Advances in Taxation Research, 3(1), 2025. 1 - 14
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60079/atr.v3i1.412

OPEN ACCESS
ISSN Online: 2985-7554

Advances in Taxation Research
https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/ATR

Tax Policies and Economic Growth: A Comparative Review

Mellisyah ✉

✉ *Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 99113, Indonesia*

Received: 2025, 01, 18 **Accepted:** 2025, 01, 30
Available online: 2025, 01, 30

Corresponding author.
✉ *mellisyah@unismuh.ac.id*

KEYWORDS

Keywords:

Tax Policies; Economic Growth; Fiscal Sustainability; Digital Taxation; Corporate Taxation.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The author(s) declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study examines the impact of various tax policies on economic growth through a comparative analysis of different taxation models, including progressive, regressive, and corporate tax structures. It aims to identify best practices in tax policy design that balance revenue generation, investment stimulation, and economic equity while addressing emerging challenges such as digital taxation and environmental tax policies.

Research Design and Methodology: The study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology, synthesizing existing empirical and theoretical research from peer-reviewed journals, policy reports, and economic analyses. The review focuses on comparative assessments of taxation policies across developed and developing economies, evaluating their role in fostering fiscal stability and economic expansion.

Findings and Discussion: The findings indicate that progressive tax structures contribute to income redistribution but may discourage investment if tax rates are excessively high. In contrast, regressive tax policies ensure stable revenue flows but disproportionately impact low-income groups. Corporate taxation influences foreign direct investment (FDI) and business competitiveness, requiring a balanced approach between fostering economic activity and ensuring adequate government revenue. The study also highlights gaps in digital taxation and environmental tax implementation, emphasizing the need for global cooperation and regulatory alignment to enhance tax efficiency.

Implications: The study provides strategic recommendations for policymakers to develop adaptive, data-driven tax policies that promote sustainable economic growth. It underscores the importance of fiscal transparency, digital tax administration, and well-structured tax incentives to enhance compliance and prevent tax evasion. Future research should focus on empirical analyses of taxation's long-term effects on economic development and the role of digital transformation in tax governance.

Introduction

Taxation has long been established as a cornerstone of economic policy, serving as a primary mechanism for government revenue generation and a strategic tool for economic regulation and social redistribution. In modern economies, taxation finances public expenditures and plays a pivotal role in shaping economic behavior, influencing consumption patterns, investment decisions, and labor market dynamics (Asimakopoulos et al., 2021). The theoretical foundations of taxation suggest that well-designed tax policies can enhance economic efficiency by fostering private-sector investment,

incentivizing entrepreneurial activity, and promoting innovation, all of which contribute to long-term economic growth. Conversely, excessive tax burdens can create economic distortions, disincentivize productivity, and impede capital formation, limiting a nation's growth potential (Kallianiotis, 2022). Governments worldwide strive to balance tax revenue collection with the need to maintain a competitive business environment, as taxation directly affects national economic competitiveness in a globalized marketplace. The increasing integration of economies and capital mobility have intensified tax competition among nations, compelling policymakers to reevaluate their tax structures to attract investment while ensuring fiscal sustainability (Wenjuan & Zhao, 2023). Despite these efforts, the relationship between taxation and economic growth remains complex and context-dependent, influenced by institutional quality, administrative efficiency, and broader macroeconomic conditions. While some nations benefit from low-tax regimes that stimulate economic dynamism, others rely on progressive taxation to address income inequality and finance essential public services. These variations highlight the need for a comparative analysis of tax policies to identify which fiscal strategies contribute most effectively to sustainable economic development across different national contexts.

Despite extensive research on the nexus between taxation and economic growth, significant gaps remain in understanding the effectiveness of various tax structures under diverse economic conditions. While some economies prioritize tax incentives to attract foreign direct investment and stimulate private sector growth, others emphasize progressive taxation to ensure equitable income distribution and bolster social welfare programs (Dorcas, 2023). These differing approaches reflect broader fiscal policy objectives extending beyond revenue collection to broader socio-economic priorities. Additionally, global economic shifts, including digitalization and environmental sustainability concerns, have introduced new complexities into the design and administration of tax policies. Issues such as multinational tax avoidance, digital economy taxation, and the role of carbon taxation in addressing climate change have reshaped the discourse surrounding taxation's role in economic governance (Nembe & Idemudia, 2024). However, existing studies have often adopted a fragmented approach, analyzing tax policy effects within specific economies or industry sectors without systematically comparing cross-country variations. The evolving nature of global economic challenges necessitates reevaluating traditional tax models to ensure they remain effective in fostering long-term economic growth (Brys et al., 2016). In light of these considerations, a systematic review of tax policies and their economic implications across various national settings is essential for providing a more comprehensive understanding of how fiscal strategies can be optimized to enhance economic performance while maintaining fiscal stability.

