

Evaluation of the Challenges of Implementing Sustainability and ESG Principles in Modern Corporate Financial Reporting

Herry Adi Setya Wibowo ^{1*} Ismail Noy ² Entar Sutisman ³

¹ STIE Yapis Merauke, Merauke, Indonesia. Email: herryadi275@gmail.com

² Universitas Yapis Papua, Jayapura, Indonesia. Email: noiismail@gmail.com

³ Universitas Yapis Papua, Jayapura, Indonesia. Email: entar.uniyap@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Submitted: December 16, 2025

Reviewed: December 30, 2025

Revised: January 16, 2026

Accepted: January 25, 2026

Published: January 31, 2026

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The author(s) declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to evaluate companies' challenges in implementing ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) principles in modern corporate financial reporting. It seeks to address critical questions about the primary obstacles to ESG adoption and strategies to overcome them, enhancing the effectiveness and reliability of reporting practices.

Research Method: A qualitative systematic literature review synthesized findings from recent academic and industry publications. This approach comprehensively examined the existing literature on ESG implementation, highlighting key challenges and potential solutions. The methodology focused on identifying patterns, comparing global practices, and linking theoretical frameworks with practical implications.

Results and Discussion: The study found significant challenges, including inconsistent ESG reporting standards, internal company resistance, and limitations in human and technological resources. It revealed that successful integration requires active stakeholder engagement, continuous training, and leveraging advanced technology for effective data management. The research also highlighted discrepancies in the adoption of ESG practices between developed and developing regions, noting that more robust regulatory frameworks in places like Europe facilitate better implementation than in regions facing regulatory and resource constraints. The findings emphasized the relevance of stakeholder theory in promoting transparency and accountability and the importance of fostering a culture that supports sustainability.

Implications: The study contributes to academic knowledge by expanding on existing theories and linking them to practical strategies for ESG implementation. For managers and policymakers, the research offers insights into designing adaptive frameworks and practices that cater to local and global contexts. Future research should include empirical studies to validate these findings and explore region-specific challenges for deeper practical insights.

Keywords: ESG reporting; corporate sustainability; regulatory challenges; stakeholder theory; organizational culture.

Introduction

The evaluation of the challenges in implementing sustainability and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in modern corporate financial reporting is driven by a growing global



emphasis on sustainable business practices. Growing awareness of environmental issues, social responsibility, and ethical governance pushes companies to go beyond traditional financial metrics and incorporate broader sustainability indicators (Epstein, 2018). This shift reflects an understanding that financial performance alone cannot assess a company's long-term viability or its impact on stakeholders. As stakeholders, investors, and regulatory bodies demand transparent and inclusive ESG practices, significant obstacles persist in effectively integrating these principles into financial reporting frameworks (Diez-Cañamero et al., 2020). There is a notable gap between the theoretical adoption of ESG principles and practical implementation across various industries. While companies acknowledge the importance of sustainability and responsible governance, integrating these principles into standard financial reporting often encounters barriers. The absence of globally standardized ESG reporting frameworks contributes to inconsistencies, making it difficult for stakeholders to evaluate and compare corporate sustainability efforts objectively (Bose, 2020). Additionally, challenges related to the availability, accuracy, and consistency of ESG data complicate the reporting process, particularly when such data must be sourced from diverse internal and external channels.

This research adopts stakeholder theory as its main framework. Stakeholder theory posits that businesses should create value for shareholders and all stakeholders, including employees, communities, and the environment (Schaltegger et al., 2019). Within this theoretical context, integrating ESG principles into financial reporting is viewed as a strategic endeavor to enhance transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement. This perspective provides a robust foundation for exploring why implementing ESG principles in financial reporting remains challenging and how to address these challenges effectively. Stakeholder theory also underscores the importance of corporate commitment to sustainability, influencing reputation and trust while supporting long-term performance. In corporate financial reporting, adopting ESG principles faces challenges, including data collection difficulties, regulatory uncertainty, and internal resistance (Chopra et al., 2024). Companies often struggle to gather comprehensive, reliable data that aligns with evolving standards and meets stakeholder expectations. Additionally, there is a significant gap in maintaining consistent ESG reporting across periods and regions due to varying regulatory requirements. While some jurisdictions have well-established regulatory frameworks, others remain underdeveloped, creating uneven standards and compliance challenges for multinational corporations (Akan, 2023).

Recent studies have focused on the challenges and opportunities of incorporating ESG principles into corporate financial reporting. For instance, Chopra et al. (2024) and Bezerra et al. (2024) highlight that the primary obstacles include a lack of interdisciplinary expertise, standardized performance indicators, and transparent regulatory guidelines. Bezerra et al. (2024) further detail that industries such as construction, especially in developing countries, face unique challenges, including organizational resistance and insufficient transparency. The introduction of new regulations, such as the European Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive, has underscored the need for better alignment between financial profitability and sustainability metrics (Yébenes, 2024). The integration of ESG practices requires companies to consider firm characteristics, earnings quality, and environmental performance as critical drivers (Khamisu et al., 2024). Moreover, stakeholder involvement, effective organizational coordination, and strategic planning are essential for overcoming these challenges and facilitating the adoption of ESG principles across various sectors (Chopra et al., 2024). Studies by Almeida Cruz and Matos (2023) indicate a significant difficulty in providing transparent, consistent, and comprehensive non-financial information.



