long-term impact of their initiatives (Bennett *et al.*, 2017). Balancing profitability with sustainability investments remains a complex dilemma, particularly for firms facing financial constraints. Companies often struggle with the high upfront costs associated with adopting green technologies and renewable energy, despite their potential long-term savings and regulatory advantages (Gawusu *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore, integrating sustainability into corporate culture requires a shift in leadership mindset, ensuring it is embedded across all levels of the organization rather than relegated to specific departments. By adopting transparent reporting mechanisms and fostering innovation in sustainable product development, businesses can bridge the gap between regulatory compliance and genuine long-term sustainability transformation.



Implementing Sustainable Organizational Development remains a significant challenge for many organizations due to the complexity of measuring sustainability impacts and balancing financial performance with long-term sustainability goals (Simanjuntak, 2024). Many companies struggle with developing standardized methodologies to assess the effectiveness of their sustainability initiatives, making it difficult to track progress and justify investments in sustainable practices (Escoto *et al.*, 2022). The tension between profitability and sustainability further compounds the challenge, as organizations often perceive environmentally friendly investments—such as renewable energy and waste management systems—as costly expenditures rather than long-term strategic advantages (Jacqueminet, 2020). This mindset creates hesitation in fully committing to sustainability, especially in highly competitive industries where short-term financial results dominate decision-making. Beyond economic concerns, a lack of internal organizational awareness and commitment presents another major hurdle. Many firms still view sustainability as a departmental responsibility rather than an integral part of corporate culture and business operations (Eccles *et al.*, 2012). This fragmented approach limits the potential for company-wide integration of sustainable practices. Addressing these barriers requires companies to enhance corporate governance and transparency by adopting sustainability reporting standards such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) (Dye *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, fostering a culture of innovation in sustainable product development and empowering employees through sustainability training can significantly enhance an organization's ability to transition to a sustainable business model aligned with long-term economic, social, and environmental objectives.

The Changing Business Environment

The modern business environment is undergoing rapid transformation driven by digitalization, globalization, and evolving consumer expectations. Organizations must continuously adapt to new economic, technological, and regulatory trends to remain competitive (Xu & Sun, 2025). Technological disruption is one of the most significant factors shaping the global business landscape, driven by advances in artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, big data, and automation. These technologies are reshaping business operations, from optimizing supply chains to enhancing customer engagement. AI and big data analytics enable companies to predict market trends with greater accuracy, tailor products to evolving consumer needs, and improve operational efficiency by facilitating data-driven decision-making (Badmus *et al.*, 2024). Blockchain technology has revolutionized supply chain transparency by enabling real-time product tracking, ensuring compliance with ethical sourcing and environmental sustainability standards (Oliver Yébenes, 2024). Beyond technological advancements, regulatory shifts significantly alter corporate strategies, particularly with the growing emphasis on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks. Many businesses now face stringent carbon emission reduction targets and corporate governance reforms imposed by international regulatory bodies. While these policies promote sustainability, they also introduce compliance challenges, especially for industries with high environmental impact, such as manufacturing and energy (Sewpersad, 2023). The increasing demand for corporate transparency and ethical business practices among consumers has compelled businesses to integrate sustainability into their branding, product development, and operations (Tapscott & Ticoll, 2003). Companies that fail to align with these shifting consumer expectations risk losing market share to competitors prioritizing sustainability and corporate responsibility. Organizations



can build long-term resilience in an increasingly dynamic business environment by leveraging digital transformation and proactive regulatory compliance.

The increasing emphasis on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) regulations has fundamentally reshaped corporate strategies, compelling organizations to integrate sustainability into their operations (Annesi *et al.*, 2025). Companies operating across multiple jurisdictions face challenges in complying with diverse regulatory frameworks, which often require significant adjustments in governance and operational transparency (Damberg *et al.*, 2024). The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) have set global benchmarks for sustainability reporting, encouraging businesses to enhance carbon disclosure, ethical labor practices, and social impact assessments (Ding *et al.*, 2024). However, compliance with these frameworks imposes administrative burdens and financial costs, particularly for firms with complex supply chains spanning multiple regulatory environments. Beyond regulatory compliance, shifting consumer preferences drive businesses toward sustainable product innovation and greater transparency. Consumers today are increasingly inclined to purchase Cradle to Cradle Certified products, reflecting growing awareness of environmental sustainability and circular economy principles (Yang *et al.*, 2024). To align with these expectations, companies leverage digital transformation to enhance their ESG performance, utilizing big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and blockchain to track sustainability metrics and improve transparency (Chen & Ren, 2024). Furthermore, green innovation has emerged as a key mediator between digital transformation and ESG performance, enabling firms to develop sustainable solutions that align with regulatory demands and consumer expectations. By integrating technology-driven sustainability strategies, companies can navigate compliance complexities while strengthening brand reputation and competitive advantage in a rapidly evolving business environment.