Recent studies emphasize the diverse role of tax policies in driving economic growth and post-pandemic recovery. Tax incentives have been found to stimulate innovation and corporate performance, though their effectiveness varies across firm sizes and industries (Peng et al., 2024). Environmental tax policies are also crucial in sustainable development and economic growth, with technological advancements as key drivers (Adanma & Ogunbiyi, 2024). Tax-based housing affordability policies, including incentives and subsidies, significantly impact job creation, household stability, and social cohesion (Akinsulire et al., 2024). Moreover, taxation has been instrumental in post-pandemic recovery efforts, facilitating revenue generation, wealth redistribution, and investment stimulation through targeted incentives (Syukur, 2024). However, policymakers must carefully design tax systems to avoid excessive burdens that may stifle growth. Effective tax policies require flexible structures, clear objectives, and strong internal management within businesses (Peng et al., 2024). Further research explores the intricate relationship between tax policies, environmental regulations, and economic growth. Tanzi et al. (2023) provides a historical review of evolving perspectives on taxation's role in growth. Progressive taxation and targeted income allocation reduce poverty and improve public services (Shettigar et al., 2024). Corporate taxation influences firm behavior and investment decisions, affecting foreign direct investment (FDI) and tax avoidance (Fuest & Neumeier, 2023). A study on Indonesia (1973–2019) identified a positive long-term relationship between tax revenues, government spending, and economic growth, though inflation negatively impacts these factors (Maulid et al., 2022). These findings highlight the complex interplay between

tax policies, fiscal expenditures, and macroeconomic stability, emphasizing the need for strategic fiscal planning.

Despite the extensive research on taxation and economic growth, significant gaps remain in understanding how different tax policies function across diverse economic structures. While previous studies have explored the role of tax incentives in stimulating corporate innovation (Peng et al., 2024) and their impact on sustainability (Adanma & Ogunbiyi, 2024), limited research systematically compares the effectiveness of tax policies across countries with varying fiscal capacities. The literature predominantly focuses on taxation in high-income economies, where tax policies are relatively structured and predictable, or low-income economies, where taxation challenges are often linked to weak institutions and low compliance rates. However, there is insufficient empirical analysis of how tax efficiency varies across different governance models, levels of economic development, and institutional frameworks. While studies highlight the interdependencies between tax revenues, government spending, and economic growth (Maulid et al., 2022), there is a lack of integrated frameworks that examine taxation's role in both short-term fiscal stability and long-term economic expansion. The interaction between corporate taxation, foreign direct investment, and multinational strategies remains underexplored, particularly concerning how businesses adapt their financial decisions to changing tax environments (Fuest & Neumeier, 2023). Moreover, with the rise of digital taxation and carbon taxation as policy tools, there is a growing need for empirical research on their effectiveness in promoting sustainable economic growth while mitigating environmental and social trade-offs. These gaps highlight the necessity of a comparative review that systematically evaluates the varying impacts of taxation strategies across different economic and institutional settings.

This study seeks to address the gaps identified in previous research by conducting a systematic literature review (SLR) to comprehensively evaluate the relationship between tax policies and economic growth across different national contexts. While existing studies have examined the role of taxation in economic development, they often focus on isolated country-specific analyses or sectoral tax implications, lacking a broader comparative perspective. This research aims to bridge this gap by systematically analyzing various progressive, regressive, and corporate taxation models across multiple economies. Doing so will identify common patterns, best practices, and policy pitfalls that impact economic growth. Additionally, the study will explore how taxation interacts with investment behavior, fiscal stability, and business innovation, particularly in response to emerging challenges such as digital taxation and environmental fiscal policies. Given global tax systems' increasing complexity and varying economic impacts, this study is structured around the following key research questions: (1) How do different taxation models influence economic growth across developed and developing economies? (2) What tax policy frameworks balance revenue generation with economic stimulation? (3) How do tax incentives and regulatory measures affect investment decisions, business innovation, and long-term economic stability? Addressing these questions will contribute to the broader discourse on fiscal strategies, offering insights that can assist policymakers in designing effective tax structures that foster sustainable and inclusive economic growth. By synthesizing existing empirical and theoretical studies, this research aims to provide a well-rounded analysis of taxation's role in economic development, highlighting the need for adaptable and growth-oriented tax frameworks in the evolving global economy.

Literature Review

Optimal Tax Theory

Optimal Tax Theory (OTT) is a fundamental framework for designing tax systems that maximize social welfare while minimizing economic distortions (Mirrlees, 1976). Stiglitz (1987) argues that an optimal tax system should balance equity and efficiency, ensuring that taxation supports public welfare without significantly discouraging productivity and investment. As conceptualized by Congleton (2024), OTT emphasizes that tax structures must be designed to generate sufficient revenue while maintaining fairness and minimizing adverse economic effects. This balance is crucial in addressing income distribution concerns without creating inefficiencies in labor supply or capital allocation. A key principle of OTT is the minimization of distortions in economic decisions, particularly in investment and consumption. Ding et al. (2024) highlight that poorly structured tax policies can

lead to capital misallocation, reducing overall economic efficiency. In contrast, well-designed tax policies can stimulate economic growth by encouraging productive investments and technological advancements. As Venâncio et al. (2022) illustrate, progressive tax systems that impose higher rates on top earners can promote income redistribution, but if implemented excessively, they may discourage entrepreneurship and innovation. Furthermore, tax simplicity and transparency are essential for compliance and administrative efficiency, ensuring that the tax system operates effectively without imposing undue burdens on businesses and individuals. In evaluating tax policy designs across different economies, OTT provides a structured approach to assessing how tax reforms influence economic stability, investment behavior, and long-term growth trajectories.

Optimal Tax Theory (OTT) underscores the role of well-structured tax policies in fostering economic growth by stimulating investment, innovation, and market efficiency. Akcigit et al. (2022) highlight that tax incentives targeting research and development (R&D) directly contribute to firm-level innovation and long-term productivity gains. These incentives encourage companies to allocate more resources toward technological advancements, enhancing national competitiveness. Similarly, Zwick & Mahon (2017) argue that tax provisions such as bonus depreciation have effectively stimulated corporate investment. Their findings suggest that businesses respond positively to tax policies that lower capital costs, increasing economic expansion and job creation. Beyond investment, OTT also emphasizes the importance of competitive tax incentives in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI). Hebous & Ruf (2017) demonstrate that tax systems incorporating attractive incentive structures significantly influence multinational corporations' investment decisions in specific regions. Countries with stable and predictable tax policies often create a conducive business environment that fosters long-term economic development. Moreover, as digitalization and climate change reshape global economies, tax policies must evolve accordingly. Ajmera & Nemane (2023) emphasize that well-designed carbon taxes aligned with OTT principles can facilitate the transition to a sustainable economy while maintaining economic stability. By integrating these perspectives, OTT provides policymakers with a strategic framework for designing tax systems that balance efficiency, equity, and economic growth in an increasingly complex global economy.