The evolution of sustainability reporting has necessitated a shift within organizations that impacts their structures, professional practices, and regulatory frameworks, thus requiring new spaces, actors, rules, and professional capital (Lai & Stacchezzini, 2021). Young-Ferris & Roberts (2023) underscore the fundamental discontinuity between financial accounting inscriptions and ESG, raising questions about the feasibility and adequacy of integrating ESG into investment decision-making. Some proposed solutions include adapting traditional accounting systems to incorporate ESG disclosure requirements (Chopra et al., 2024), developing innovative software frameworks for assessing ESG maturity, and providing tailored action plans (Cruz & Matos, 2023). The urgency for standardized ESG reporting frameworks is underscored by recent efforts to develop comprehensive sustainability reporting standards, such as the International Sustainability Standards Board's global framework and the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (Zenkina, 2024). These initiatives aim to enhance corporate financial reporting by integrating sustainability impacts into value creation and supporting stakeholders with ESG-informed decision-making (Zenkina, 2024). Despite these advancements, challenges related to measurement, consistency, and internal resistance to change persist (Mubin et al., 2023).

Despite progress in studying ESG principles in corporate financial reporting, significant gaps remain between empirical findings and theoretical frameworks. Current research highlights challenges such as shortages of interdisciplinary expertise and inconsistent standards (Bezerra et al., 2024), yet practical application across industries and regions remains underexplored. While studies provide general insights into the challenges companies face, few examine sector-specific strategies for overcoming them. The impact of regulations such as the European Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive on non-European jurisdictions, as discussed by Yébenes (2024), also warrants further investigation. Theoretical models such as stakeholder theory support the integration of ESG by emphasizing value creation for all stakeholders, but comprehensive analyses of practical applications remain lacking. Young-Ferris & Roberts (2023) note the disconnect between traditional financial accounting and ESG but offer limited actionable strategies to bridge this divide. While the development of reporting frameworks has been prioritized (Zenkina, 2024), studies often overlook the infrastructure, technology, and training needed for effective implementation. Challenges highlighted by Cruz & Matos (2023) in achieving transparency and consistency in non-financial reporting are acknowledged, but scalable, practical solutions applicable across different industries remain insufficiently addressed. This underscores the necessity for research that conceptualizes and operationalizes ESG integration, closing the gap between theoretical models and empirical practice to achieve reliable and consistent corporate sustainability reporting.

This research offers a novel contribution by evaluating the challenges of implementing ESG principles in modern corporate financial reporting, an area often overlooked in prior studies. It emphasizes industry-specific barriers and provides a comparative analysis of global practices, which have yet to be extensively discussed. By integrating stakeholder theory with contemporary accounting practices, this research provides actionable insights to enhance the adoption of ESG principles across sectors. Focusing on these challenges and proposing solutions aims to bridge the gap between theoretical discussions and practical application, offering significant contributions to academic and practical perspectives. The primary research questions addressed are: What are companies' main challenges in implementing ESG principles in their financial reporting? How can these challenges be overcome to improve the effectiveness and reliability of ESG reporting practices across industries? Given

the complexity of embedding ESG frameworks into actionable business strategies, these questions are critical to explore. This research seeks to provide a comprehensive and strategic roadmap for companies and regulatory authorities to aid the effective adoption of ESG principles. This research aims to align corporate financial performance with sustainability goals and enhance stakeholder trust through improved transparency and accountability. By offering in-depth analysis and practical solutions, this study seeks to support the development of frameworks and practices that enable consistent, reliable, and widely applicable ESG integration. The anticipated impact includes strengthening the company's reputation and informing better decision-making processes for stakeholders, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable corporate environment.

Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

Complexity of ESG Integration in Financial Reporting

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into corporate financial reporting presents multifaceted challenges that extend beyond technical considerations and require substantial changes in organizational strategies, human resources, and technological infrastructure (Rehman & Umar, 2024). One of the most significant obstacles is the lack of interdisciplinary expertise within organizations. Effective ESG reporting demands a combination of knowledge in finance, sustainability, and technology. However, many companies face a shortage of professionals capable of integrating these areas seamlessly (Eccles et al., 2014). This skills gap hinders the development of comprehensive ESG reporting frameworks and results in fragmented disclosures that do not fully capture the company's impact (Ulvtorp, 2024). The need for specialized training and for recruiting experts who can bridge the gap between the financial and sustainability domains has become increasingly urgent.

Another major challenge is the absence of standardized performance indicators for ESG metrics. The lack of globally recognized reporting standards leads to inconsistencies, complicating comparisons of ESG performance across companies and industries (Adams, 2020). This lack of uniformity undermines stakeholder confidence and complicates investment decisions. The proliferation of ESG frameworks and reporting guidelines contributes to confusion and reduces data comparability, a point emphasized by studies advocating the establishment of universal standards to improve transparency and reliability (Flower, 2015). Establishing consistent global standards fosters stakeholder trust and facilitates more explicit ESG performance assessments (Cort & Esty, 2020). Data collection and the quality of ESG data further complicate the integration process. Companies frequently struggle to gather accurate and relevant data from various internal and external sources. This issue is often compounded by inadequate technological infrastructure that hampers efficient data processing and reporting (Christensen et al., 2021). The absence of robust data collection systems can lead to reporting inefficiencies and inaccuracies, undermining the credibility of ESG disclosures. The research underscores the importance of implementing advanced data management systems and leveraging technology to address these challenges, ensuring that ESG reporting is comprehensive and trustworthy (Widyawati, 2020).

Integrating ESG principles also requires significant adjustments in organizational structures and internal processes. Companies must adapt their systems and workflows to align with sustainability goals, which often require substantial investments of time and resources (Clark et al., 2015). This integration process is frequently met with resistance to change and requires a cultural shift within organizations. Studies highlight that organizational inertia and a lack of leadership commitment are primary barriers



to effective ESG integration (Stubbs et al., 2018). Overcoming these challenges involves fostering strong leadership, promoting clear communication, and embedding ESG considerations within the corporate culture. Successful companies often see long-term benefits in improved stakeholder trust and a more resilient business model (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019). Interdisciplinary expertise, standardized performance indicators, data quality, and organizational adaptation influence the complexity of integrating ESG principles into corporate financial reporting. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that includes developing specialized skills, establishing global reporting standards, enhancing data management capabilities, and cultivating a corporate culture that prioritizes sustainability. By tackling these issues, companies can enhance the reliability and effectiveness of their ESG reporting, fostering greater stakeholder trust and contributing to sustainable development.

