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ARTICLE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of tax policies and business strategies on MSME actors during the pandemic in Kolaka City. This type of research includes quantitative descriptive research. The population in this study were SMEs in Kolaka District, Kolaka City. The method used in the selection of the sample is purposive sampling method and set a sample of 52 respondents based on the established criteria. The results of this study are Tax Policy has a Positive and Significant Effect on MSME Actors in the Pandemic Period in Kolaka City. The Tax Policy in question is Tax Incentives for Taxpayers affected by the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic. And the second is a Business Strategy that has a Positive and Significant Effect on MSME Actors during the pandemic in Kolaka City. The Business Strategy in question is online marketing. Based on the results of the discussion, it can be concluded that the tax policy in this case is tax intensive for MSME actors, it is felt to be very beneficial for MSME actors during the pandemic and this tax policy provides benefits for MSME actors to increase knowledge about taxation. By using a Business Strategy, online marketing is the right strategy for MSME actors to re-increase their business activities during the pandemic.
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1. Introduction

Taxes according to article 1 point 1 of Law no. 6 of 1983 as has been finalized by Law no. 28 of 2007 concerning General Provisions and Tax Procedures is "mandatory contributions to the state owed by individuals or entities that are coercive under the law, without receiving direct reciprocity and are used for state purposes for the greatest prosperity of the people". Indicating that it is necessary to reform the taxation system in order to increase the ability of the state and society to finance development originating from domestic sources, because the increasing revenue originating from within the country will increase independence in financing the implementation of development.

The level of taxpayer compliance greatly affects tax revenues in a country. Obedient Taxpayer can be defined as a condition in which the Taxpayer behaves or behaves obediently to fulfill all his tax obligations to obtain his tax rights in accordance with the provisions of the applicable law. A taxpayer's conscious and obedient attitude in fulfilling tax obligations needs to be fostered so that it continues to grow so that the tax target can be achieved.
Based on data released by the data processing and information section of KPP Pratama Watampone in 2019, the tax compliance ratio from 2016 to 2017 decreased, in 2018 there was an increase of up to 66%, and in 2019 it decreased to 39%. This is what underlies the researcher to research whether the tax service and tax sanctions have an effect on individual taxpayer compliance.

There are various factors that cause the low level of taxpayer compliance to pay taxes, one of which is experience in obtaining services. The quality of tax service services is an important thing that must be maintained by the tax authorities in providing services to taxpayers in accordance with Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). The poor quality of tax services will cause taxpayers to feel disturbed and feel disadvantaged in terms of thoughts, time, energy and services obtained in managing their taxes.

The lack of tax service services makes taxpayers feel less satisfied and has a negative impact on taxpayers so that there is no compliance by the taxpayers themselves. With good and routine service, it will certainly attract a positive impact on taxpayers and increase taxpayer satisfaction so that it attracts taxpayers to be more obedient to their tax obligations. Fiscal service to taxpayers is a hope that is coveted by taxpayers because taxpayers consider that it is a "right" that must be obtained as feedback on the implementation of their "obligations" in paying taxes.

This study refers to the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) which is a theory used to explain a person's intention to perform certain behaviors. In the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) it is explained that the behavior caused by the individual arises because of the intention to behave. In TPB, attitudes, subjective norms, and perceptions of behavioral control are determined through key beliefs.

The level of taxpayer compliance is highly influenced by the quality of tax services. This statement is supported by the results of research conducted by Mandomally, (2020) which concludes that Fiscal Services has a Positive Effect on Taxpayer Compliance. Research conducted by Ahmadyuloh & Yushita, (2018) also concludes that the quality of tax service services has a significant positive effect on the level of taxpayer compliance. If consumers are satisfied with the services that have been carried out by tax officers, then the level of consumer tax compliance will also tend to increase. Pratiwi, (2014). In this study, the authors assessed the quality of the tax service provided by the Watampone Pratama Tax Service Office.

Knowledge of what sanctions will be obtained if they do not comply with tax regulations is also one of the factors that affect the level of taxpayer compliance. Tax sanctions can also be said as a form of punishment given to taxpayers who violate tax obligations that can be imposed in the form of administrative sanctions (in the form of fines, interest and increases), as well as criminal sanctions (in the form of confinement and imprisonment). Regulations regarding tax sanctions can suppress violations in tax regulations. Taxpayers' perceptions of what adverse effects will be received if they do not comply with tax regulations can urge Taxpayers to comply with their tax obligations. This statement is in accordance with the research results of Muhamad et al., (2019) which concluded that tax sanctions affect taxpayer compliance. Research conducted by Setyowati & Yushita, (2017) also concludes that tax sanctions have a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance.