Tax Policies

Tax policy serves as a fundamental pillar of a nation's fiscal system, acting not only as a revenue-generating tool but also as a strategic mechanism for regulating economic and social activities. According to Yan et al. (2023), effective tax policy is crucial in achieving economic balance by influencing market behavior, promoting resource allocation efficiency, and ensuring sustainable growth. As globalization and digitalization reshape economies, tax structures must evolve to address emerging challenges, including the taxation of multinational corporations operating in borderless digital markets. Beer et al. (2020) highlight that the increasing complexity of the digital economy necessitates international tax reforms to prevent revenue erosion and ensure fair taxation. Beyond digitalization, environmental taxation has become a policy instrument to combat climate change. Su et al. (2023) argue that when designed according to theory, it effectively reduces taxation, causing greenhouse gas emissions while fostering investments in clean energy technologies. Similarly, Asawasakulkrai (2022) emphasizes that progressive tax policies can mitigate income inequality by redistributing wealth through social programs without significantly distorting economic efficiency. However, the success of tax policies in achieving these objectives heavily depends on administrative efficiency and compliance. Belahouaoui & Attak (2023) stress that transparent and well-administered tax systems enhance compliance rates, reduce tax evasion, and improve overall fiscal stability. Thus, a comprehensive understanding of tax policies, incorporating elements of economic regulation, revenue sufficiency, and technological adaptation, is essential for designing tax systems that align with modern economic realities.

Tax policies are essential for achieving multiple strategic economic and social objectives, including revenue generation, income redistribution, economic regulation, and macroeconomic stabilization (Lannai et al., 2023). According to Gangl (2020), an efficient tax system is fundamental in financing public expenditures such as infrastructure, healthcare, education, and national security, ensuring economic sustainability. At the same time, as highlighted by Tjan (2024), progressive taxation systems

reduce income inequality by redistributing wealth from high-income earners to lower-income groups through social welfare programs. This redistributive function is crucial in maintaining social cohesion and reducing economic disparities. Beyond redistribution, tax policies influence economic behavior by incentivizing or discouraging certain activities. Zhang & Guo (2024) argues that well-structured tax incentives for renewable energy investments can promote sustainability and encourage firms to transition toward greener technologies. Conversely, high excise taxes on goods such as tobacco and alcohol are designed to regulate consumption patterns and protect public health (Colon & Swagerman, 2015). Tax policies act as stabilizing mechanisms in times of economic fluctuation. Adams (1992) explains that governments can adjust tax rates to stimulate consumer demand during recession or curb inflation during economic booms. However, the effectiveness of tax policies depends on administrative efficiency and taxpayer compliance. Transparent, technology-driven tax systems enhance compliance and minimize tax evasion, optimizing national revenue collection and ensuring economic stability (Akepe, 2023). Thus, contemporary tax literature continues to evolve, addressing challenges such as multinational tax avoidance and the need for international tax regulations to enhance fairness and effectiveness in global taxation.

Economic Growth

Economic growth is a fundamental indicator of a nation's economic progress, reflecting improvements in production capacity, structural efficiency, and overall economic well-being. Lipsey et al. (2005) emphasize that economic growth is not merely an increase in output but also a transformation in the efficiency of production systems, enabling long-term sustainability and competitiveness. Measuring economic growth requires multiple indicators to capture various aspects of a country's economic performance. Gross Domestic Product (GDP) remains the most widely used measure, as it quantifies the total value of goods and services produced within a nation's borders (Coscieme et al., 2020). However, GDP alone does not fully represent a nation's economic health. Gross National Product (GNP) extends this measure by including the earnings of a country's residents from foreign investments, offering a broader assessment of economic activity beyond domestic production (Mankiw, 2021). Beyond aggregate production, living standards and income distribution must also be considered. GDP per capita, which adjusts total economic output by population size, provides a more accurate representation of economic prosperity and quality of life (Acemoglu, 2008). Total Factor Productivity (TFP) is crucial in measuring economic efficiency and assessing how effectively labor and capital are utilized in production (Xu et al., 2020). Despite the significance of these indicators, challenges persist in accurately capturing economic growth due to limitations in data collection, policy-induced distortions, and external shocks such as global financial crises and geopolitical instability (Akpan, 2019). Policymakers must, therefore, adopt a more holistic and dynamic approach to economic measurement to ensure effective macroeconomic strategies that promote long-term growth, sustainability, and resilience in an increasingly uncertain global economy.