Role of Stakeholder Theory in Driving ESG Adoption

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into corporate financial reporting has gained substantial attention recently, mainly through stakeholder theory. This theory underscores that companies have responsibilities not only to their shareholders but also to a broader array of stakeholders, including employees, communities, and the environment, and it promotes transparency and accountability as fundamental pillars of corporate practices (Freeman, 2010). The application of stakeholder theory in ESG adoption encourages companies to align their financial and strategic goals with the broader expectations of their stakeholders, fostering a more sustainable approach to business operations. Stakeholder theory serves as a vital motivator for adopting ESG practices, urging companies to assess the implications of their decisions on various stakeholder groups. This alignment ensures that companies integrate social and environmental considerations alongside their financial objectives. According to Jones et al. (2018), companies that embed ESG strategies into their operations often report more robust financial performance and greater stakeholder confidence. This connection reinforces the idea that a holistic approach to corporate governance, one that considers the diverse interests of stakeholders, can drive both ethical and profitable business practices (Mrabure & Abhulimhen-lyoha, 2020).

Active stakeholder engagement is essential to ESG implementation, as it ensures that the strategies developed meet societal and environmental expectations. Stakeholder literacy, which includes understanding and participation in decision-making processes, empowers companies to design more effective and sustainable ESG strategies. Research by Lokuwaduge & Heenetigala (2017) indicates that firms that involve stakeholders in the planning and execution of ESG policies achieve greater legitimacy and broader acceptance of their sustainability initiatives. The importance of such engagement lies in creating an environment where stakeholders feel represented and invested in the company's commitment to sustainability (Junior et al., 2014). Despite its benefits, applying stakeholder theory to ESG adoption poses several challenges. Companies may face internal resistance due to perceived costs and potential short-term impacts on profitability. Financial and human resources limitations can restrict the effective implementation of ESG practices. A study by Matten & Moon (2020) highlights that many organizations acknowledge the value of ESG but struggle with execution, mainly because aligning short-term business priorities with long-term sustainability goals requires comprehensive strategic planning and organizational commitment. The difficulty of balancing immediate financial pressures with broader sustainability objectives is a recurring theme in ESG integration efforts (Roolvink, 2024).



Companies may encounter barriers related to organizational inertia and a lack of leadership commitment, which can stymie progress toward effective ESG integration. According to research by Qiu (2024), leadership that prioritizes stakeholder engagement and sustainability can mitigate these challenges and foster a culture where ESG principles are embedded in everyday corporate practices. Clear communication and strategic alignment are essential for overcoming resistance and ensuring that ESG goals align with the organization's broader mission (Hörisch et al., 2020). The potential positive outcomes of adopting stakeholder theory for ESG implementation are considerable. Companies that successfully incorporate ESG principles often see enhanced stakeholder trust and loyalty, an improved corporate reputation, and more resilient long-term performance (Laine et al., 2021). For example, a meta-analysis conducted by Taliento et al. (2019) found a positive relationship between corporate sustainability practices and financial performance, demonstrating that ESG-oriented firms often enjoy a competitive advantage.

Need for Robust Regulatory and Standardization Frameworks

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into corporate financial reporting has become increasingly important in recent years. However, the absence of robust, standardized regulatory frameworks poses considerable challenges for companies seeking to implement effective ESG reporting. A significant issue is the lack of clear regulatory guidelines, which creates uncertainty for companies, particularly multinational corporations operating across multiple jurisdictions. This regulatory ambiguity complicates the determination of suitable reporting standards, leading to inconsistencies and challenges in comparing ESG disclosures across different companies and industries (Villiers, 2022). These disparities can undermine stakeholder trust and the credibility of ESG reports. Efforts to address these challenges have led to the establishment of several global initiatives to standardize ESG reporting. Notable among these are the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) and the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), which aim to provide comprehensive, consistent, and verifiable information to support stakeholders' decision-making (Scamans, 2024). The collaboration between frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) has also been a step toward more aligned ESG disclosure practices (Ibrahim et al., 2024).

Despite these advancements, companies still encounter substantial challenges in maintaining consistency and accuracy in ESG reporting. Variations in the interpretation of indicators, internal resistance to change, and technical difficulties in quantifying sustainability impacts persist as significant obstacles (Adams & Abhayawansa, 2022). These difficulties are exacerbated by the rapidly changing regulatory environment and various reporting frameworks, which contribute to inconsistencies in reporting and increased workloads for corporations (Siew, 2015). The lack of comparability among reports remains a pressing issue that undermines the reliability of ESG disclosures. The inconsistency in standards directly impacts stakeholder trust. Inconsistent or unclear ESG reporting can erode a company's credibility with investors and the broader public, potentially influencing investment decisions and damaging the company's reputation. According to Kotsantonis & Serafeim (2019), the reliability and comparability of ESG data are crucial for investors who rely on these reports to assess a company's commitment to sustainability. The absence of universal standards hinders stakeholders' ability to make well-informed decisions based on comparable, transparent data (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2019).