2. Literature Review

In this study, we use The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) which is a theory used to explain a person's intention to perform certain behaviors. The first formulation of this theory was Icek Ajzen in 1985 in an article entitled "From Intention To Action: A Theory Of Planned Behavior". TPB is a conceptual framework that aims to explain certain behavioral determinants.
In the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) it is explained that the behavior caused by the individual arises because of the intention to behave. In TPB, attitudes, subjective norms, and perceptions of behavioral control are determined through key beliefs. Determinants of a behavior is the result of an assessment of the beliefs of individuals, both as positive and negative. Theory of Planned Behavior or TPB (Theory of Planned Behavior) is based on the assumption that humans are rational beings and use the information that is possible for them systematically. In this theory, the emergence of a desire to behave by several factors Mustikasari, (2007), namely behavioral beliefs, normative beliefs, and control beliefs.

Taxpayer Compliance

Samira, (2015) Compliance means submitting or obeying teachings or rules. So taxpayer compliance can be interpreted as being submissive, obedient and obedient to taxpayers in carrying out their tax rights and obligations in accordance with applicable tax laws. Tax compliance is a condition in which the taxpayer fulfills all tax obligations and exercises his taxation rights, so the context of compliance in the study means that the taxpayer tries to comply with the applicable tax law regulations, either fulfilling his obligations or exercising his tax rights.

Fiscal Service

Service is a technique in serving (helping oversee or prepare all the needs someone needs). Fiscal services can be interpreted as a way for tax officers to help prepare every need that is needed by taxpayers. Arum & Zulaikha, (2012) in Mandowally, (2020). Fiscal services which include competency capabilities, namely having knowledge, experience, and expertise in tax policies, administration, and tax legislation as well as high motivation as a public servant Audina, (2020). One of the efforts to improve taxpayer compliance is to provide good service to taxpayers. Improving the quality and quantity of services is expected to increase the satisfaction of taxpayers as customers so as to increase compliance in the field of taxation.

Tax Sanctions, (2020) "Ministry of Finance Number KEP-95/PJ/2019. In the tax law, there are two sanctions, namely administrative sanctions and criminal sanctions. Threats for violations in a tax norm are threatened with administrative sanctions only, some are threatened with criminal sanctions, and some are threatened with administrative and criminal sanctions. Tax sanctions can also be said as punishment for taxpayers who violate their tax obligations and can be subject to administrative sanctions (in the form of fines, interest and increases), as well as criminal sanctions (in the form of confinement and imprisonment).

The Effect of Fiscal Services on Taxpayer Compliance

Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), Fiscal Services is related to normative beliefs. The existence of good tax services will affect the level of taxpayer compliance. Taxpayers will have confidence or choose tax-abiding behavior when there is good service from the tax authorities, as well as an effective and efficient tax system. Siregar et al., (2012), stated that the Fiskus service is the provision of services to the needs of people or communities who have an interest in the organization in accordance with the procedures and basic rules that have been set. Pratiwi, (2014) states, if consumers are satisfied with the services that have been carried out by tax officers, the level of consumer tax compliance will also tend to increase. This statement is reinforced by the results of research from Mandowally, (2020) which concludes that tax service services have a positive and significant influence on taxpayer compliance. Research conducted by Samira, (2015) also concludes that the quality of tax service services has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance.
H1: Fiscal service has a positive and significant effect on the level of taxpayer compliance.

Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), tax sanctions are related to control beliefs. The existence of tax sanctions is used to encourage taxpayers not to ignore tax regulations. The Taxpayer's perception of how much tax sanction will be received can determine whether or not the Taxpayer complies. This tax sanction will be a guarantee that the laws and regulations regarding taxes can be implemented or obeyed properly Mardiasmo (2011) in Nasution, (2017). Tax sanctions are also a tool to suppress violations of tax regulations. Samira, (2015) also explains that sanctions are needed so that regulations or laws are not violated. Tax sanctions are a guarantee that the provisions of tax laws (tax norms) will be complied with/obeyed/obeyed, in other words, tax sanctions are a means of preventing taxpayers do not violate tax norms. The better the perception of taxpayers on the implementation of tax sanctions, it will also have an impact on the high level of taxpayer compliance. This statement is reinforced by the results of research from Rianty & Syahputepa, (2020) which concludes that tax sanctions affect the reporting compliance of individual taxpayers. Brata et al., (2017) also conclude that it shows that tax sanctions have a significant effect and have a positive relationship on taxpayer compliance.