Economic growth is fundamentally influenced by several key factors that determine a nation's expansion and economic prosperity. Sukirno (2006) asserts that capital accumulation, including infrastructure, technology, and education investments, is crucial in increasing production capacity and improving economic efficiency. Beyond physical capital, technological innovation is a major driver of economic transformation, enabling firms to enhance productivity and create competitive industries (Hanna, 2009). Moreover, a well-trained and expanding labor force significantly contributes to national output, ensuring that human capital remains pivotal in sustaining economic momentum (Mankiw, 2021). Institutional quality also plays a crucial role in fostering a stable investment climate. Boediono (2018) emphasizes that adequate legal and regulatory frameworks, including substantial property rights and business-friendly regulations, create an environment conducive to long-term economic stability and growth. Globalization and international trade also allow nations to specialize in their comparative advantages, increasing market access and enhancing global competitiveness (Mamasoliev, 2024). Various economic models have attempted to explain these growth dynamics. The Solow-Swan Growth Model highlights the significance of capital accumulation and technological advancements. In contrast, the Endogenous Growth Model developed by Romer and Lucas underscores the importance of investments in human capital and innovation. Meanwhile, the Keynesian Growth Model emphasizes

the role of fiscal and monetary policies in stabilizing aggregate demand and maintaining economic resilience (Arestis, 2022). Understanding these frameworks enables policymakers to design effective strategies that promote sustainable, inclusive, and globally competitive economic growth.

Research Design and Methodology

Study Design

This study employs a qualitative research approach using the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to explore the relationship between tax policies and economic growth. The SLR method allows for a structured and rigorous examination of existing literature, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. This study aims to identify key patterns, theoretical perspectives, and research gaps in the field by synthesizing findings from multiple sources. The research does not rely on primary data. Instead, it utilizes secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and policy reports, to develop a well-informed discussion on tax policies and their economic implications.

Sample Population or Subject of Research

The research focuses on academic literature that examines tax policies and their effects on economic growth. The sample population consists of empirical and theoretical studies published in high-quality academic sources such as Elsevier, Emerald, Wiley, and Springer, as well as reputable SINTA-indexed journals. The inclusion criteria require that selected studies be published after 2018, ensuring that the research reflects current trends and policy developments. The review considers explicitly studies discussing corporate taxation, progressive and regressive tax systems, tax incentives, and economic growth models. Studies that do not provide relevant empirical or theoretical insights into taxation and economic development are excluded.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

The data collection process involves systematic searches of academic databases using relevant keywords, including “tax policies,” “economic growth,” “fiscal policy,” and “systematic literature review.” The search strategy involves filtering articles based on their titles, abstracts, and methodological approaches to ensure their relevance to the research objectives. Each selected study is categorized according to its taxation focus, such as tax structure, investment incentives, or macroeconomic stability. Additionally, bibliographic analysis is conducted to identify key contributors and influential studies in the field.

Data Analysis Techniques

This study employs thematic analysis to identify the selected literature's recurring themes, theoretical perspectives, and policy implications. A comparative approach is used to assess variations in tax policy effectiveness across different economic contexts, highlighting best practices and common challenges. The analysis also considers how different taxation models influence investment decisions, economic growth, and social equity. The findings are systematically presented in a structured narrative, emphasizing critical insights and recommendations for future research and policymaking.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

The impact of various tax structures on economic growth is a critical consideration in designing fiscal policies that support sustainable development. Progressive taxation, characterized by higher rates imposed on higher-income earners, is often associated with wealth redistribution and social equity. By taxing the wealthy at higher rates, governments aim to reduce income inequality and provide funding for public goods and services, such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure (Tanzi et al., 2023). However, excessively high tax rates on top earners can lead to capital flight, lower investment levels, and reduced incentives for entrepreneurship and innovation. Entrepreneurs and investors may relocate to jurisdictions with lower tax burdens, eroding the domestic tax base and

slower economic expansion. In contrast, regressive tax models, such as value-added and consumption taxes (VAT), impose a more considerable relative burden on lower-income households. While these taxes are relatively easy to administer and provide a stable revenue stream, they can reduce disposable income and dampen consumer spending, a key driver of economic growth (Adanma & Ogunbiyi, 2024). On the other hand, corporate taxes directly affect business profitability and investment decisions. High corporate tax rates can deter foreign direct investment (FDI) and limit corporate expansion. In contrast, lower corporate taxes may stimulate business growth but at the cost of reduced government revenue (Fuest & Neumeier, 2023). Thus, the challenge lies in striking an optimal balance where tax policies promote economic growth, encourage investment, and maintain adequate public revenue.

The relationship between tax policies and investment decisions is complex, as businesses and investors consider tax burdens when making long-term financial commitments. Governments employ tax incentives, such as research and development (R&D) tax credits, investment allowances, and capital depreciation benefits, to encourage private-sector investment and foster innovation. These incentives are particularly relevant in technology-intensive industries, where R&D investment enhances productivity and global competitiveness (Akcigit et al., 2022). However, the effectiveness of tax incentives depends on their design and implementation. Poorly structured incentives may lead to revenue leakage, corporate tax avoidance, and market distortions. While tax relief programs may boost short-term investment, they must be carefully calibrated to avoid excessive fiscal deficits and unsustainable government debt. Countries that maintain stable and predictable tax policies tend to attract higher levels of FDI, as investors seek fiscal environments that provide clarity, stability, and long-term predictability (Congleton, 2024). Moreover, tax policies must ensure equitable tax burdens across different economic sectors, preventing over-reliance on specific industries while promoting diversified economic growth. Over-taxation of capital and business profits can discourage reinvestment and expansion, leading to lower job creation and economic stagnation. In contrast, excessively lenient corporate taxation can result in insufficient public revenue for social programs and infrastructure projects. Therefore, well-balanced tax policies are essential to fostering investment, maintaining fiscal discipline, and supporting long-term economic stability.