Research Method

Study Design

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to analyze and synthesize existing research on sustainable organizational development in a changing business environment. The SLR method enables a structured and comprehensive examination of scholarly literature, allowing for an in-depth understanding of theoretical perspectives, emerging trends, and key challenges related to sustainability in modern organizations. This study aims to synthesize a body of knowledge that advances theory and practice in the field by systematically identifying, selecting, and reviewing relevant academic sources.

Sample Population and Subject of Research

The subject of this research comprises peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and academic books that address sustainable organizational development, digital transformation, regulatory compliance, and evolving business strategies. The selection criteria for sources include relevance to the research objectives, publication date (articles published since 2018), and credibility (indexed in reputable databases such as Elsevier, Emerald, Wiley, and Springer). Studies covering ESG compliance, corporate sustainability practices, and digital innovation are prioritized to ensure a comprehensive understanding of how businesses adapt to environmental and regulatory shifts.



Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data is collected through a structured search of academic databases using predefined keywords and Boolean search operators. The search strategy identifies studies that explicitly discuss business sustainability, regulatory adaptation, and technological advancements in corporate governance. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are established to refine the selection of relevant literature, ensuring that only empirical studies, conceptual frameworks, and systematic reviews are considered. The selected literature is categorized based on thematic relevance, research focus, and methodological approach.

Data Analysis Techniques

A qualitative content analysis is employed to systematically interpret and synthesize findings from the reviewed literature. This involves coding key themes, identifying recurring patterns, and drawing connections between theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence. The analysis aims to provide a structured synthesis of knowledge, highlighting gaps in existing research and identifying potential areas for further study. The findings are categorized into emerging trends, key challenges, and strategic implications for sustainable business practices, ensuring a rigorous and well-structured approach to the review process.

Results and Discussion

Analysis Result

Integrating sustainability into organizational frameworks presents multifaceted challenges that impede effective adoption. A primary obstacle is the scarcity of funds allocated to sustainable initiatives. Many organizations, including tiny and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), operate under tight budget constraints, making it difficult to invest in sustainable technologies or processes. Escoto et al. (2022) highlight that SMEs often lack the capital necessary to implement comprehensive sustainability programs, leading to a reliance on traditional, less sustainable practices. Additionally, internal resistance poses a significant barrier. Employees and management may be reluctant to change established routines, especially when the benefits of sustainable practices are not immediately evident. This resistance is often rooted in a lack of awareness of sustainability's long-term benefits. Ashrafi et al. (2020) emphasize that fostering a culture of sustainability requires comprehensive education and engagement strategies to shift organizational mindsets. Moreover, measuring the impact of sustainability initiatives remains complex. The absence of standardized metrics and reporting frameworks can lead to inconsistent assessments, making it challenging for organizations to gauge progress and identify areas for improvement. Bennett et al. (2017) discuss the challenges of evaluating environmental and social performance, noting that developing universally accepted indicators is crucial to the effective integration of sustainability. These challenges underscore the need for targeted strategies to address financial constraints, cultivate an internal culture supportive of sustainability, and establish clear performance metrics.