Regulatory solid frameworks play an essential role in fostering corporate transparency and accountability. Well-designed regulations enhance consistency in ESG reporting and support companies in overcoming reporting challenges and ensuring that the information presented is reliable (Christensen et al., 2021). The introduction of mandatory ESG disclosure regulations, such as the EU's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive, has underscored the importance of robust frameworks in promoting transparency (European Commission, 2022). These regulations require companies to present detailed, standardized ESG data, thereby pushing them to improve their reporting practices. Effective regulatory frameworks facilitate greater alignment across industries and borders, creating a more level playing field (Sun et al., 2021). This harmonization benefits companies and stakeholders by providing a consistent reporting approach, which improves the comparability of ESG disclosures across sectors. Companies that adopt these frameworks are better positioned to meet stakeholder expectations and build long-term trust (Hörisch et al., 2020).

Solutions and Approaches for Overcoming Implementation Challenges

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into corporate financial reporting presents complex challenges for companies seeking to establish reliable and comprehensive sustainability practices. Addressing these challenges requires a robust, multi-pronged approach that includes adapting traditional accounting systems, leveraging advanced technology, implementing continuous training programs, fostering a supportive corporate culture, and promoting stakeholder collaboration. Each component plays a critical role in ensuring the effective and consistent reporting of ESG data. A crucial element in achieving ESG integration is adapting traditional accounting systems (Chopra et al., 2024). To accurately report on ESG metrics, companies must modify existing financial frameworks to encompass sustainability indicators. Kurnia et al. (2024) demonstrated that traditional accounting systems often lack the necessary components to measure and track non-financial data, which is essential for ESG reporting. By updating methodologies to incorporate sustainability metrics, companies can ensure that their ESG data aligns with financial information and meets emerging regulatory standards. The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Foundation, through the development of the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB), highlights the need for consistent, global standards for ESG reporting (Elidrisy, 2024).

Technology has become increasingly important in supporting the collection and processing of ESG data. Advanced technological tools, including specialized software and artificial intelligence, enable companies to manage and analyze ESG-related information more efficiently. For instance, implementing ESG-specific data platforms allows companies to reduce the manual workload associated with sustainability reporting while increasing data accuracy (Yudatama et al., 2023). Solaimani (2024) showed that companies that utilize technology-driven solutions achieve higher efficiency in their ESG reporting, ultimately leading to more reliable disclosures. Continuous training for executives and employees is another critical component in overcoming ESG implementation challenges. Training programs that cover ESG fundamentals, data management, and the use of relevant technology are critical to ensuring that all organizational levels understand and can effectively implement ESG principles (Solaimani, 2024). Organizations investing in ESG training for their workforce see improvements in both the quality of their reporting and alignment with sustainability goals. This training is also essential for fostering a proactive approach to ESG risks, as it equips employees with the skills necessary to identify and respond to emerging sustainability issues (Rane et al., 2024).



Creating a corporate culture that supports ESG principles is equally essential. For ESG initiatives to be effective, they must be embedded within the company's strategic objectives and daily operations. Fostering a culture that prioritizes environmental and social responsibility has enhanced stakeholder trust and contributed to sustainable long-term performance (Han, 2024). When ESG principles are part of a company's core values, the commitment to sustainability extends throughout the organization, from leadership to employees. Studies indicate that organizations with a strong ESG culture are better equipped to implement sustainability initiatives and to adapt to regulatory demands (Zahari et al., 2024). Promoting stakeholder collaboration is also vital for successful ESG implementation. Collaboration among investors, suppliers, and regulatory bodies ensures that ESG strategies are comprehensive and responsive to the expectations of various stakeholders (Annesi et al., 2024). Engaging stakeholders in the ESG process can help companies develop more tailored and effective sustainability practices. For instance, working closely with investors on ESG-related goals has improved the scope and quality of corporate disclosures.

Research Method

This research employs a qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) to examine and synthesize existing literature on the challenges and solutions to implementing Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in corporate financial reporting. An SLR is an effective method for systematically collecting, evaluating, and integrating findings from multiple studies to develop a comprehensive understanding of a specific research topic. The design is chosen to identify gaps, consolidate knowledge, and propose new insights to inform future research and practice in ESG reporting.

The sample population for this SLR comprises scholarly articles, peer-reviewed journal articles, industry reports, and other relevant publications that focus on ESG implementation and reporting challenges. The study includes sources published from 2014 onward to ensure that the analysis reflects the most recent developments and trends in the field. Studies were selected based on their relevance to critical themes, including accounting system adaptation, the role of technology, training programs, corporate culture, and stakeholder collaboration. The inclusion criteria required that each source be credible, non-fictitious, and published in English.

Data was collected through systematic searches in academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Search terms included combinations such as "ESG reporting challenges," "corporate sustainability," and "qualitative review of ESG practices." Articles were screened based on relevance, quality, and contribution to the research questions. A standardized data extraction form was developed to collect pertinent information from each selected study, including study objectives, methodologies, findings, and conclusions.

Data analysis was conducted using thematic synthesis. The extracted data were coded, categorized, and analyzed to identify recurring themes and critical insights. Patterns were compared across studies to draw connections and highlight trends. The synthesis aimed to consolidate diverse perspectives and identify gaps in current knowledge, offering a structured overview of the main challenges and solutions associated with ESG implementation in corporate reporting. Findings were then interpreted to develop practical recommendations for companies and policymakers.

Results and Discussion



Analysis Results

Implementing ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) principles in corporate financial reporting presents numerous challenges that companies must navigate to achieve effective integration. This study identifies key challenges, including a lack of consistency in ESG reporting standards. Companies often face fragmented regulatory frameworks that vary significantly across regions, complicating the alignment of their reporting practices with global expectations. The absence of a unified approach leads to discrepancies in ESG data reporting, making it difficult for stakeholders to accurately compare corporate sustainability performance. These inconsistencies undermine stakeholder trust, as investors and consumers increasingly demand transparent and comparable ESG information (Chopra et al., 2024; Cruz & Matos, 2023). This challenge is particularly significant for multinational corporations operating across multiple jurisdictions, each with its own ESG requirements.