The global economy is rapidly digitalizing, creating new tax administration and enforcement challenges. Traditional tax systems were designed for brick-and-mortar businesses. However, digital multinational corporations (MNCs) operate across multiple jurisdictions, often minimizing their tax liabilities through profit shifting and tax havens (Nembe & Idemudia, 2024). In response, many countries have introduced digital services taxes (DSTs) to ensure fair taxation of online business revenues. While these measures can help increase government revenue, they may discourage cross-border digital trade and innovation. The debate on international digital taxation frameworks, including the OECD's global minimum tax proposal, highlights the complexities of aligning tax policies across legal and economic systems. Similarly, environmental concerns have led to adopting carbon taxes and green levies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote sustainable business practices (Ajmera & Nemane, 2023). Carbon taxation seeks to internalize the external costs of pollution, providing economic incentives for companies to transition to cleaner energy sources and reduce carbon footprints. However, the success of environmental taxation depends on how revenues are reinvested into sustainability initiatives, such as renewable energy infrastructure and carbon offset programs. While higher environmental taxes can accelerate green transitions, they may also increase business production costs, potentially leading to inflationary pressures and reduced industrial competitiveness. Thus, governments must implement environmentally conscious tax policies that balance sustainability with economic resilience.

Tax policies must balance revenue generation, investment incentives, and social equity to achieve sustainable and inclusive economic growth. Progressive taxation can help address income inequality and fund essential public services, but if set too high, it may reduce work incentives and capital mobility. Similarly, corporate taxes influence investment climates, where high tax burdens can deter entrepreneurial ventures and foreign investments, while lower tax rates risk shrinking public sector revenue and increasing fiscal deficits (Fuest & Neumeier, 2023). Though effective in ensuring stable government revenue, Progressive taxes can disproportionately burden lower-income groups, reducing

overall consumer spending and economic dynamism. Policymakers must design data-driven and adaptable tax systems that respond to evolving economic conditions while maintaining public confidence and compliance. The findings suggest that economies with well-calibrated tax policies—encouraging business expansion, supporting public infrastructure, and promoting equity—experience higher long-term economic growth and greater fiscal sustainability (Congleton, 2024). Adopting modern tax administration technologies, such as digital tax filing systems and AI-driven tax compliance monitoring, can enhance efficiency, reduce tax evasion, and optimize revenue collection (Akepe, 2023). Ultimately, governments must integrate progressive tax structures with corporate and environmental tax policies, ensuring that taxation serves not only as a mechanism for state revenue generation but also as a strategic tool for fostering innovation, economic resilience, and long-term growth.

Discussion

Tax policies play a crucial role in shaping short- and long-term economic growth. The findings of this study indicate that different taxation models adopted by various countries yield varying outcomes in terms of economic expansion and fiscal stability. Tax structures affect government revenue and influence consumption patterns, investment decisions, and industrial competitiveness. Progressive tax policies, which impose higher tax rates on high-income earners, are often associated with more significant income redistribution and social equity. However, their implementation is not without challenges, as excessively high tax rates may discourage investment and entrepreneurship, leading to potential economic stagnation. In contrast, regressive tax systems, such as value-added tax (VAT) and other consumption-based taxes, offer governments a stable and predictable revenue stream but disproportionately affect lower-income households by reducing their purchasing power. Therefore, while progressive taxation promotes social fairness and regressive taxation ensures fiscal stability, the optimal tax system must balance equity and efficiency. Countries that successfully navigate this balance tend to experience more sustainable economic growth without exacerbating income inequality or discouraging private-sector expansion.

Another critical finding of this study pertains to the impact of corporate taxation on investment and business expansion. Countries with high corporate tax rates often struggle to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) and stimulate domestic business growth. While higher corporate taxes can increase public revenues, they may also reduce firms' profitability and investment potential, discouraging economic expansion. On the other hand, countries with lower corporate tax rates tend to create a more business-friendly environment, attracting foreign investors and promoting industrial growth. However, this approach is not without drawbacks, as significantly lower corporate tax rates can lead to a decline in public revenue, limiting a government's ability to finance essential public services such as infrastructure, healthcare, and education. The findings emphasize that an optimal corporate tax rate should balance the need for sufficient government revenue while maintaining a competitive investment climate. Policymakers must carefully assess the trade-offs between stimulating private-sector growth and ensuring adequate fiscal resources for long-term economic stability. A well-structured corporate tax system can catalyze investment while safeguarding national financial sustainability.

This study highlights the strategic role of tax incentives in fostering investment, entrepreneurship, and innovation. Several countries have successfully utilized targeted tax incentives to stimulate research and development (R&D), leading to increased technological advancements and economic competitiveness. Firms that benefit from R&D tax credits tend to invest more in innovation, contributing to higher productivity and national economic performance. Similarly, tax incentives for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) have proven instrumental in enhancing business sustainability, job creation, and overall economic expansion. However, the study also underscores that poorly designed or overly generous tax incentives can lead to unintended consequences, such as revenue losses for the government and an uneven distribution of tax benefits across economic sectors. Some countries have faced challenges where tax incentives have resulted in excessive fiscal deficits due to inadequate tax collection from large businesses. Thus, while tax incentives can be powerful tools to stimulate investment, their effectiveness depends on precise targeting and periodic evaluation

to ensure they achieve their intended economic objectives without undermining the government's fiscal capacity. The results suggest that tax incentive programs should be carefully structured, transparent, and continuously assessed to optimize economic benefits while maintaining fiscal discipline.