Balancing financial performance with sustainability objectives presents a nuanced dilemma for contemporary organizations. The pressure to deliver short-term financial results often conflicts with the long-term investments required for sustainable development. Simanjuntak (2024) discusses the tension between immediate profitability and sustainable growth, noting that organizations often prioritize



short-term gains to meet shareholder expectations. This short-termism can deter investments in sustainable technologies or processes that may not yield immediate financial returns. Furthermore, integrating sustainability into corporate strategy necessitates a reevaluation of traditional business models. Baumgartner & Rauter (2017) argue that achieving a sustainable organization requires strategic perspectives that align environmental and social considerations with economic goals. This alignment often involves redefining value propositions and may require initial financial outlays that challenge existing budgetary allocations. However, organizations that successfully navigate this balance can achieve a competitive advantage. Unruh et al. (2016) highlight that investors increasingly value sustainability, suggesting that companies committed to sustainable practices may benefit from enhanced reputational capital and investor confidence. Therefore, while the tension between financial performance and sustainability objectives is palpable, strategic integration of sustainability can lead to long-term financial benefits and organizational resilience.

The evolving global regulatory landscape significantly impacts organizational strategies, particularly regarding Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria. Annesi et al. (2025) discuss the complexities organizations face in building sustainable strategies that comply with integrated ESG governance frameworks. The increasing stringency of regulations, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), mandates comprehensive reporting and transparency. While these frameworks aim to promote sustainability, they also pose challenges related to compliance costs and standard harmonization across jurisdictions. Akinsola (2025) emphasizes the role of corporate governance in strengthening compliance frameworks, noting that organizations must invest in robust systems to manage regulatory requirements effectively. The disparity in regulatory standards between countries further complicates compliance efforts for multinational corporations. Organizations must navigate complex regulations, balancing global sustainability commitments with local legal obligations. This intricate regulatory environment necessitates adaptive strategies and proactive engagement with policymakers to ensure sustainability initiatives align with organizational goals and regulatory expectations.

Digitalization and technological advancements play a pivotal role in advancing organizational sustainability. Adopting technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, Internet of Things (IoT), and Blockchain can potentially enhance operational efficiency, supply chain transparency, and environmental monitoring. Chen & Ren (2024) explore how digital transformation can improve ESG performance, noting that technology enables more accurate data collection and analysis, facilitating informed decision-making. However, the integration of these technologies is not without challenges. Badmus et al. (2024) highlight issues related to data privacy, cybersecurity, and the digital divide, which can impede the effective deployment of technological solutions. Moreover, technological change requires organizations to be agile and continuously update their digital capabilities. Brenner (2018) discusses the transformative impact of digitalization on business models, emphasizing the need for organizations to innovate and adapt to maintain competitiveness in the digital era. Therefore, while technology offers significant opportunities to enhance sustainability, organizations must carefully manage the associated risks and challenges to realize its benefits fully.

Leadership dynamics and organizational adaptability are critical factors in successfully implementing sustainability initiatives. Effective leadership fosters a culture that embraces change and encourages the adoption of sustainable practices. Karneli (2023) examines the role of adhocratic leadership in navigating the changing business environment, suggesting that flexible and innovative



leadership styles are conducive to sustainability. Organizational culture also plays a significant role in facilitating or hindering sustainability efforts. Eccles et al. (2012) found that companies with a strong culture of sustainability exhibit better corporate behavior and performance. Building such a culture requires deliberate efforts to align organizational values, norms, and practices with sustainability objectives. This alignment can be achieved through comprehensive training programs, incentive structures, and clear communication of sustainability goals. Adaptive organizational structures that promote cross-functional collaboration and knowledge sharing can enhance the capacity to implement sustainable practices effectively. Jacqueline (2020) highlights the importance of practice implementation within multidivisional firms, noting that internal alignment and consistency are crucial for successful sustainability integration. Thus, leadership and organizational adaptability are foundational elements in pursuing sustainable development.

Organizations employ various strategies to address sustainability challenges and effectively integrate sustainable practices into their operations. One approach is to adopt circular economy principles, focusing on resource efficiency and waste reduction. Lahti et al. (2018) discuss the theoretical foundations of the circular economy and its potential to drive long-term sustainability. Organizations implementing circular economy models can optimize material use, enhance product lifecycle management, and reduce environmental impact, contributing to cost savings and sustainability objectives. Another key strategy is integrating sustainable supply chain practices. Koberg & Longoni (2019) emphasize that global supply chain sustainability requires robust collaboration with suppliers, transparent sourcing policies, and the implementation of environmentally friendly logistics solutions. Organizations can mitigate risks associated with resource depletion and regulatory non-compliance by enhancing supply chain resilience and reducing dependency on unsustainable materials. Corporate partnerships and cross-sector collaborations have proven effective in scaling sustainability initiatives. Baid & Jayaraman (2022) highlight how financial institutions increasingly promote social responsibility within supply chain financing, incentivizing sustainable business practices through ESG-linked loans and investment mechanisms. These partnerships enable organizations to access funding for sustainability projects while aligning business operations with global sustainability standards. In addition, strengthening corporate governance through enhanced ESG reporting and sustainability audits is crucial for fostering transparency and accountability. Annesi et al. (2025) argue that companies with well-integrated ESG governance frameworks are more likely to achieve sustainable business outcomes because strong corporate governance helps ensure compliance with sustainability mandates and investor expectations.