Industry-specific barriers also exacerbate ESG implementation challenges. Different sectors face unique challenges that affect their ability to integrate sustainability principles effectively. For instance, industries such as construction in developing countries may face organizational resistance and limited transparency (Bezerra et al., 2024), while service sectors may focus more on labor practices and social accountability. This variation underscores the need for tailored approaches that meet the specific requirements of different industries. Studies indicate that a one-size-fits-all approach to ESG reporting often falls short, leading to incomplete disclosures (Young-Ferris & Roberts, 2023; Lai & Stacchezini, 2021). This finding highlights the importance of developing sector-specific guidelines that enable companies to report relevant data more effectively. Stakeholder theory is crucial for understanding the dynamics of ESG implementation. The theory emphasizes that companies should consider the interests of a wide range of stakeholders, including employees, communities, and investors, aligning with ESG principles that prioritize transparency, accountability, and sustainable business practices (Freeman, 2010; Khamisu et al., 2024). Research shows that companies integrating stakeholder engagement into their ESG strategies are more likely to meet societal expectations and build trust (Chopra et al., 2024). Active stakeholder involvement in designing and implementing ESG frameworks ensures that company policies are comprehensive and align with the values and priorities of those impacted by corporate activities.

A comparative analysis of global practices reveals significant differences in how various regions approach ESG reporting. In Europe, the development of regulations such as the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) demonstrates a proactive stance toward embedding ESG principles in corporate reporting. This contrasts with other regions where regulations may be less comprehensive or inconsistently enforced (Yébenes, 2024). The European model emphasizes mandatory disclosures and standardized reporting, which foster greater comparability and transparency. However, the global impact of these regulations and their adaptation outside Europe remain areas requiring further examination (Zenkina, 2024). The fragmented nature of ESG standards worldwide continues to pose a barrier to consistent reporting, with companies in non-European regions often lagging in the adoption of rigorous ESG frameworks (Bezerra et al., 2024; Cruz & Matos, 2023). To overcome these challenges, several strategies have been identified as effective. One significant approach is to adapt traditional accounting systems to incorporate ESG metrics. This requires updating existing methodologies to include sustainability data, ensuring consistency and accuracy in reporting (Young-Ferris & Roberts, 2023). Technological advancements, such as AI-driven analytics and ESG-specific data management platforms, help streamline the collection and processing of ESG information. Such tools reduce manual



efforts, improve data quality, and enhance the reliability of disclosures (Cruz & Matos, 2023). Continuous training for executives and employees is also a key solution. Training programs covering ESG reporting, data management, and technology use can bridge knowledge gaps and ensure alignment with sustainability goals (Khamisu et al., 2024). Investing in human capital fosters a culture of sustainability within the organization, making it more adaptable to regulatory changes and stakeholder demands.

Fostering a corporate culture that supports ESG principles is essential for successful integration. Companies that embed ESG values into their core strategies and operations are better positioned to implement sustainable practices effectively. A culture emphasizing social and environmental responsibility can lead to long-term benefits, such as increased stakeholder trust and improved corporate reputation (Young-Ferris & Roberts, 2023; Khamisu et al., 2024). Research indicates that companies with strong ESG cultures are more responsive to external pressures and sustainability-related opportunities (Lai & Stacchezzini, 2021). Finally, collaboration among stakeholders is vital for advancing ESG initiatives. Engaging investors, suppliers, regulatory bodies, and community organizations ensures that ESG strategies are comprehensive and supported across the board (Chopra et al., 2024). This collaboration fosters innovation and aligns corporate actions with broader social and environmental goals, enhancing the overall quality of ESG reporting.

Discussion

This research reveals significant challenges companies face in integrating ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) principles into their financial reporting. One of the primary challenges identified is the lack of consistent reporting standards. Many companies, particularly those operating across multiple jurisdictions, struggle to align with appropriate ESG reporting frameworks. This inconsistency results in ESG reports that cannot be accurately compared across organizations, reducing trust and transparency in the eyes of stakeholders. The absence of unified standards complicates efforts to benchmark performance, making it difficult for investors and other stakeholders to effectively assess a company's sustainability efforts. This research highlights internal resistance as a substantial barrier to adopting ESG principles. Integrating ESG practices requires transformative changes in company culture and operational processes, which often face pushback, especially in firms accustomed to traditional methods. This resistance can stem from a lack of understanding or perceived misalignment between sustainability goals and business priorities. Cultural shifts are necessary as companies transition from a profit-centric model to one that incorporates broader ESG objectives. These changes can be slow and challenging without leadership commitment and buy-in at all levels.

Another crucial barrier is the limitation of human and technological resources. Many organizations lack skilled personnel with a comprehensive understanding of sustainability and ESG reporting, which hampers effective implementation. The shortage of trained experts capable of managing ESG-related data and the absence of robust technological infrastructure further complicate efforts to produce reliable, high-quality ESG reports. This insufficiency in human and technological resources means that data collection and analysis are often fragmented, reducing the overall efficiency and accuracy of reporting. The research findings suggest that companies attempting to overcome these challenges often resort to targeted employee training and adopting technology that facilitates ESG data processing. Technologies such as AI-based software have proven effective in enhancing data processing efficiency and ensuring the accuracy of reported information. These technological tools streamline data management and reduce manual workload, enabling more consistent and reliable reporting. This



observation supports the concept that leveraging innovative technology and investing in continuous training programs are critical to successfully embedding ESG principles into financial reporting practices.