The findings also reveal that digital taxation remains a pressing challenge for governments worldwide. As the global economy increasingly shifts toward digital platforms, traditional tax frameworks struggle to capture revenues from multinational technology companies that operate across multiple jurisdictions. Many tech giants take advantage of regulatory gaps by shifting profits to low-tax jurisdictions, reducing their tax liabilities in the countries where they generate significant revenue. Several nations have implemented a Digital Services Tax (DST) to ensure that digital enterprises contribute somewhat to national tax revenues. However, the effectiveness of DST policies varies significantly across countries, with some experiencing resistance from major tech companies and international trade organizations. The study indicates that while digital taxation is necessary to address modern economic realities, its implementation must be coordinated globally to prevent tax evasion and minimize economic distortions. Without a unified approach, digital taxation policies may create conflicts between countries, leading to trade disputes and regulatory fragmentation. The research findings suggest that international cooperation, such as initiatives led by the OECD for a global minimum tax on digital businesses, is crucial to ensuring fair and efficient digital taxation. Establishing standardized regulations for digital tax policies will be essential in maintaining economic fairness while preventing revenue losses due to tax avoidance strategies employed by large multinational corporations.

The study examines the growing importance of environmental taxation, particularly carbon taxes, in addressing climate change while promoting economic sustainability. Carbon taxes have emerged as a critical tool for incentivizing companies to adopt cleaner technologies and transition toward more sustainable business practices. Countries implementing well-structured carbon tax policies have witnessed positive environmental and economic outcomes, as firms are encouraged to invest in renewable energy sources and energy-efficient production processes. However, the study also highlights that stringent carbon tax regulations can pose challenges for industries that rely heavily on fossil fuels, potentially increasing production costs and reducing global competitiveness. Policymakers must carefully balance the need for environmental protection with economic stability, ensuring that carbon taxes do not stifle industrial growth or disproportionately burden low-income consumers. The research findings emphasize that the most successful carbon tax models reinvest tax revenues into green energy projects, technological innovation, and public infrastructure improvements. By doing so, governments can mitigate the adverse economic effects of carbon taxation while accelerating the transition to a low-carbon economy. Moreover, the study suggests that international collaboration in environmental taxation is crucial, as uncoordinated carbon tax policies can create market distortions and encourage companies to relocate operations to countries with weaker environmental regulations. Therefore, a well-designed carbon tax policy should be complemented by broader sustainability initiatives, providing long-term benefits for both economic and environmental goals.

From the economic theory perspective, this study's findings align with the Optimal Tax Theory (OTT) principles, which emphasize the need to balance economic efficiency with equity in taxation. Developed by Mirrlees (1976), OTT suggests that an ideal tax system should generate sufficient government revenue while minimizing distortions in economic behavior. The core premise of this theory is that taxation should not excessively disincentivize productive activities such as labor participation, investment, and entrepreneurship. Instead, it should be structured to ensure equitable wealth distribution while maintaining incentives for economic growth. In line with OTT, the study highlights the importance of designing tax policies that do not impose excessive burdens on businesses and individuals, thereby preventing capital flight, tax avoidance, and reduced economic participation. While successful in promoting income redistribution, countries implementing highly progressive tax rates may inadvertently discourage high earners and corporations from investing in innovation and job creation. Conversely, regressive tax structures, such as broad consumption taxes, may provide stable revenue streams but disproportionately impact low-income groups, potentially exacerbating economic inequality. Thus, the findings of this research reinforce OTT's assertion that an optimal tax system

must carefully calibrate tax rates and structures to achieve fiscal sustainability and economic growth. Governments must continuously evaluate tax policies to adapt to evolving economic conditions, ensuring they remain effective and equitable in the long run.

Compared to previous research, the findings of this study demonstrate consistency with several earlier studies that have explored the relationship between tax policies and economic growth. For instance, the study conducted by Tanzi et al. (2023) highlights that progressive taxation plays a crucial role in reducing income inequality by redistributing wealth from high-income earners to lower-income groups. However, their findings also emphasize that excessively high progressive tax rates can have unintended consequences, such as discouraging investment and reducing overall productivity by diminishing incentives for innovation and entrepreneurship. This aligns with the current study's findings, which suggest that while progressive tax systems contribute to economic equity, they must be carefully designed to avoid hampering private-sector growth. The research by Fuest and Neumeier (2023) corroborates the finding that high corporate tax rates can deter foreign direct investment (FDI). Their study indicates that multinational corporations are more likely to shift operations to countries with lower corporate tax burdens, which, in turn, enhances business competitiveness but may lead to reduced tax revenues for host countries. These conclusions are consistent with the findings of this study, which highlight the trade-offs associated with corporate taxation and the need for a balanced approach. Furthermore, Maulid et al. (2022) underscore the importance of maintaining fiscal balance, asserting that effective government spending can enhance tax revenues and support long-term economic growth. However, this study also identifies areas where further research is needed, particularly concerning digital taxation and carbon taxation.

In practical terms, the findings of this study offer valuable recommendations for policymakers in designing a more adaptive and growth-oriented tax system that supports sustainable economic development. One key implication is a more flexible and data-driven tax policy, enabling governments to adjust tax rates and structures in response to evolving global economic conditions. Given the increasing complexity of modern economies, tax policies should be designed with built-in adaptability to accommodate macroeconomic fluctuations, shifts in investment trends, and technological advancements. Moreover, the study underscores the importance of targeted tax incentives that foster investment and innovation without jeopardizing fiscal stability. While tax incentives can stimulate economic activity, indiscriminate or overly generous incentives can lead to revenue losses, weakening the government's ability to fund essential public services. Therefore, policymakers should carefully evaluate the cost-benefit ratio of tax incentives and ensure that they contribute effectively to long-term economic growth. In digital taxation, more transparent regulatory frameworks and enhanced international coordination are required to prevent multinational corporations from exploiting jurisdictional differences to evade tax obligations. Many technology-based firms generate substantial revenues in multiple countries yet manage to minimize their tax liabilities through profit shifting. Addressing this issue necessitates a harmonized global approach to ensure digital businesses contribute much to public revenue. Additionally, in tackling environmental taxation, policymakers must implement strategic policies that promote a transition toward a green economy without overburdening domestic industries. A well-structured carbon tax policy should incentivize companies to invest in renewable energy and environmentally friendly technologies while mitigating potential negative economic impacts.