Discussion

The findings of this study reveal a range of challenges that organizations face in implementing sustainability strategies in an ever-evolving business environment. Key barriers to sustainability are not solely derived from external factors such as increasingly stringent regulations and market pressures, but also from internal organizational limitations, including financial constraints, resistance to change, and a lack of awareness and sustainability culture within corporate structures. Many companies struggle to allocate financial resources to invest in green technology and sustainability initiatives because of pressure to achieve short-term profitability. This financial short-termism often delays the implementation of sustainability strategies, despite growing recognition that long-term business resilience depends on sustainable practices. Organizations that fail to prioritize sustainability as a core



strategic component risk falling behind in an era where regulatory bodies, consumers, and investors increasingly demand transparency and accountability. The challenge becomes even more complex when businesses operate across multiple markets with different regulatory landscapes, making it challenging to streamline sustainability initiatives across all operational units. Organizations must therefore adopt strategic financial planning that integrates sustainability investments as an integral part of long-term growth, ensuring that corporate financial performance and environmental responsibilities are not viewed as mutually exclusive but as complementary elements of a sustainable business model.

Another significant challenge identified in this study is the difficulty in measuring the impact of sustainability initiatives. Many organizations struggle to establish standardized measurement frameworks that effectively quantify the benefits of sustainability efforts. The absence of uniform sustainability metrics and the lack of indicators that can be directly translated into business value often make it difficult for companies to assess the return on investment (ROI) of their sustainability programs. This measurement gap limits organizations' ability to demonstrate their sustainability impact to stakeholders and hampers their ability to refine and enhance their sustainability strategies over time. Furthermore, sustainability is often seen as an additional operational cost rather than a long-term investment that yields competitive advantages. However, findings from this study indicate that organizations that have successfully adopted comprehensive sustainability systems tend to experience stronger financial performance, enhanced customer loyalty, and reduced legal and reputational risks associated with non-compliance with environmental and social regulations. Therefore, developing and adopting practical sustainability measurement tools is crucial for businesses to credibly communicate their commitment to sustainability, make data-driven decisions, and integrate sustainability into their core strategic objectives. Companies must shift from viewing sustainability reporting as a compliance requirement to leveraging it as a strategic tool that enhances operational efficiency, corporate reputation, and stakeholder engagement.

This study also highlights organizations' persistent dilemma in balancing financial profitability with sustainability goals. Many companies struggle to reconcile short-term shareholder expectations for financial returns with the long-term investments required for sustainability initiatives. The emphasis on quarterly earnings reporting often discourages companies from making sustainability-focused investments, as the benefits of such initiatives may take years to materialize. However, this research suggests that companies that balance economic performance and sustainability objectives are more resilient to market disruptions and possess a stronger competitive edge. Organizations that successfully integrate sustainability into their long-term strategic planning are more likely to mitigate risks associated with environmental regulations, changing consumer preferences, and market volatility. Sustainability initiatives, such as green technologies, energy-efficient practices, and carbon-reduction strategies, help companies comply with regulatory requirements and drive cost efficiency and operational resilience. Thus, sustainability integration into corporate decision-making must be positioned as a driver of long-term financial performance rather than an impediment to short-term profitability. By embedding sustainability into their corporate DNA, organizations can cultivate trust among investors, employees, and consumers, fostering long-term brand loyalty and mitigating the risks associated with environmental and social non-compliance.