A global comparison of ESG implementation reveals distinct differences in how developed and developing countries approach ESG reporting. In Europe, for example, the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) has pushed companies to adopt stricter and more consistent ESG reporting practices. This framework, supported by well-established regulations and infrastructure, facilitates comprehensive ESG reporting and has positioned European companies as leaders in sustainability efforts. The mandatory nature of these regulations enhances transparency and comparability, setting a benchmark for global best practices. Conversely, companies in developing nations face a different set of challenges. The regulatory environment in many countries is often unclear or lacks the robustness needed to support effective ESG reporting. Limited resources and underdeveloped infrastructure hinder the adoption of comprehensive reporting practices. The research findings underscore that these discrepancies create a significant gap between developed and developing regions in ESG reporting capabilities. Without adequate support and investment in regulatory frameworks and infrastructure, companies in developing countries struggle to meet the same reporting standards as their counterparts in developed regions. This gap underscores the need for adaptive approaches that account for local contexts and varying readiness levels across regions to implement ESG practices effectively.

Addressing these challenges requires tailored solutions. Companies can improve their ESG reporting by integrating more comprehensive training programs that educate employees and leaders about the value of ESG practices and how to apply them. These training initiatives should cover the technical aspects of ESG data management and the strategic alignment of sustainability with business objectives. Additionally, adopting technology solutions such as advanced data analytics and automated reporting tools can significantly enhance the efficiency of ESG reporting. These tools help organizations manage large volumes of ESG data, reduce errors, and ensure that reporting processes are transparent and reliable. The findings also highlight the importance of fostering a corporate culture that prioritizes sustainability. Companies that embed ESG values into their organizational culture are better positioned to overcome internal resistance and foster a unified approach to implementing ESG principles. Leadership is critical in setting the tone for a culture that values ESG integration. When leadership demonstrates a commitment to sustainability, it encourages buy-in throughout the organization and helps align efforts toward achieving shared ESG goals.

The findings of this study align closely with stakeholder theory, which emphasizes the essential role of stakeholder involvement in corporate decision-making. This theory posits that businesses are accountable not only to their shareholders but to a broader array of stakeholders, including employees, local communities, and environmental groups. The study underscores that companies actively engaging stakeholders throughout their ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) reporting processes tend to see more successful implementation of transparent, accountable sustainability practices. Such practices help build trust and strengthen the legitimacy of a company's sustainability efforts. This research supports the idea that a stakeholder-centric approach enhances a company's accountability and transparency, both of which are crucial pillars of effective ESG integration (Freeman, 2010; Lokuwaduge & Heenetigala, 2017). When stakeholders are included in meaningful dialogue and decision-making, companies are better positioned to address social and environmental concerns and align their strategies with broader expectations. This involvement fosters a corporate culture where ESG principles are integrated into core business operations, leading to long-term commitment and



sustainable practices. The findings highlight that stakeholder theory provides a comprehensive framework for companies to bolster their ESG initiatives, fostering more reliable, holistic reporting that resonates with diverse stakeholder groups (Perez-Batres et al., 2020; Williams & Brown, 2021).

These findings also resonate with prior research highlighting challenges in achieving comprehensive ESG reporting. For instance, Adams & Abhayawansa (2022) found that inconsistencies in reporting standards create significant barriers for companies striving for full transparency. This lack of standardization complicates comparisons and assessments of ESG disclosures across industries, limiting stakeholders' ability to make informed decisions (Khan et al., 2020). The importance of technology in enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of ESG reporting has been highlighted previously (Christensen et al., 2021), with studies showing that technological tools are indispensable for data collection and management (Garcia et al., 2022). The findings of this study align with these observations, emphasizing that investments in advanced technology are critical for effectively gathering, processing, and reporting ESG data. However, this study extends beyond previous research by exploring the underexamined issue of internal resistance within organizations. It notes that traditional corporate structures and mindsets can hinder ESG adoption and that addressing these barriers requires strategic cultural shifts (Stubbs et al., 2018; Rane et al., 2024). The study also recognizes the efforts of some companies to develop a culture focused on sustainability, an aspect that past literature has often overlooked. This new insight contributes to a more nuanced understanding of the multifaceted challenges of ESG implementation, emphasizing the importance of adaptive and proactive approaches that go beyond just technology and standard-setting (Devinney, 2020; Han, 2024).

The practical implications of this research are profound. Companies can leverage the findings to design strategic approaches for incorporating ESG principles into their financial reporting. By investing in advanced technologies and continuous training programs, companies can address the limitations of insufficient human and technological resources. Training initiatives that equip employees and executives with the knowledge and skills to navigate ESG reporting processes effectively can bridge gaps in understanding and execution. Furthermore, the findings suggest that organizations must rethink their internal processes and cultivate a culture that embraces sustainability. This cultural shift can mitigate resistance to change, fostering an environment where ESG practices are integral to the company's long-term strategy. Policymakers and regulators can also draw on these insights to develop more adaptable frameworks that support companies across regions, taking into account local economic and infrastructural conditions. Such frameworks could provide more explicit guidance on ESG reporting standards and expectations, enabling companies to comply more effectively. By implementing these practical solutions, organizations strengthen their reputation, enhance stakeholder trust, and set the foundation for more stable and sustainable financial performance. This approach positions companies to align better with global sustainability goals, ensuring competitiveness and relevance in an increasingly ESG-focused market.

Conclusion

This research has comprehensively examined companies' challenges in integrating ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) principles into corporate financial reporting. The study addressed vital research questions related to the main obstacles in ESG implementation, such as inconsistent reporting standards, internal resistance, and limited human expertise and technology resources. A qualitative analysis highlighted that companies that employ active stakeholder



engagement, leverage advanced technology, and foster a supportive corporate culture are better positioned to incorporate ESG principles effectively. This research also identified significant differences between developed and developing regions in ESG adoption, indicating that local contexts strongly influence the success of such efforts.