Conclusion

This study has examined the intricate relationship between tax policies and economic growth through a comparative review of different taxation models and their economic implications. The findings highlight that tax structures—whether progressive, regressive, or corporate taxation—play a significant role in shaping economic expansion, investment decisions, and fiscal stability. The study underscores that progressive taxation can contribute to income redistribution but may deter high-income earners and investors if the rates are excessively high. In contrast, regressive taxation, such as VAT, ensures a stable revenue stream but disproportionately affects lower-income groups. Similarly, corporate tax policies influence foreign direct investment (FDI) and business competitiveness, requiring a delicate balance between maintaining government revenues and fostering a favorable

business climate. Moreover, digital taxation and environmental taxes have emerged as critical yet complex fiscal tools, necessitating global cooperation and strategic policy frameworks to ensure their effectiveness.

Beyond its contribution to tax policy analysis, this study provides significant academic and practical value by offering a nuanced perspective on how taxation systems can be optimized to support sustainable economic growth. Unlike prior research that often focused on isolated national case studies, this study presents a comparative approach, identifying common trends and challenges across different economies. The findings serve as a valuable resource for policymakers, providing recommendations on how to design tax policies that are flexible, data-driven, and aligned with modern economic realities. From a managerial perspective, the study emphasizes the importance of tax incentives in driving business innovation and investment while stressing the need for robust tax administration mechanisms to prevent evasion and revenue leakage. The insights gained from this research contribute to the broader discourse on fiscal sustainability and economic resilience, guiding decision-makers toward more effective and equitable tax policies.

Despite its contributions, this study is not without limitations. First, the study relies on secondary data from various sources, which may introduce biases in interpretation and analysis. Second, while the research explores global tax trends, it does not delve into country-specific economic conditions that may influence the effectiveness of tax policies differently. Future research should conduct in-depth empirical analyses using quantitative models to measure the direct causal relationship between tax policy reforms and economic performance. Additionally, given the evolving nature of digital and environmental taxation, further studies should investigate the long-term effects of these fiscal policies across different regulatory environments. Future research should also explore how technology-driven tax administration systems can enhance compliance and efficiency, providing deeper insights into the role of digital transformation in tax governance. By addressing these gaps, future studies can contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of taxation's impact on global economic dynamics, ultimately shaping more informed and adaptive fiscal policies.

References

Acemoglu, D. (2008). Introduction to modern economic growth. Princeton university press.

Adams, C. (1992). For good and evil: The impact of taxes on the course of civilization. Madison Books.

Adanma, U. M., & Ogunbiyi, E. O. (2024). A comparative review of global environmental policies for promoting sustainable development and economic growth. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6(5), 954–977. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i5.1147

Ajmera, T., & Nemane, V. (2023). Carbon Tax: Moving Towards a Net-Zero Emissions Future. https://doi.org/10.18601/01236458.n57.07

Akcigit, U., Hanley, D., & Stantcheva, S. (2022). Optimal taxation and R&D policies. Econometrica, 90(2), 645–684. https://doi.org/10.3982/ECTA15445

Akepe, L. E. (2023). Improving Tax Collection Efficiency Through the Use of Technology: A Case Study of African Governments. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4458198

Akinsulire, A. A., Idemudia, C., Okwandu, A. C., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024). Economic and social impact of affordable housing policies: A comparative review. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6(7), 1433–1448. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i7.1333

Akpan, N. I. (2019). The impact of external shocks on Nigeria's GDP performance within the context of the global financial crisis. University of Bradford. http://hdl.handle.net/10454/17454

Arestis, P. (2022). Macro-Economic and Financial Policies for Sustainability and Resilience BT - Economic Policies for Sustainability and Resilience (P. Arestis & M. Sawyer (eds.); pp. 1–44). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84288-8_1

Asawasakulkrai, A. (2022). Tax Revenue and its Redistributive Effects on Inequality and Human Develepment. Asian Administration & Management Review, 5(2). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4543401

Asimakopoulos, S., Lorusso, M., & Pieroni, L. (2021). Can public spending boost private consumption? Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue Canadienne d'économique, 54(3), 1275–1313. https://doi.org/10.1111/caje.12527

Beer, S., De Mooij, R., & Liu, L. (2020). International corporate tax avoidance: A review of the channels, magnitudes, and blind spots. Journal of Economic Surveys, 34(3), 660–688. https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12305

Belahouaoui, R., & Attak, E. H. (2023). The importance of perceived fairness regarding tax burden in compliance behavior: a qualitative study using the Delphi method in Morocco. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, ahead-of-p(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-04-2023-0213

Brys, B., Perret, S., Thomas, A., & O'Reilly, P. (2016). Tax Design for Inclusive Economic Growth. OECD Taxation Working Papers, 26, 0_1. https://doi.org/10.1787/22235558

Colon, D. W., & Swagerman, D. M. (2015). Enhanced relationship preparedness in a Dutch multinational context: A tax control framework. Journal of Accounting and Taxation, 7(1), 13–18. https://doi.org/10.5897/JAT2014.0129

Congleton, R. D. (2024). Optimal taxation for democracies with less than perfect voters: A public choice perspective. Kyklos, 77(1), 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/kykl.12356

Coscieme, L., Mortensen, L. F., Anderson, S., Ward, J., Donohue, I., & Sutton, P. C. (2020). Going beyond Gross Domestic Product as an indicator to bring coherence to the Sustainable Development Goals. Journal of Cleaner Production, 248, 119232. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119232

Ding, S., Jiang, W., Li, S., & Wei, S.-J. (2024). Fiscal policy volatility and capital misallocation: Evidence from China. European Economic Review, 167, 104797. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2024.104797

Dorcas, Z. (2023). The Impact of Tax Incentives on Foreign Direct Investment in the Oil and Gas Sector Of Uganda. Institute of Petroleum Studies-Kampala.