Regulation is a critical factor in either facilitating or hindering the adoption of sustainability practices across industries. This study finds that global standards such as Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria, the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), and the Task Force on Climate-related



Financial Disclosures (TCFD) have become fundamental in guiding organizations toward responsible business practices. However, organizations face substantial challenges in harmonizing regulations across different jurisdictions, creating compliance complexities for multinational companies. The inconsistencies in sustainability reporting requirements across different regions make it difficult for businesses to align their sustainability strategies uniformly. Additionally, the high compliance costs of meeting sustainability standards often deter companies and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may lack the financial and human resources to integrate sustainability frameworks fully. The findings indicate that many organizations are now focusing on developing more flexible sustainability management systems that align with global standards, allowing them to adapt to evolving regulatory landscapes while maintaining operational efficiency. In response to growing regulatory pressures, businesses are increasingly embedding sustainability governance into their corporate structures, ensuring that compliance efforts are strategically integrated rather than treated as an external obligation. Regulatory frameworks must therefore be designed not only to mandate sustainability practices but also to incentivize companies to innovate and adopt sustainable business models without imposing excessive financial burdens.

Beyond regulatory compliance, digital technology is increasingly significant as a catalyst for advancing sustainability initiatives within organizations. The findings of this study indicate that technological advancements such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, Blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT) can revolutionize corporate sustainability by enhancing supply chain transparency, optimizing resource efficiency, and enabling real-time monitoring of environmental impact. Companies that leverage AI-driven analytics can gain deeper insights into their sustainability performance, allowing for data-driven decision-making and more effective sustainability strategies. Similarly, Blockchain technology enables traceability in supply chains, ensuring businesses can verify ethical sourcing and compliance with sustainability standards. However, while these technologies offer significant potential benefits, their adoption presents challenges, including high upfront costs, technical implementation complexities, and workforce skill gaps. Many organizations lack the digital infrastructure and expertise to integrate these technologies into their sustainability frameworks effectively. Therefore, companies aiming to harness the potential of digital transformation for sustainability must invest in employee training, infrastructure upgrades, and cross-functional collaboration to ensure seamless technology adoption. The findings suggest that businesses that proactively embrace digital transformation will be better positioned to achieve sustainability goals, reduce operational inefficiencies, and strengthen their long-term competitive position in the evolving business landscape.

Theoretically, this study aligns with Institutional Theory, which explains how external pressures, such as government regulations, industry norms, and stakeholder expectations, influence organizational sustainability strategies. Institutional Theory, as developed by Meyer and Rowan (1977), emphasizes that organizations often conform to external institutional pressures to gain legitimacy rather than solely pursuing efficiency-driven objectives. This theoretical framework is particularly relevant to understanding corporate sustainability practices, as many organizations integrate sustainability initiatives not solely for intrinsic strategic benefits but also in response to regulatory requirements and market expectations. A key concept within this Theory is coercive isomorphism, which occurs when organizations adopt sustainability practices due to legal and regulatory mandates rather than voluntary commitment. Many businesses, especially multinational corporations, implement ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) frameworks, carbon-reduction strategies, and sustainability reporting to



comply with government policies and avoid financial penalties or reputational damage. While compliance-driven sustainability initiatives help organizations meet regulatory standards, they often lack depth and long-term strategic integration. The findings of this study suggest that businesses that engage with sustainability beyond mere compliance and integrate it into their core business model tend to achieve greater competitive advantage and resilience. Thus, Institutional Theory provides a crucial lens for examining how organizations navigate the complexities of sustainability implementation in a dynamic business environment shaped by both external institutional forces and internal strategic decisions.

Compared with previous studies, this research aligns with prior literature emphasizing that regulatory frameworks and market pressures are primary drivers of sustainability implementation across industries. Research by Ashrafi et al. (2020) shows that organizations that integrate sustainability strategies into their operations tend to experience better long-term financial stability. This observation is consistent with the study's results, which found that companies that successfully balance economic objectives with sustainability commitments are more resilient to market disruptions and regulatory shifts. Bennett et al. (2017) also underscore the importance of transparent sustainability reporting systems, demonstrating how enhanced corporate accountability contributes to competitive advantage. This supports the study's findings, which highlight that robust governance structures play a crucial role in fostering sustainable business practices and ensuring compliance with evolving regulatory requirements. However, this study slightly diverges from its findings concerning technological barriers. While prior research suggests that digital transformation is a critical enabler of sustainability, this study identifies investment costs and skill gaps as significant obstacles to adopting sustainable technologies. This contrasts with the findings of Ding et al. (2024), who indicate that larger organizations are generally better able to integrate sustainable technologies due to their superior financial and human resource capacity. The results of this study suggest that while technological advancements offer immense potential to improve sustainability performance, many organizations—particularly smaller firms—face difficulties overcoming financial and structural barriers associated with digital transformation. These findings reinforce the need for targeted investments in skill development and digital infrastructure to ensure that sustainability-driven technological innovations can be effectively implemented across diverse organizational contexts.