H2: Tax Sanctions have a positive and significant effect on the level of taxpayer compliance.

3. Research Method and Materials

The research will be conducted at the Watampone KPP Pratama located in Bone Regency. The time used to complete this, both in the data completion stage and the data processing process until the formulation of the research output was carried out from January 2022 to February 2022. The total population in this study was 18,484 Personal Taxpayers who had NPWP registered at KPP Pratama Watampone. In this case, the sample criteria used are individual taxpayers who are registered at KPP Pratama Watampone 2019 and have a TIN. Based on the results of the above formula, the sample used in this study was 100 respondents. The sampling technique used in this research is incidental sampling. Incidental sampling is a sampling technique based on chance, that is, anyone who coincidentally/incipiently meets a researcher can be used as a sample, if it is deemed that the person who happened to be met is suitable as a data source. random. Sugiyono, (2011). In this study, data analysis using the SPSS approach is Statistical Product and Service Solutions. SPSS is one of the most widely used application programs for statistical analysis in the social sciences. It is used by market researchers, survey companies, health researchers, governments, education researchers, marketing organizations and others. The original SPSS manual (Nie, Bent & Hull, 1970) has been described as one of “the most influential sociology books”. Apart from statistical analysis, data management (case selection, reshaping files, creating derived data) and data documentation (a meta data dictionary stored in a data file) are features of the basic software.

4. Results and Discussion

Descriptive Statistics Test Results

The variables used in this research are tax service, tax sanctions and taxpayer compliance. These variables will be tested with descriptive statistics. The results of descriptive statistics on the variables in this study, among others:

- Fiscal Service (X1): Based on table 7 above, X1 has a minimum value of 3, a maximum value of 5, and a mean of 4.3850 so that it is on a value scale that indicates the answer
choices strongly agree. The standard deviation value indicates a deviation of 0.51422 from the average value of the respondents' answers.

- Tax Sanctions (X2): Based on table 7 above, X2 has a minimum value of 2, a maximum value of 5, and a mean of 4.0550 so that it is on a value scale that indicates the answer choices strongly agree. The standard deviation value indicates a deviation of 0.63919 from the average value of the respondents' answers.

- Taxpayer Compliance (Y): Based on table 7 above, Y has a minimum value of 3.2, a maximum value of 5, and a mean of 4.3420 so that it is on a value scale that indicates the answer choices strongly agree. The standard deviation value indicates a deviation of 0.47251 from the average value of the respondents' answers.

Data Quality Test Results
Validity Test Results
Validity test (validity test) is a tool used to measure the validity/validity of the questionnaire. The validity test was carried out by testing the correlation between item scores and the total score of each variable, using Pearson correlation. Question items are said to be valid if the significance level is below 0.05.

The results of the validity test on four variables consisting of Fiscal Services (PF), Tax Sanctions (SP) and Taxpayer Compliance (KWP).

Based on the results of the validity test in table 1, it is known that the tax service variable, tax sanctions and taxpayer compliance have a significant value less than 0.05 so it can be concluded that all question items in this study are valid.

Reliability Test Results
Reliability test is a tool to measure a questionnaire which is an indicator of a variable or construct. This reliability test was conducted to test the consistency of answers from respondents through the questions given, using the Cronbach Alpha statistical method with a significance used of more than (> 0.6).

The test results show that the tax service variable, tax sanctions and taxpayer compliance have a Cronbach's alpha value greater than 0.6. This shows that the question items in this study are reliable. So that each question item used will be able to obtain consistent data and if the question is asked again it will get an answer that is relatively the same as the previous answer.

The results of testing the validity and reliability are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>r-calculated</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>PF1</td>
<td>0.881**</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF2</td>
<td>0.924**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF3</td>
<td>0.949**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF4</td>
<td>0.860**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>0.892**</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP2</td>
<td>0.855**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP3</td>
<td>0.913**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP4</td>
<td>0.912**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>KWP1</td>
<td>0.683**</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KWP2</td>
<td>0.754**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KWP3</td>
<td>0.874**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KWP4</td>
<td>0.838**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>KWP5</td>
<td>0.868**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valid dan reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hypothesis Test Results
Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

After the results of the classical assumption test are carried out and the overall results show that the regression model meets the classical assumptions, the next step is to evaluate and interpret the multiple regression model.