The value of this study lies in its contribution to academic literature and practical applications. From a scientific perspective, this research extends existing knowledge by emphasizing the importance of internal cultural shifts and stakeholder theory as foundational frameworks for enhancing ESG implementation. It also provides new insights into overcoming organizational resistance and the practical use of technology and training. For practitioners and policymakers, the findings underscore the need for targeted strategies, including continuous employee training and adaptive use of technology to streamline ESG data processing. Managers can use these insights to develop comprehensive plans that align financial objectives with sustainability goals, thereby improving transparency and strengthening stakeholder trust. The originality of this study is evident in its dual focus on theoretical underpinnings and practical approaches, offering a roadmap for effective ESG integration.

However, this study has limitations that should be acknowledged. The scope of the research was confined to a review of existing literature, which may not fully capture real-time data or emerging practices in ESG reporting. Additionally, the findings are based on secondary data, which limits the ability to validate results with empirical evidence from real-world case studies. Future research could address these limitations by conducting longitudinal studies that incorporate quantitative analyses and direct surveys of industry professionals to gain deeper insights. Researchers should explore region-specific challenges and solutions more thoroughly, especially in underrepresented sectors or developing economies. By expanding on these areas, future studies can build on the foundation laid by this research, providing more robust, actionable insights to advance ESG reporting practices.

References

- Adams, C. A. (2020). Sustainable development goals disclosure (SDGD) recommendations: feedback on. https://mail.scaak.org/uploads/files/2020/February/07/Adams_2020_Feedback-on-the-consultation1581066702.pdf
- Adams, C. A., & Abhayawansa, S. (2022). Connecting the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental, social and governance (ESG) investing and calls for 'harmonisation' of sustainability reporting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 82, 102309. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2021.102309>
- AKANG, A. U. D. O. M. (2023). Regulatory Compliance and Access to Finance: Implications for Business Growth in Developing Economies. *Scientia Journal of Education Humanities and Social Sciences*, 1(2), 8–23. <https://doi.org/10.62536/sjehss.2023.v1.i2.pp8-23>
- Annesi, N., Battaglia, M., Ceglia, I., & Mercuri, F. (2024). Navigating paradoxes: building a sustainable strategy for an integrated ESG corporate governance. *Management Decision*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-2006>
- Bezerra, R. R. R., Martins, V. W. B., & Macedo, A. N. (2024). Validation of Challenges for Implementing ESG in the Construction Industry Considering the Context of an Emerging Economy Country. *Applied Sciences*, 14(14), 6024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14146024>
- Bose, S. (2020). Evolution of ESG Reporting Frameworks BT - Values at Work: Sustainable Investing and ESG Reporting (D. C. Esty & T. Cort (eds.); pp. 13–33). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55613-6_2



- Chopra, S. S., Senadheera, S. S., Dissanayake, P. D., Withana, P. A., Chib, R., Rhee, J. H., & Ok, Y. S. (2024). Navigating the Challenges of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Reporting: The Path to Broader Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 16(2), 606. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020606>
- Christensen, H. B., Hail, L., & Leuz, C. (2021). Mandatory CSR and sustainability reporting: economic analysis and literature review. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 26(3), 1176–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-021-09609-5>
- Clark, G. L., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2015). From the stockholder to the stakeholder: How sustainability can drive financial outperformance. Available at SSRN 2508281. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2508281>
- Cort, T., & Esty, D. (2020). ESG standards: Looming challenges and pathways forward. *Organization & Environment*, 33(4), 491–510. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10860266209453>
- Cruz, C. A., & Matos, F. (2023). ESG maturity: A software framework for the challenges of ESG data in investment. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2610. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032610>
- Diez-Cañamero, B., Bishara, T., Otegi-Olaso, J. R., Mínguez, R., & Fernández, J. M. (2020). Measurement of corporate social responsibility: A review of corporate sustainability indexes, rankings and ratings. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 2153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12052153>
- Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The Impact of Corporate Sustainability on Organizational Processes and Performance. *Management Science*, 60(11), 2835–2857. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984>
- Eccles, R. G., & Klimenko, S. (2019). The investor revolution. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(3), 106–116. <https://mithconference.com/lander>
- Elidrisy, A. (2024). Comparative Review of ESG Reporting Standards: ESRS European Sustainability Reporting Standards" versus ISSB "International Sustainability Standards Board. *International Multilingual Journal of Science and Technology*, 3, 7191–7198. <http://www.imjst.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/IMJSTP29120998.pdf>.
- Epstein, M. J. (2018). Making sustainability work: Best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental and economic impacts. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351280129>
- Flower, J. (2015). The International Integrated Reporting Council: A story of failure. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 27, 1–17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2014.07.002>
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. Cambridge University Press.
- Han, B. (2024). RETRACTED ARTICLE: Fostering sustainability: integrating social responsibility, green finance, and corporate performance. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 57(2), 64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10644-024-09656-3>
- Hörisch, J., Schaltegger, S., & Freeman, R. E. (2020). Integrating stakeholder theory and sustainability accounting: A conceptual synthesis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275, 124097. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124097>
- Ibrahim, M., Auwal, B. M., Balarabe, A. S., & Isa, I. A. (2024). Sustainability Reporting Frameworks A Comparative Analysis of Reporting Standards and their Implications for Accounting and Reporting. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance and Administrative Research*, 1(2), 32–47. <https://ijafar.com.ng/index.php/ijafar/article/download/75/28>
- Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2019). Corporate sustainability: A strategy? Harvard Business School Accounting & Management Unit Working Paper, 19–065. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3312191>
- Jones, T. M., Harrison, J. S., Felps, W., Jones, T. M., & Harrison, J. S. (2018). How Applying Instrumental Stakeholder Theory Can Provide Sustainable Competitive Advantage Published by: Academy of Management Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article: You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references . H. 43(3), 371–391. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2016.0111>
- Junior, R. M., Best, P. J., & Cotter, J. (2014). Sustainability Reporting and Assurance: A Historical Analysis on a World-Wide Phenomenon. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 120(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1637-y>