The practical implications of this study emphasize the need for organizations to adopt a more strategic, holistic approach to addressing sustainability challenges. Rather than treating sustainability as a compliance-driven obligation, businesses should integrate sustainability into their core business models, aligning environmental and social responsibility with long-term financial performance. This requires a fundamental shift in corporate strategy, ensuring sustainability initiatives are embedded within operational frameworks, decision-making processes, and corporate governance structures. Companies must also invest in digital technologies that enhance supply chain transparency, optimize resource efficiency, and enable real-time monitoring of environmental impact. Blockchain, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics can significantly improve sustainability reporting, waste reduction, and energy efficiency, helping organizations meet evolving regulatory expectations and consumer demands. Workforce development is crucial in ensuring the successful implementation of sustainability strategies. Companies should invest in employee training programs that enhance digital literacy and sustainability competencies, allowing their workforce to adapt effectively to regulatory changes and technological advancements. From a policy perspective, governments and stakeholders must



collaborate to create regulatory frameworks that balance enforcement with support, preventing excessive compliance burdens while incentivizing sustainability-driven innovation. Additionally, multi-sectoral partnerships between private enterprises, academic institutions, and financial bodies can accelerate the adoption of scalable and impactful sustainability practices. Encouraging cross-industry collaboration in knowledge sharing, research, and financial support mechanisms can bridge the gap between sustainability objectives and practical implementation, enabling a more resilient and sustainable business ecosystem.

Conclusion

This study explores organizations' challenges in implementing sustainable development strategies within an evolving business environment. The findings reveal that the integration of sustainability is hindered by external factors, such as regulatory pressures and shifting market expectations, and by internal barriers, including financial constraints, organizational resistance to change, and difficulties measuring the impact of sustainability. The research also highlights the tension between financial performance and long-term sustainability commitments, emphasizing the need for businesses to develop strategic models that align economic success with environmental and social responsibility. Furthermore, the study identifies the role of technological innovation in advancing sustainability efforts while acknowledging the challenges of adopting digital solutions. In addition, the research underscores the significance of leadership adaptability and organizational culture in ensuring the effective implementation of sustainability initiatives.

This study contributes to academic knowledge and practical applications by comprehensively examining sustainability challenges and strategies. It extends Institutional Theory by demonstrating how coercive regulatory pressures shape corporate sustainability efforts while highlighting businesses' potential to move beyond compliance-driven approaches. From a managerial perspective, organizations must adopt a proactive, integrated sustainability strategy that prioritizes innovation, digital transformation, and human capital development. Policymakers should consider regulatory frameworks that support businesses in achieving sustainability objectives without imposing excessive compliance burdens. Moreover, fostering collaborations between the private sector, academic institutions, and financial stakeholders can further drive the adoption of scalable sustainability solutions, ensuring long-term resilience and competitiveness in a rapidly changing business landscape.

This study has certain limitations that future research can address. First, the research primarily focuses on theoretical and literature-based analysis, necessitating empirical validation through case studies, industry-specific examinations, or longitudinal research that tracks the impact of sustainability strategies over time. Second, while this study discusses the challenges organizations face, future research could explore the effectiveness of specific sustainability policies and leadership approaches in different sectors. Third, as the role of emerging technologies continues to evolve, further research should assess how businesses can overcome financial and technical barriers to digital transformation in sustainability initiatives. Future studies should also investigate how cross-sectoral collaborations among companies, governments, and non-governmental organizations can enhance the implementation of sustainability on a broader scale. By addressing these gaps, future research can provide deeper insights into the evolving dynamics of sustainable organizational development and offer more actionable strategies for businesses navigating complex regulatory and market landscapes.