### Table 2: Regression equation model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Sanction</td>
<td>.168</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.227</td>
<td>2.285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak

Source: Processed data, 2022

The regression equation formed in this regression test is:

\[ Y = 2.776 + 0.202 X_1 + 0.168 X_2 + e \]

The constant value is 2.776. This indicates that, if the independent variable (Fiscal Service and tax sanctions) is zero (0), then the value of the dependent variable (taxpayer compliance) is 2.776 units.

The fiscal service regression coefficient \( b_1 \) is 0.202 and is positive. This means, the value of the \( Y \) variable will increase by 0.202 if the value of the \( X_1 \) variable increases by one unit and the other independent variables have a fixed value. The positive coefficient indicates that there is a unidirectional relationship between the tax service variable \( (X_1) \) and the taxpayer compliance variable \( (Y) \). The better the tax service service provided by KPP Pratama Watampone, the higher the level of taxpayer compliance in paying taxes.

The regression coefficient for taxation sanctions \( b_2 \) is 0.168 and is positive. This means, the value of the \( Y \) variable will increase by 0.168 if the value of the \( X_2 \) variable increases by one unit and the other independent variables have a fixed value. The positive coefficient indicates that there is a unidirectional relationship between the tax sanctions variable \( (X_2) \) and the taxpayer compliance variable \( (Y) \). The higher the tax sanction given by KPP Pratama Watampone, the higher the level of taxpayer compliance in paying taxes.

### R2 Test (Coefficient of Determination)

From the test results, there is an \( R \) number of 0.360 which indicates that the relationship between taxpayer compliance with the two independent variables is less strong, because it is
in a very strong definition whose numbers are between 0.201 - 0.4. While the R square value of 0.130 or 13% shows that the taxpayer compliance variable can be explained by the tax service variable and tax sanctions of 13% while the remaining 87% can be explained by other variables not found in this study.

Partial Test Results (t Test)
Partial test is used to see the effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable. The test is carried out with the t-test, namely by looking at the significance value of t-count. If the significance value of t-count is <0.05, it can be said that the independent variable has an influence on the dependent variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficientsa Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.776</td>
<td>.423</td>
<td>6.563</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Sanction</td>
<td>.168</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.227</td>
<td>2.285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dependent Variable: Taxpayer Compliance*
*Source: Processed data, 2022*

Through the t-test statistic consisting of Fiscal Service (X1) and Tax Sanctions (X2), it can be seen that the partial effect on Taxpayer Compliance (Y).

First Hypothesis Testing (H1)
The results of the t-test indicate that the tax service variable has a significant level of 0.029, which is smaller than 0.05. The value of the b1 coefficient which is +0.202 indicates the effect given is positive on the dependent variable. This means that H1 is accepted and Ho is rejected so that it can be said that the tax service has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance.

Second Hypothesis Testing (H2)
The results of the t-test indicate that the tax sanctions variable has a significant level of 0.025, which is smaller than 0.05. The value of the coefficient b2 which is +0.168 indicates that the effect given is positive on the dependent variable. This means that H4 is accepted and Ho is rejected so that it can be said that tax sanctions have a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance.

Simultaneous Test Results (F Test)
Simultaneous test is used to test whether there is an overall effect of the independent variables on the dependent variable using the F test. This test uses 5%. With the provisions, if the significance of the calculated F < 0.05 then the proposed hypothesis can be accepted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>2.871</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.436</td>
<td>.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>19.233</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>.198</td>
<td>.001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22.104</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simultaneous test results show that the significance level is less than 0.05, so it can be said that the tax service and tax sanctions simultaneously (together) have an effect on taxpayer compliance, with a probability of 0.001. Because the probability is much smaller than the significant value of 0.05, the regression model can be used to predict the level of taxpayer compliance.

The Effect of Fiscal Services on Taxpayer Compliance The results of the hypothesis test show that the variable of Fiscal Service quality has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance. This could be due to the very good quality of tax service provided by KPP Pratama Watampone so that taxpayers are motivated to pay taxes. So the better the service provided by the Watampone KPP Pratama officer, the more motivated taxpayers are to pay taxes. If the service provided by the tax officer is good, the taxpayer is motivated to pay taxes in the hope of getting good service from the KPP Pratama Watampone officer.

The tax office (Fiskus) provides good service, provides information and explanations in a clear and easy to understand manner, pays attention to taxpayers for the taxes imposed and provides facilities on how to pay and pay taxes easily and efficiently.