Fuest, C., & Neumeier, F. (2023). Corporate taxation. Annual Review of Economics, 15(1), 425–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/b0-08-043076-7/04261-3

Gangl, K. (2020). How to Achieve Tax Compliance by the Wealthy.

Hanna, N. K. (2009). Enabling enterprise transformation: Business and grassroots innovation for the knowledge economy. Springer Science & Business Media.

Hebous, S., & Ruf, M. (2017). Evaluating the effects of ACE systems on multinational debt financing and investment. Journal of Public Economics, 156, 131–149. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2017.02.011

Kallianiotis, I. (2022). Tax Reform Imperative: Alleviating Financial Burden and Fostering Middle-Class Prosperity in the U.S. Economy. Journal of Business and Economic Options, 5(1 SE-Articles), 48–57. http://resdojournals.com/index.php/jbeo/article/view/208

Lannai, D., Syahban, F. A., Nurfadila, N., Haeruddin, S. H., & Subhan, S. (2023). How Tax Policies and Business Strategies Affect MSME Actors During the Covid-19 Pandemic. Advances in Taxation Research, 1(1 SE-Articles), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.60079/atr.v1i1.5

Lipsey, R. G., Carlaw, K. I., & Bekar, C. T. (2005). Economic transformations: general purpose technologies and long-term economic growth. Oup Oxford.

Mamasoliev, S. (2024). The Role of International Trade In Us Economic Growth. Innovative Developments and Research in Education, 3(32), 139–147.

Mankiw, N. G. (2021). Principles of economics. Cengage Learning.

Maulid, L. C., Bawono, I. R., & Sudibyo, Y. A. (2022). Analysis of Causality among Tax Revenue, State Expenditure, Inflation, and Economic Growth in Indonesia between 1973 and 2019. Public Policy and Administration, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ppaa.21.1.29950

Mirrlees, J. A. (1976). Optimal tax theory: A synthesis. Journal of Public Economics, 6(4), 327–358. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(76)90047-5

Nembe, J. K., & Idemudia, C. (2024). Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22(3), 1171–1183. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.3.1837

Peng, Q., Ismail, Z., & Ahamad Rapani, N. H. (2024). The Effect of Tax Incentives on Innovation and Enterprise Performance: A Literature Review. International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences, 14(3), 183–195. https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarafms/v14-i3/22146

Shettigar, J., Misra, P., Sanyal, P. K., & Kawinga, A. (2024). The impact of tax reforms on human development index: Literature review approach. International Journal of Social Welfare, 33(3), 757–776. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijsw.12629

Stiglitz, J. E. B. T.-H. of P. E. (1987). Chapter 15 Pareto efficient and optimal taxation and the new new welfare economics. In Handbook of Public Economics (Vol. 2, pp. 991–1042). Elsevier. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4420(87)80010-1

Su, S., Qamruzzaman, M., & Karim, S. (2023). Charting a sustainable future: the impact of economic policy, environmental taxation, innovation, and natural resources on clean energy consumption. Sustainability, 15(18), 13585. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813585

Sukirno, S. (2006). Ekonomi pembangunan: proses, masalah dan dasar kebijakan.

Syukur, A. T. (2024). Strategies and Public Policies for Economic Recovery Post-Pandemic: The Role of Taxation According to Qualitative Studies. Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi, 7(1), 496–509. https://doi.org/10.57178/atestasi.v7i1.809

Tanzi, V., Şen, H., & Kaya, A. (2023). Taxation and economic growth: A historical and agnostic review. Acta Oeconomica, 73(1), 19–34. https://doi.org/10.1556/032.2023.00003

Tjan, J. S. (2024). The Role of Tax Systems in Reducing Income Inequality: A Literature Review. Advances in Taxation Research, 2(1 SE-Articles), 50–64. https://doi.org/10.60079/atr.v2i1.290

Venâncio, A., Barros, V., & Raposo, C. (2022). Corporate taxes and high-quality entrepreneurship. Small Business Economics, 58(1), 353–382. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00413-0

Wenjuan, S., & Zhao, K. (2023). Balancing fiscal expenditure competition and long-term innovation investment: Exploring trade-offs and policy implications for local governments. Plos One, 18(11), e0293158. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0293158

Xu, B., Sendra-García, J., Gao, Y., & Chen, X. (2020). Driving total factor productivity: Capital and labor with tax allocation. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 150, 119782. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119782

Yan, H., Qamruzzaman, M., & Kor, S. (2023). Nexus between green investment, fiscal policy, environmental tax, energy price, natural resources, and clean energy—a step towards sustainable development by fostering clean energy inclusion. Sustainability, 15(18), 13591. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813591

Zhang, M., & Guo, M. (2024). Attracting Private Investment to Renewable Energy Projects in India. Utilities Policy, 90, 101816. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2024.101816

Zwick, E., & Mahon, J. (2017). Tax Policy and Heterogeneous Investment Behavior. American Economic Review, 107(1), 217–248. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20140855