References

Akinsola, K. (2025). The Role of Corporate Governance in Strengthening Compliance Frameworks. Available at SSRN 5126938. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5126938>

Annesi, N., Battaglia, M., Ceglia, I., & Mercuri, F. (2025). Navigating paradoxes: building a sustainable strategy for an integrated ESG corporate governance. *Management Decision*, 63(2), 531–559. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-2006>

Ashrafi, M., Magnan, G. M., Adams, M., & Walker, T. R. (2020). Understanding the conceptual evolutionary path and theoretical underpinnings of corporate social responsibility and corporate sustainability. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 760. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12030760>

Badmus, O., Rajput, S. A., Arogundade, J. B., & Williams, M. (2024). AI-driven business analytics and decision making—AI-driven business analytics and decision making. October. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.3093>

Baid, V., & Jayaraman, V. (2022). Amplifying and promoting the "S" in ESG investing: the case for social responsibility in supply chain financing. *Managerial Finance*, 48(8), 1279–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-12-2021-0588>

Baumgartner, R. J., & Rauter, R. (2017). Strategic perspectives of corporate sustainability management to develop a sustainable organization. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140, 81–92. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.146>

Bennett, M., James, P., & Klinkers, L. (2017). Sustainable measures: Evaluation and reporting of environmental and social performance. Routledge.

Brenner, B. (2018). Transformative sustainable business models in the light of the digital imperative—A global business economics perspective. *Sustainability*, 10(12), 4428. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124428>

Chen, Y., & Ren, J. (2024). How does digital transformation improve ESG performance? Empirical research from 396 enterprises. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 21(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-024-01011-2>

Ciuciuc, V.-E., Bunica, A., Biea, E. A., Treapat, L.-M., & Edu, T. (2025). Managerial insights on sustainable practices in today's business: mapping economic, social, cultural, and environmental dimensions and their organizational outcomes. *Kybernetes*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/k-07-2024-1997>

Damberg, S., Saari, U. A., Fritz, M., Dlugoborskyte, V., & Božič, K. (2024). Consumers' purchase behavior of Cradle to Cradle Certified® products—The role of trust and supply chain transparency. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33(8), 8280–8299. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3919>

Danishevich, D. D. (2024). Approaches to Assessing the Sustainability of Business Development. *Sustainable Development (IJFSD)*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.31149/ijfsd.v6i1.5163>

Ding, X., Sheng, Z., Appolloni, A., Shahzad, M., & Han, S. (2024). Digital transformation, ESG practice, and total factor productivity. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3718>

Dye, J., McKinnon, M., & Van der Byl, C. (2021). Green gaps: Firm ESG disclosure and financial institutions' reporting Requirements. *Journal of Sustainability Research*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.20900/jsr20210006>

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2012). The impact of a corporate culture of sustainability on corporate behavior and performance (Vol. 17950, Issue 1). National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge, MA, USA.

Escoto, X., Gebrehewot, D., & Morris, K. C. (2022). Refocusing the barriers to sustainability for small and medium-sized manufacturers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 338, 130589. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130589>

Gawusu, S., Zhang, X., Jamatutu, S. A., Ahmed, A., Amadu, A. A., & Djam Miensah, E. (2022). The dynamics of green supply chain management within the framework of renewable energy. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 46(2), 684–711. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.7278>

Huang, Z., Shi, Y., & Jia, M. (2024). Greenwashing: A systematic literature review. *Accounting & Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.13352>



Indriastuti, N., Prilliandani, N. M. I., Sutadji, I. M., Setiyaningsih, T. A., & Gunawan, A. (2024). Opportunities and Challenges: Implementation of Sustainable Business Practices in MSME's. Proceeding: Islamic University of Kalimantan. <https://doi.org/10.31602/piuk.v0i0.15423>

Jacqueminet, A. (2020). Practice implementation within a multidivisional firm: The role of institutional pressures and value consistency. *Organization Science*, 31(1), 182–199. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2019.1284>

Karneli, O. (2023). The role of adhocratic leadership in facing the changing business environment. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, 1(2), 77–83. <https://doi.org/10.61100/adman.v1i2.26>

Koberg, E., & Longoni, A. (2019). A systematic review of sustainable supply chain management in global supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 207, 1084–1098. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.033>