A person will be obedient to pay taxes on time, if through his direct observation and experience, the results of the tax collection have made a real contribution to development in his area. Someone will also obey taxes if they have paid attention to tax services, both the tax authorities and the tax service system.

Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), tax services are related to normative beliefs. The existence of good tax services will affect the level of taxpayer compliance. Taxpayers will have confidence or choose tax-abiding behavior when there is good service from the tax authorities, as well as an effective and efficient tax system.

The most dominant indicator in forming the tax service variable is taxpayer satisfaction, namely the ability to provide the promised service immediately and satisfactorily. The quality of tax services can be established through service systems and procedures implemented by the tax office as an institution and by the tax authorities as individuals. Fiskus is defined as a person or entity that has the task of collecting taxes or contributions to the community (WP), which is used for routine expenditures and national development, and to organize the government.

This study is also in line with research conducted by Mandowally et al., (2020) which concluded that the tax service has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance. This research is also supported by Samira, (2020) also concludes that the quality of tax service services has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance.

The Effect of Tax Sanctions on Taxpayer Compliance

The hypothesis test results show that the tax sanctions variable has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance. This can be caused because the sanctions given by KPP Pratama Watampone are very good and high so that taxpayers are motivated and obedient to pay taxes because taxpayers do not want to receive high sanctions if they are late or pay taxes. So the better the tax sanctions given by KPP Pratama Watampone, the more obedient taxpayers in paying taxes.
The imposition of tax sanctions on individuals who try to hide their tax objects and do not fulfill their tax obligations can lead to the fulfillment of tax obligations by taxpayers so as to increase taxpayer compliance in paying taxes. This happens because the taxpayer will feel afraid and burdened by the sanctions that will be imposed on him for neglecting his tax obligations. Taxpayers will comply with their tax payments if they perceive that the sanctions will harm them more.

Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), tax sanctions are related to control beliefs. The existence of tax sanctions is used to encourage taxpayers not to ignore tax regulations. The Taxpayer’s perception of how much tax sanction will be received can determine whether or not the Taxpayer complies.

This tax sanction will be a guarantee that the laws and regulations regarding taxes can be implemented or obeyed properly Mardiasmo (2011) in Nasution, (2017). Tax sanctions are also a tool to suppress violations of tax regulations. Samira, (2020) also explains that sanctions are needed so that regulations or laws are not violated. Tax sanctions are a guarantee that the provisions of tax laws (tax norms) will be complied with/obeyed/obeyed, in other words, tax sanctions are a means of preventing taxpayers do not violate tax norms. The better the perception of taxpayers on the implementation of tax sanctions, it will also have an impact on the high level of taxpayer compliance.

The most dominant indicator in forming the tax sanctions variable is the sanctions in accordance with the applicable provisions and regulations, the sanctions given provide a deterrent effect. That the punishment received by the taxpayer when the taxpayer is unable to carry out his tax obligations, where the tax sanction must be able to provide a deterrent effect for the taxpayer so that it will not repeat it again.

This study is in line with research conducted by Rianty & Syahputepa, (2020) which concluded that tax sanctions affect the reporting compliance of individual taxpayers. This study is also supported by Brata et al., (2017) also concludes that it shows that tax sanctions have a significant effect and have a positive relationship on taxpayer compliance.

5. Conclusion

Based on the data that has been collected and hypothesis testing with multiple linear regression analysis has been carried out, the conclusion of this study is that the Fiscal Service has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance. The better the Fiscus service provided by KPP Pratama Watampone, the taxpayer compliance will increase and tax sanctions have a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance. The higher the tax sanction given by KPP Pratama Watampone, the higher the level of taxpayer compliance in paying taxes. Based on these conclusions, suggestions that can be given for further research are the sample in this study is small and only limited to taxpayers who are registered at KPP Pratama Watampone. It is recommended that further research can increase and expand the area and number of samples. Further research should increase the number of new variables in addition to this study in order to better know what factors can affect taxpayer compliance. Because there are other variables that affect taxpayer compliance in addition to this research variable, such as modernization of the taxation system, tax knowledge, and others, this research is expected to provide input and consideration for the related KPP Pratama Watampone to further improve the quality of tax services. This is related to the tax service, and tax sanctions and has a positive and significant effect on taxpayer compliance. In addition to using questionnaires, further research can also use direct interviews with respondents and further research should conduct research at the right time in distributing questionnaires.
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