- Khamisu, M. S., Paluri, R. A., & Sonwaney, V. (2024). Stakeholders' perspectives on critical success factors for environmental social and governance (ESG) implementation. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 365, 121583. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.121583>
- Kotsantonis, S., & Serafeim, G. (2019). Four things no one will tell you about ESG data. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 31(2), 50–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jacf.12346>
- Kurnia, I., Purwanti, A., Judijanto, L., Samiun, A. A., & Saripujiana, D. (2024). Integrating Esg Metrics Into Traditional Accounting Systems: A Framework For Future Practice. *Journal of Economic, Bussines and Accounting (COSTING)*, 7(6), 62–68. <https://doi.org/10.31539/costing.v7i6.12381>
- Lai, A., & Stacchezini, R. (2021). Organisational and professional challenges amid the evolution of sustainability reporting: a theoretical framework and an agenda for future research. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(3), 405–429. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-02-2021-1199>
- Laine, M., Tregidga, H., & Unerman, J. (2021). *Sustainability accounting and accountability*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003185611>
- Lokuwaduge, C. S. D. S., & Heenetigala, K. (2017). Integrating environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure for a sustainable development: An Australian study. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(4), 438–450. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1927>
- Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2020). Reflections on the 2018 decade award: The meaning and dynamics of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(1), 7–28.
- Mrabure, K. O., & Abhulimhen-Iyoha, A. (2020). Corporate governance and protection of stakeholders rights and interests. *Beijing L. Rev.*, 11, 292. <https://doi.org/10.4236/blr.2020.111020>
- Mubin, M., Utami, E. W., & Muhsyaf, S. A. (2023). Tren Penelitian Implementasi Prinsip ESG dalam Praktik Akuntansi: Systematic Literature Review. *Jurnal Sosial Ekonomi Dan Humaniora*, 9(3), 377–380. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jseh.v9i3.376>
- Qiu, K. (2024). Achieving Sustainable Development Through ESG Integration: A Comprehensive Analysis. 2024 10th International Conference on Humanities and Social Science Research (ICHSSR 2024), 116–122. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-277-4_15
- Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence driven approaches to strengthening Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria in sustainable business practices: a review. *Social, and Governance (ESG) Criteria in Sustainable Business Practices: A Review* (May 27, 2024). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4843215>
- Rehman, A., & Umar, T. (2024). Literature review: Industry 5.0. Leveraging technologies for environmental, social and governance advancement in corporate settings. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-11-2023-0502>
- Roolvink, G. J. (2024). Managing paradoxes in difficult times: Risk and opportunity for ESG. University of Twente. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2019.0348>
- Scamans, S. (2024). Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive's (CSRD) impacts on stakeholders: an analysis of the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS). <https://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi-fe2024052436368>
- Schaltegger, S., Hörisch, J., & Freeman, R. E. (2019). Business cases for sustainability: A stakeholder theory perspective. *Organization & Environment*, 32(3), 191–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026617722882>
- Siew, R. Y. J. (2015). A review of corporate sustainability reporting tools (SRTs). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 164, 180–195. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.09.010>
- Solaimani, S. (2024). From Compliance to Capability: On the Role of Data and Technology in Environment, Social, and Governance. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 6061. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16146061>
- Stubbs, W., Higgins, C., & Higgins, C. (2018). Stakeholders' Perspectives on the Role of Regulatory Reform in Integrated Reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 147(3), 489–508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2954-0>

- Sun, P., Doh, J. P., Rajwani, T., & Siegel, D. (2021). Navigating cross-border institutional complexity: A review and assessment of multinational nonmarket strategy research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 52(9), 1818. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-021-00438-x>
- Taliento, M., Favino, C., & Netti, A. (2019). Impact of environmental, social, and governance information on economic performance: Evidence of a corporate 'sustainability advantage' from Europe. *Sustainability*, 11(6), 1738. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11061738>
- Ulvtorp, H. (2024). Management practices and digital strategies for enhanced ESG reporting quality. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1875082>.
- Villiers, C. (2022). New Directions in the European Union's Regulatory Framework for Corporate Reporting, Due Diligence and Accountability: The Challenge of Complexity. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 13(4), 548–566. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/err.2022.25>
- Widyawati, L. (2020). A systematic literature review of socially responsible investment and environmental social governance metrics. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(2), 619–637. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2393>
- Yébenes, M. O. (2024). Climate change, ESG criteria and recent regulation: challenges and opportunities. *Eurasian Economic Review*, 14(1), 87–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40822-023-00251-x>
- Young-Ferris, A., & Roberts, J. (2023). 'Looking for something that isn't there': a case study of an early attempt at ESG integration in investment decision making. *European Accounting Review*, 32(3), 717–744. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2021.2000458>
- Yudatama, U., Dianto, I. A., ST, S., Kom, S., Ak, M., Fergina, A., Kom, S., Kom, M., Tisnawati, R., & Kom, S. (2023). *Sistem Enterprise di Era Digital: Inovasi, Transformasi, dan Keberlanjutan*. Kaizen Media Publishing.
- Zahari, A. I., Said, J., Muhamad, N., & Ramly, S. M. (2024). Ethical culture and leadership for sustainability and governance in public sector organisations within the ESG framework. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 10(1), 100219. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100219>
- Zenkina, I. V. (2024). Integrating sustainability factors into corporate financial reporting in the modern ESG agenda context. Part 2. <https://doi.org/10.24891/ia.27.6.606>

Corresponding author

Herry Adi Setya Wibowo can be contacted at: herryadi275@gmail.com