Kühn, A.-L., Stiglbauer, M., & Fifka, M. S. (2018). Contents and determinants of corporate social responsibility website reporting in Sub-Saharan Africa: A seven-country study. *Business & Society*, 57(3), 437–480. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650315614234>

Lahti, T., Wincent, J., & Parida, V. (2018). A definition and theoretical review of the circular economy, value creation, and sustainable business models: where are we now and where should research move in the future? *Sustainability*, 10(8), 2799. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082799>

Lyon, T. P., & Montgomery, A. W. (2015). The means and end of greenwash. *Organization & Environment*, 28(2), 223–249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026615575332>

Martínez-Ferrero, J., & García-Sánchez, I.-M. (2017). Coercive, normative and mimetic isomorphism as determinants of the voluntary assurance of sustainability reports. *International Business Review*, 26(1), 102–118. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2016.05.009>

Mehta, M., Pancholi, G., & Saxena, A. (2024). Organizational resilience and sustainability: a bibliometric analysis. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2294513. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2294513>

Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363.

Misztal, A., & Ratajszczak, K. (2025). Possibilities of Using Contemporary Quality Management Methods and Tools for the Sustainable Development of the Organization. *Sustainability*, 17(2), 617. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17020617>

Ogutu, H., El Archi, Y., & Dénes Dávid, L. (2023). Current trends in sustainable organization management: A bibliometric analysis. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 14(1), 11–45. <https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2023.001>

Oliver Yébenes, M. (2024). Climate change, ESG criteria, and recent regulation: challenges and opportunities. *Eurasian Economic Review*, 14(1), 87–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40822-023-00251-x>

Otero, H. J., & Castillo, J. P. A. (2025). La empresa como potencial sistema para el desarrollo regional sostenible y la paz: El Caso de FEDECOCO en la Costa Pacífica de Nariño. *Telos: Revista de Estudios Interdisciplinarios En Ciencias Sociales*, 27(1), 164–181. <https://doi.org/10.36390/telos271.13>

Parzhanova, A. (2025). Human resource management–sustainable practices. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 9(1), 1100–1110. <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i1.4325>

Purnomo, Y. J., & Ausat, A. M. A. (2024). The Role of Value-Based Leadership in Shaping an Organizational Culture that Supports Sustainable Change. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, 2(1), 430–435. <https://doi.org/10.61100/adman.v2i1.165>

Sewpersadh, N. S. (2023). Disruptive business value models in the digital era. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00252-1>

Shuang, Q., Lu, J., Wang, S., Callari, D., & Cucari, N. (2024). Exploring the power of informal institutions: How does social trust affect corporate's greenwashing strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 33(8), 9098–9115. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3964>

Shyam, & Job, D. (2023). Factors Influencing Sustainable Development of Healthy Organization: A Review. Available at SSRN 4474098. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4474098>

Simanjuntak, R. (2024). Navigating the Dynamics of Corporate Financial Management for Sustainable Growth. *Advances in Economics & Financial Studies*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.6007/aebs.v2i2.172>

Solal, I., & Snellman, K. (2019). Women don't mean business? Gender penalty in board composition. *Organization Science*, 30(6), 1270–1288. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2019.1301>

Tapscott, D., & Ticoll, D. (2003). The naked corporation: How the age of transparency will revolutionize business. Simon and Schuster.

Unruh, G., Kiron, D., Kruschwitz, N., Reeves, M., Rubel, H., & Zum Felde, A. M. (2016). Investing for a sustainable future: Investors care more about sustainability than many executives believe. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 57(4).

van Bommel, K. (2018). Managing tensions in sustainable business models: Exploring instrumental and integrative strategies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 196, 829–841. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.063>

Xu, X., & Sun, M. (2025). Digital Transformation, Internationalisation and ESG Performance of Multinational Companies. *Managerial and Decision Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.4496>

Yang, P., Hao, X., Wang, L., Zhang, S., & Yang, L. (2024). Moving toward sustainable development: the influence of digital transformation on corporate ESG performance. *Kybernetes*, 53(2), 669–687. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-03-2023-0521>

Yu, E. P., Luu, B. Van, & Chen, C. H. (2020). Greenwashing in environmental, social and governance disclosures. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 52, 101192. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2020.101192>

Corresponding author

Nurhidayah can be contacted at: nurhidayah@unismuh.ac.id

